

many initiatives. For example, comments at the Public Hearing from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, National Immigration Law Center, and Service Employees International Union raised concerns that the SUNSET rule would undermine the regulations underpinning the Affordable Care Act, potentially with catastrophic consequences for the health care of millions of individuals and families. See Public Hearing Transcript. As another example, Medicare regulations are numerous and have an expansive reach, affecting many health care providers and suppliers in this country. Permitting the rule to go into effect would require CMS to assess thousands of regulations within a relatively short timeframe, and would likely entail a massive expenditure of resources and significantly increase the Department's workload. The rule would also likely result in significant uncertainty and compliance costs to Medicare providers and suppliers, many of which are small businesses. In addition, this rule could cause the loss of program protections to the beneficiaries of HHS programs and create uncertainty for individuals and entities subject to administrative sanctions, or those who seek reinstatement after exclusion from participation in Federal health care programs. The National Health Law Program also commented at the Public Hearing that the rule would create havoc in the Medicaid industry. See Public Hearing Transcript. All of these potential consequences would be detrimental to the public health, underscoring that justice requires a postponement of the SUNSET final rule's effective date pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 705.

Because of these public health concerns, and the harms alleged by the Plaintiffs and echoed in the comments, the balance of equities and the public interest favor the issuance of a stay of the effective date of the SUNSET final rule to preserve the status quo and allow for judicial review of its legality before any implementation.

Accordingly, HHS is issuing this stay of the effective date of this final rule pending judicial review. This postponement applies to all of the regulations established under the SUNSET final rule. As noted above, the Complaint alleges that the SUNSET final rule suffers from a variety of defects, including procedural defects related to its promulgation. The Department believes it is appropriate to review the entire rule in light of the claims raised in the litigation. Thus, this

postponement reaches the full rule, consistent with the Complaint's prayer for relief.

Separately, this document addresses and corrects several technical errors identified by the Office of the Federal Register in the SUNSET final rule.

Corrections

In FR 2021–00597 (86 FR 5694), published on January 19, 2021, the following corrections are made:

1. On page 5694, first column, the list of CFR citations in the heading under “Administration for Children and Families” that reads “45 CFR parts 200, 300, 403, 1010, and 1390” is corrected to read “45 CFR parts 200, 300, 403, 1010, and 1300.”

2. On page 5751, first column, the reference to “45 CFR part 1390” in the List of Subjects is corrected to read “45 CFR part 1300.”

SUBCHAPTER A [Corrected]

■ 3. On page 5763, first column, in instruction 10, the heading for subchapter A and the table of contents for part 1300 are corrected to read as follows:

SUBCHAPTER A—Administrative Matters

PART 1300—REVIEW OF REGULATIONS

Sec.

1300.1 Retrospective Review of Existing Regulations.

1300.2 through 1300.5 [Reserved]

Norris Cochran,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2021–05907 Filed 3–18–21; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 4150–26–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

32 CFR Part 575

[Docket ID: USA–2020–HQ–0008]

RIN 0702–AB09

Admission to the United States Military Academy

AGENCY: Department of the Army, Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule removes DoD's regulation concerning policies for the command and control of the United States Military Academy (USMA), the United States Military Academy Preparatory School (USMAPS), and the West Point Military Reservation. This part applies to organizational entities

and members within the DoD.

Therefore, this part can be removed from the CFR.

DATES: This rule is effective on March 23, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: LTC Mark Rea at 703–695–9262.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This rule was last updated on March 2, 1979 (44 FR 11781). It has been determined that publication of this CFR part removal for public comment is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is based on removing DoD internal policies and procedures. This rule is redundant in that it established policy, assigned responsibilities, and prescribed procedures for members of DoD on the operation and oversight of the Military Service Academies. These internal policies and procedures are publicly available on the Department's issuance website.

DoD internal policies and guidance are current and reflective of requirements in statute, and will continue to be published in Army Regulation 150–1, “United States Military Academy Organization, Administration, and Operation” (available at <https://armypubs.army.mil/ProductMaps/PubForm/AR.aspx>).

This rule is not significant under Executive Order (E.O.) 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review.”

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 575

Military academies, Military personnel.

PART 575—[REMOVED]

■ Accordingly, by the authority of 5 U.S.C. 301, 32 CFR part 575 is removed.

