with particular reference to the development of policies and negotiating positions pursued at meetings of the IATTC. NMFS, Southwest Region, administers the Advisory Committee in cooperation and consultation with the Department of State.

The General Advisory Committee to the U.S. Section to the IATTC will meet by telephone conference to receive and discuss information on: (1) 2006 IATTC activities; (2) recent and upcoming meetings of the IATTC and its working groups; (3) IATTC cooperation with other regional fishery management organizations; and (4) Advisory Committee operational issues.

# **Special Accommodations**

The meeting is accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for a telephone teletype device, language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Allison Routt at (562) 980–4019 at least 10 days prior to the meeting date for this conference call.

Dated: April 4, 2006.

### Tracey L. Thompson,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. E6–5154 Filed 4–7–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–8

### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

## Office of the Secretary

## **Defense Science Board**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense. **ACTION:** Notice of Advisory Committee Meetings.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on VTOL/STOL will meet in closed session on April 10–11, 2006; at Strategic Analysis Inc., 3601 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA. This meeting continues the task force's work and will consist of classified, privileged, FOUO, and proprietary briefings on current technologies and programs.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At these meetings, the Defense Science Board Task Force will: Assess the features and capabilities VTOL/STOL aircraft should have in order to support the nation's defense needs through at least the first half of the 21st century.

In accordance with section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II), it has been determined that these Defense Science Board Task Force meetings concern matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) and that, accordingly, the meetings will be closed to the public.

Due to scheduling and work burden difficulties, there is insufficient time to provide timely notice required by Section 10(a) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act and Subsection 102–3.150(b) of the GSA Final Rule on Federal Advisory Committee Management, 41 CFR 102–3.150(b), which further requires publication at least 15 calendar days prior to the meeting.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

LCDR Clifton Phillips, USN, Defense Science Board, 3140 Defense Pentagon, Room 3C553, Washington, DC 20301–3140, via e-mail at clifton,phillips@osd.mil, or via phone at (703) 571–0083.

Due to scheduling difficulties, there is insufficient time to provide timely notice required by Section 10(a) of the Federal Advisory Committe Act and Subsection 102–3.150(b) of the GSA Final Rule on Federal Advisory Committee Management, 41 CFR 102–3.150(b), which further requires publication at least 15 calendar days prior to the meeting.

Dated: April 4, 2006.

# L.M. Bynum,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 06–3385 Filed 4–7–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-M

# **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

## Office of the Secretary

### **Defense Science Board**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense. **ACTION:** Notice of Advisory Committee Meetings.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Software Assurance will meet in closed session on *April 18, 2006*; at Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), 4001 N. Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA. This meeting is to chart the direction of the study and begin assessing the current capabilities and vulnerabilities of DoD software.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At these meetings, the Defense Science Board Task Force will: Assess the risk that DoD runs as a result of foreign influence on its software and to suggest technology and other measures to mitigate the risk.

In accordance with section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II), it has been determined that these Defense Science Board Task Force meetings concern matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) and that, accordingly, the meetings will be closed to the public.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

LCDR Clifton Phillips, USN, Defense Science Board, 3140 Defense Pentagon, Room 3C553, Washington, DC 20301–3140, via e-mail at *clifton.phillips@osd.mil*, of via phone at (703) 571–0083.

Dated: April 4, 2006.

### L.M. Bynum,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 06–3386 Filed 4–7–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–06–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### Office of the Secretary

### **Defense Science Board**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense. **ACTION:** Notice of Advisory Committee Meetings.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Technology Vectors will meet in closed session on *April 20 and 21, 2006*; at Strategic Analysis, Inc. (SAI), 3601 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 500, Arlington, VA. This meeting will be a plenary meeting used to map the study's direction and begin discussion on what the Technology Vectors DoD will need for the 21st century.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At these meetings, the Defense Science Board Task Force will: Review previous attempts by DoD to identify critical technologies in order to derive lessons that would help illuminate the current challenge; identify the National Security objectives for the 21st century and the operational missions that U.S. military will be called upon to support these objectives; identify new operational capabilities needed for the proposed missions; identify the critical science