

SB 32–3300, dated December 1999; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent an asymmetric braking condition and a longer stopping distance due to sudden loss of normal braking to the left wheel, which could result in the airplane overrunning the runway surface, accomplish the following:

General Visual Inspection

(a) Within 200 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, perform a one-time general visual inspection to detect hydraulic fluid leakage from the B-nut area, which attaches a hydraulic tube to the anti-skid valve assembly, in accordance with Raytheon Aircraft Service Bulletin SB 32–3300, dated December 1999.

Note 2: For the purposes of this AD, a general visual inspection is defined as: “A visual examination of an interior or exterior area, installation, or assembly to detect obvious damage, failure, or irregularity. This level of inspection is made under normally available lighting conditions such as daylight, hangar lighting, flashlight, or drop-light, and may require removal or opening of access panels or doors. Stands, ladders, or platforms may be required to gain proximity to the area being checked.”

(1) If no leakage is found, prior to further flight, install an additional support (i.e., new nutplate, clamp, and screw) for the hydraulic tube; in accordance with the service bulletin.

(2) If any leakage is found, prior to further flight, replace the hydraulic tube with a new or serviceable hydraulic tube, and install an additional support (i.e., new nutplate, clamp, and screw) for the hydraulic tube; in accordance with the service bulletin.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Small Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Wichita ACO.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Wichita ACO.

Special Flight Permit

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 3, 2000.

Donald L. Riggins,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 00–20245 Filed 8–9–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2000–NM–226–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737, 747, 757, and 767 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 737, 747, 757, and 767 series airplanes. This proposal would require rework of certain duct assemblies of the environmental control system (ECS) or replacement of the duct assemblies with new or reworked duct assemblies. This action is necessary to prevent potential ignition of fiberglass insulation material installed on the outside of the ECS ducts, which could propagate a small fire and lead to a larger fire. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Comments must be received by September 25, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2000–NM–226–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227–1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9-anm-nprmcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via fax or the Internet must contain “Docket No. 2000–NM–226–AD” in the

subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 for Windows or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James Cashdollar, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2785; fax (425) 227–1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.
- For each issue, state what specific change to the proposed AD is being requested.
- Include justification (e.g., reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: “Comments to Docket Number 2000–NM–226–AD.”

The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2000-NM-226-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The FAA has received reports that fiberglass insulation material installed on the outside of the ducts of the environmental control system (ECS) on certain Boeing Model 737, 747, 757, and 767 series airplanes does not meet fire safety requirements. During fire testing, samples of fiberglass insulation from the ECS ducts, with BMS8-142 vapor barrier bonded to the outer surface of the insulation with BAC5010 Type 97 adhesive, burned at a rate faster than allowed by section 25.853 ("Fire Protection: Compartment Interiors") of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 25.853). This condition, if not corrected, could result in potential ignition of the fiberglass insulation installed on the ECS ducts, which could propagate a small fire and lead to a larger fire.

Other Relevant Rulemaking

On May 19, 2000, the FAA issued AD 2000-11-01, amendment 39-11749 (65 FR 34322, May 26, 2000), which is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9-80 and MD-90-30 series airplanes and Model MD-88 airplanes; and AD 2000-11-02, amendment 39-11750 (65 FR 34341, May 26, 2000), which is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC-10-10F, DC-10-15, DC-10-30, DC-10-30F, DC-10-40, MD-11, and MD-11F series airplanes. These AD's require determination of whether, and at what locations, insulation blankets made of metallized polyethyleneterephthalate (MPET) are installed, and replacement of any MPET insulation blankets with new blankets made of metallized Tedlar or equivalent blanket material. Those AD's were prompted by reports of fires (in flight and on the ground) on certain airplanes equipped with MPET insulation blankets. Such insulation blankets could propagate a small fire that is the result of an otherwise harmless electrical arc, and could result in a much larger fire.

The unsafe condition addressed by those AD's is similar to that addressed

in this proposed AD. The fiberglass insulation with BMS8-142 vapor barrier bonded to the outer surface with BAC5010 Type 97 adhesive, which is the subject of this AD, can be ignited by a small ignition source and propagate a fire in a manner similar to the MPET insulation blankets. AD 2000-11-01 and AD 2000-11-02 require replacement of MPET insulation blankets with new blankets; this proposed AD would require rework of the ECS duct assemblies or replacement of the duct assemblies with new or reworked duct assemblies. The FAA finds that rework of the duct assemblies will ensure an acceptable level of safety for the affected airplanes addressed in this proposed AD.

A similar unsafe condition exists in drip shields on certain Boeing Model 747, 757, 767, and 777 series airplanes. Some drip shields are assembled with the moisture barrier cover bonded to the insulation and multiple insulation layers bonded together using a non-flame-resistant adhesive. Such assembly of the drip shield reduces the fire resistance of the moisture barrier cover and insulation. As a result, the drip shield assemblies do not meet the requirements of section 25.853 ("Fire Protection: Compartment Interiors") of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 25.853). This condition, if not corrected, could result in potential ignition of the moisture barrier cover of the drip shield, which could propagate a small fire that results from an otherwise harmless electrical arc, leading to a larger fire. A separate rulemaking action [notice of proposed rulemaking, Rules Docket No. 2000-NM-217-AD] is being issued to address that unsafe condition on affected airplanes.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

The FAA has reviewed and approved Boeing Alert Service Bulletins 737-21A1129, 747-21A2416, 757-21A0084, 757-21A0085, and 767-21A0158; all including Appendices A and B; all dated June 29, 2000. Those service bulletins describe procedures for rework of certain ECS duct assemblies or replacement of the duct assemblies with new or reworked duct assemblies. The rework involves replacement of existing fiberglass insulation with new insulation. Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletins

is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the applicable service bulletin described previously, except as discussed below.

