

§ 249.6 [Amended]

- 19. In § 249.6(b), remove “\$1,617” and add in its place “\$1,659”.

PART 273—EDUCATION CONTRACTS UNDER JOHNSON-O'MALLEY ACT

- 20. The authority citation for part 273 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 201–203, Pub. L. 93–638, 88 Stat. 2203, 2213–2214 (25 U.S.C. 455–457), unless otherwise noted.

§ 273.182 [Amended]

- 21. In § 273.182:
- a. In paragraph (a), remove “\$1,032” and add in its place “\$1,059”; and
- b. In paragraph (b) remove “\$10,324” and add in its place “\$10,592”.

PART 700—COMMISSION OPERATIONS AND RELOCATION PROCEDURES

- 22. The authority citation for part 700 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Pub. L. 99–590; Pub. L. 93–531, 88 Stat. 1712 as amended by Pub. L. 96–305, 94 Stat. 929, Pub. L. 100–666, 102 Stat. 3929 (25 U.S.C. 640d).

§ 700.725 [Amended]

- 23. In § 700.725, remove “\$1 and 3¢” and “26¢” and add in their place “\$1 and 6¢” and “27¢”, respectively.

Scott J. Davis,

Senior Advisor to the Secretary of the Interior, Exercising the delegated authority of the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2025–10218 Filed 6–4–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4337–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**Coast Guard****33 CFR Part 165**

[Docket Number USCG–2025–0458]

RIN 1625–AA00

Safety Zone; Big Carlos Pass Bridge, Fort Myers Beach, Florida

AGENCY: Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for navigable waters within a 150-yard radius of the Big Carlos Pass Bridge. The safety zone is needed to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment from potential hazards created by construction work on the bridge. Entry of vessels or persons into

this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Sector St. Petersburg or a designated representative.

DATES: This rule is effective from June 10, 2025, through June 13, 2025.

ADDRESSES: To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG–2025–0458 in the search box and click “Search.” Next, in the Document Type column, select “Supporting & Related Material.”

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this rule, call or email Lieutenant Ryan McNaughton, Sector St. Petersburg Prevention Department, Coast Guard; telephone (813) 228–2191, email Ryan.A.Mcnaughton@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. Table of Abbreviations**

CFR Code of Federal Regulations
COTP Captain of the Port
DHS Department of Homeland Security
FR Federal Register
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking
§ Section
U.S.C. United States Code

II. Background Information and Regulatory History

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary rule under the authority in 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B). This statutory provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” The Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because it is impracticable. The Coast Guard lacks sufficient time to provide for a comment period and then consider those comments before issuing the rule since this rule is needed by June 10, 2025. Because of the potential safety hazards associated with the placement of overhead beams for the construction of the bridge, prompt action is needed to respond to the safety hazards associated with the bridge construction. We must establish the safety zone by June 10, 2025, to ensure the safety of the public and the vessels transiting the waters near the bridge’s construction site.

Also, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Delaying the effective date of this rule is impracticable because

prompt action is needed to respond to the potential safety hazards associated with bridge construction of the Big Carlos Pass Bridge.

III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034. The Captain of the Port (COTP) Sector St. Petersburg has determined that potential hazards associated with bridge construction starting June 10, 2025, will be a safety concern for anyone within a 150-yard radius of bridge construction vessels and machinery. This rule is needed to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment in the navigable waters within the safety zone while the bridge is being constructed.

IV. Discussion of the Rule

This rule establishes a temporary safety zone that will be enforced on June 10 and 12, 2025, from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. with alternate dates on June 11 and 13, 2025, from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. The safety zone will cover all navigable waters within 150 yards of vessels and machinery being used by personnel to construct the new Big Carlos Pass Bridge. The duration of the zone is intended to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment in these navigable waters while the bridge is being constructed. No vessel or person will be permitted to enter the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP or a designated representative. A designated representative is a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the Coast Guard assigned to units under the operational control of the Coast Guard Sector St. Petersburg. Requests for entry will be considered and reviewed on a case-by-case basis.

Persons and vessels may request authorization to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the regulated area by contacting the COTP St. Petersburg or a designated representative via VHF radio on channel 16. If authorization to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the regulated area is granted by the COTP St. Petersburg or a designated representative, all persons and vessels receiving such authorization must comply with the instructions of the COTP St. Petersburg or a designated representative. The Coast Guard will provide notice of the safety zone by Broadcast Notice to Mariners via VHF–FM marine channel 16, and/or by on-scene designated representatives.

V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and

Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders.

A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. This rule has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, as amended by Executive Order 14094 (Modernizing Regulatory Review). Accordingly, this rule has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

This regulatory action determination is based on the size, location, duration, and scope of the safety zone. The safety zone will only be enforced for a limited period. This time extends over the course of two days. Although persons and vessels are prohibited to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the regulated area, without authorization from the COTP St. Petersburg or a designated representative, they may operate in the surrounding area during the enforcement period. The Coast Guard will provide advance notification of the safety zone to the local maritime community by Local Notice to Mariners and/or Broadcast Notice to Mariners.

B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the safety zone may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section V.A above, this rule will not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule will affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you

have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please call or email the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

C. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have Tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian Tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or Tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure,

we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves a safety zone lasting only 18 hours over a two-day period that will prohibit entry within 150 yards of vessels and machinery being used by personnel to construct the Big Carlos Pass Bridge. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1. A Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket. For instructions on locating the docket, see the **ADDRESSES** section of this preamble.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 46 U.S.C. 70034, 70051, 70124; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 00170.1, Revision No. 01.4.