James W. Satterwhite Jr.,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2021–05910 Filed 3–22–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Parts 100 and 165

[Docket Number USCG–2021–0184]

2020 Quarterly Listings; Safety Zones, Security Zones, and Special Local Regulations

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notification of expired temporary rules issued.

SUMMARY: This document provides notification of substantive rules issued

by the Coast Guard that were made temporarily effective but expired before they could be published in the **Federal Register**. This document lists temporary safety zones, security zones, and special local regulations, all of limited duration and for which timely publication in the **Federal Register** was not possible.

DATES: This document lists temporary Coast Guard rules that became effective, primarily between April 2020 and June 2020, unless otherwise indicated, and were terminated before they could be published in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Temporary rules listed in this document may be viewed online, under their respective docket numbers, using the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions on this document contact Yeoman First Class Glenn Grayer, Office of Regulations and Administrative Law, telephone (202) 372-3862.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Coast Guard District Commanders and Captains of the Port (COTP) must be immediately responsive to the safety and security needs within their jurisdiction; therefore, District

Commanders and COTPs have been delegated the authority to issue certain local regulations. *Safety zones* may be established for safety or environmental purposes. A safety zone may be stationary and described by fixed limits or it may be described as a zone around a vessel in motion. *Security zones* limit access to prevent injury or damage to vessels, ports, or waterfront facilities. *Special local regulations* are issued to enhance the safety of participants and spectators at regattas and other marine events.

Timely publication of these rules in the **Federal Register** may be precluded when a rule responds to an emergency, or when an event occurs without sufficient advance notice. The affected public is, however, often informed of these rules through Local Notices to Mariners, press releases, and other means. Moreover, actual notification is provided by Coast Guard patrol vessels enforcing the restrictions imposed by the rule. Because **Federal Register** publication was not possible before the end of the effective period, mariners were personally notified of the contents of these safety zones, security zones,

special local regulations, regulated navigation areas or drawbridge operation regulations by Coast Guard officials on-scene prior to any enforcement action. However, the Coast Guard, by law, must publish in the **Federal Register** notice of substantive rules adopted. To meet this obligation without imposing undue expense on the public, the Coast Guard periodically publishes a list of these temporary safety zones, security zones, special local regulations, regulated navigation areas and drawbridge operation regulations. Permanent rules are not included in this list because they are published in their entirety in the **Federal Register**. Temporary rules are also published in their entirety if sufficient time is available to do so before they are placed in effect or terminated.

The following unpublished rules were placed in effect temporarily during the period between April 2020 and June 2020 unless otherwise indicated. To view copies of these rules, visit www.regulations.gov and search by the docket number indicated in the following table.

Docket No.	Type	Location	Effective date
USCG-2020-0175	Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)	Township, NJ	4/4/2020
USCG-2020-0227	Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)	St. Thomas, U.S. Virgin Islands	4/21/2020
USCG-2020-0221	Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)	New Richmond, OH	5/8/2020
USCG-2020-0258	Security Zones (Part 165)	San Diego, CA	5/13/2020
USCG-2020-0268	Security Zones (Part 165)	San Diego, CA	5/20/2020
USCG-2020-0253	Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)	Copt Detroit Zone	5/22/2020
USCG-2020-0262	Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)	Lake Ozark, MO	5/24/2020
USCG-2020-0273	Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)	Cape Canaveral, FL	5/27/2020
USCG-2020-0022	Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)	Evansville, IN	6/2/2020
USCG-2020-0310	Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)	Captain of the port zone	6/4/2020
USCG-2020-0293	Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)	Camp Lejeune, NC	6/10/2020
USCG-2020-0329	Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)	Springdale, PA	6/12/2020
USCG-2020-0330	Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)	Pittsburgh, PA	6/12/2020
USCG-2020-0347	Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)	Detroit, MI	6/13/2020
USCG-2020-0333	Special Local Regulations (Part 100)	San Diego, CA	6/13/2020
USCG-2020-0352	Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)	Ohio River, Mulfordtown, KY	6/16/2020
USCG-2020-0350	Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)	Lake Charles, LA	6/19/2020
USCG-2020-0360	Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)	Morehead City, NC	6/20/2020
USCG-2020-0292	Safety Zones (Parts 147 and 165)	Lake Ozark, MO	6/27/2020
USCG-2020-0341	Special Local Regulations (Part 100)	New York, NY	6/29/2020

Dated: March 17, 2021.

M.T. Cunningham,

Chief, Office of Regulations and
Administrative Law, United States Coast
Guard.

[FR Doc. 2021-05902 Filed 3-22-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P