■ 2. Add § 165.T07–0458 to read as follows:

§ 165.T07–0458 Safety Zone; Big Carlos Pass Bridge, Fort Myers Beach, FL.

(a) *Location.* All navigable waters within 150 yards of vessels and machinery being used by personnel to construct the new Big Carlos Pass Bridge.

(b) *Definitions.* The term *designated representative* means Coast Guard Patrol Commanders, including Coast Guard coxswains, petty officers, and other officers operating Coast Guard vessels, and Federal, state, and local officers designated by or assisting the Captain of

the Port (COTP) St. Petersburg in the enforcement of the safety zone.

(c) **Regulations.** (1) All persons and vessels are prohibited from entering, transiting through, anchoring in, or remaining within the regulated area described in paragraph (a) of this section unless authorized by the Captain of the Port St. Petersburg or a designated representative.

(2) Designated representatives may control vessel traffic throughout the enforcement area as determined by the prevailing conditions.

(3) Persons and vessels may request authorization to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the regulated area described in paragraph (a) of this section by contacting the COTP St. Petersburg by telephone at (727) 824-7506, or a designated representative via VHF radio on channel 16. If authorization is granted by the COTP St. Petersburg or a designated representative, all persons and vessels receiving such authorization must comply with the instructions of the COTP St. Petersburg or a designated representative.

(d) **Enforcement period.** This section will be enforced on June 10 and 12, 2025, from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. Alternate enforcement dates are June 11 and 13, 2025, from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Dated: May 30, 2025.

Michael P. Kahle,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Saint Petersburg.

[FR Doc. 2025-10221 Filed 6-4-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket No. USCG-2025-0477]

Safety Zone; Bayfield Fireworks, Bayfield, WI

AGENCY: Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

ACTION: Notification of enforcement of regulation.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard will enforce a safety zone for the City of Bayfield 4th of July Fireworks Display in Bayfield, WI. This action is intended to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment from potential hazards created by a fireworks display. During the enforcement period listed below, entry into, transiting, or anchoring within the safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the

Port (COTP) Marine Safety Unit Duluth or his or her on-scene representative.

DATES: The regulations in 33 CFR 165.943 will be enforced for the City of Bayfield 4th of July Fireworks Display safety zone event listed in item 3 in table 1 to § 165.943, from 9 p.m. to 11 p.m. on July 4, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this notification of enforcement, call or email LT Zachary Fedak, Marine Safety Unit Duluth, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 218-522-0708, email Zachary.A.Fedak@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Coast Guard will enforce a safety zone regulation in 33 CFR 165.943 for the annual City of Bayfield 4th of July Fireworks Display listed in item 3 in table 1 to § 165.943, from 9 p.m. to 11 p.m., on July 4, 2025. This action is being taken to provide for the safety of life on navigable waterways during this event. The regulation for recurring marine events within the Captain of the Port (COTP) Duluth Zone, table 1 to § 165.943, item 3, specifies the location of the regulated area for the Bayfield Fireworks event. During the enforcement period, no vessel or person will be permitted to enter the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP Marine Safety Unit Duluth or his or her on-scene representative.

In addition to this notification of enforcement in the **Federal Register**, the Coast Guard will provide notification of this enforcement period via Broadcast Notice to Mariners.

Dated: May 28, 2025.

John P. Botti,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Duluth.

[FR Doc. 2025-10222 Filed 6-4-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2024-1098]

RIN 1625-AA87

Security Zones; Tampa Bay: Big Bend, Boca Grande, Crystal River, East Bay, Hillsborough Bay, MacDill Air Force Base, Manbirtee Key, Old Port Tampa, Port Manatee, Port Tampa, Port St. Petersburg, Port Sutton, Rattlesnake, and Weedon Island, FL

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is disestablishing a security zone in old Tampa Bay, including on land portions of Chemical Formulators Chlorine Facility. The security zone is no longer needed since Chemical Formulators facility is no longer operating. This action will remove existing regulations that restrict vessel movement through the area.

DATES: This rule is effective July 7, 2025.

ADDRESSES: To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG-2024-1098 in the search box and click "Search." Next, in the Document Type column, select "Supporting & Related Material."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this rule, call or email Lieutenant Ryan McNaughton, Sector St. Petersburg, Ports & Waterways Branch Chief, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone (813) 228-2191 ext. 8142, email Ryan.A.McNaughton@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations
DHS Department of Homeland Security
FR Federal Register
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking
§ Section
U.S.C. United States Code

II. Background Information and Regulatory History

On February 3, 2024, the Coast Guard was notified that a security zone was no longer necessary due to the closure of the facility needing the security zone. The security zone is described in 33 CFR 165.703(a)(1)(i).

In response, on March 24, 2025, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) titled Security Zones; Tampa Bay: Big Bend, Boca Grande, Crystal River, East Bay, Hillsborough Bay, MacDill Air Force Base, Manbirtee Key, Old Port Tampa, Port Manatee, Port Tampa, Port St. Petersburg, Port Sutton, Rattlesnake, and Weedon Island, FL.¹ There we stated why we issued the NPRM and invited comments on our proposed regulatory action related to the removal of the security zone. During the comment period that ended March 24, 2025, we received 2 comments.

III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

With the closure of Chemical Formulators Chlorine facility, the security zone is no longer necessary.

¹ 90 FR 12118.