Differences Between Proposed Rule and Service Bulletins

Operators should note that the service bulletins specify that the rework or replacement of the ECS ducts is to be accomplished at the next heavy maintenance check. The FAA finds that such a compliance time will not ensure that the rework or replacement is accomplished in a timely manner. In developing an appropriate compliance time for this AD, the FAA considered not only the manufacturer's recommendation, but the degree of urgency associated with addressing the subject unsafe condition, as well as the compliance time for the actions required by the previously described AD 2000-11-01 and AD 2000-11-02. AD 2000-11-01 and AD 2000-11-02 require replacement of MPET insulation blankets on affected airplanes within five years after June 30, 2000 (the effective date of those AD's). In light of all of these factors, and especially the similarity of the unsafe condition addressed in this proposed AD to that addressed in the AD's described previously, the FAA finds a compliance time of five years after the effective date of this AD for initiating the proposed actions to be warranted, in that it represents an appropriate interval of time allowable for affected airplanes to continue to operate without compromising safety.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 1,162 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 403 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD. The following table shows the estimated cost impact of the proposed actions for airplanes affected by this AD. The average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. The estimated total cost for all airplanes affected by this proposed AD is \$2,552,996.

Model	U.S.- registered airplanes	Work hours (estimated)	Labor cost (estimated)	Parts cost (estimated)	Fleet cost (estimated)
737	113	32	\$1,920	\$732	\$299,676
747	23	336	20,160	2,800	528,080
757	199	47	2,820	360	632,820
767	68	238	14,280	1,785	1,092,420

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this proposed AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

The manufacturer has advised the FAA that warranty remedies may be available for parts and labor costs associated with accomplishing the actions that would be required by this proposed AD. Therefore, the future economic cost impact of this rule on U.S. operators may be less than the cost impact figures indicated above.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct

effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposal would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Boeing: Docket 2000-NM-226-AD.

Applicability: Model 737-300, 737-400, 737-500, 747, 757-200, 757-300, 767-200, 767-300, and 767-300F series airplanes having the line numbers listed below; certificated in any category.

Model	Affected line numbers (L/N)	Except L/N
737-300, -400, -500	2591, 2601, 2720, 2723, 2730, 2733, 2734, 2736 through 2850 inclusive, 2852 through 3126 inclusive.	N/A
747	1011 through 1233 inclusive	1012, 1174, 1216.
757-200, -300	580 through 895 inclusive	581, 583 through 586 inclusive, 589, 595, 609, 613, 615, 622, 624, 626, 669, 674.
767-200, -300, -300F.	521 through 767 inclusive, 770	522, 525, 718, 758.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent potential ignition of fiberglass insulation in the environmental control system (ECS) ducts, which could propagate a small fire and lead to a larger fire, accomplish the following:

Rework or Replacement

(a) Within 5 years after the effective date of this AD, rework ECS duct assemblies or replace existing duct assemblies with new or reworked duct assemblies, in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletins 737-21A1129, 747-21A2416, 757-21A0084, 757-21A0085, or 767-21A0158; all including Appendices A and B; all dated June 29, 2000; as applicable.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that

provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

Special Flight Permits

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 4, 2000.

Donald L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 00-20244 Filed 8-9-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2000-NM-217-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 747, 757, 767, and 777 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 747, 757, 767, and 777 series airplanes. This proposal would require modification of certain drip shields located on the flight deck, and follow-on actions. This action is necessary to prevent potential ignition of the moisture barrier cover of the drip shield, which could propagate a small fire that results from an otherwise harmless electrical arc, leading to a larger fire. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Comments must be received by September 25, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2000-NM-217-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227-1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9-anm-nprmcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via fax or the Internet must contain "Docket No. 2000-NM-217-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 for Windows or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group,

P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James Cashdollar, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2785; fax (425) 227-1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.
- For each issue, state what specific change to the proposed AD is being requested.
- Include justification (e.g., reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 2000-NM-217-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No.

2000-NM-217-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The FAA has received a report that; on certain Boeing Model 747, 757, 767, and 777 series airplanes; the airplane manufacturer found some drip shields assembled with the moisture barrier cover bonded to the insulation and multiple insulation layers bonded together using a non-flame-resistant adhesive. Such assembly of the drip shield reduces the fire resistance of the moisture barrier cover and insulation. As a result, the drip shield assemblies do not meet the requirements of Section 25.853 ("Fire Protection: Compartment Interiors") of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 25.853). This condition, if not corrected, could result in potential ignition of the moisture barrier cover of the drip shield, which could propagate a small fire that results from an otherwise harmless electrical arc, leading to a larger fire.

Other Relevant Rulemaking

On May 19, 2000, the FAA issued AD 2000-11-01, amendment 39-11749 (65 FR 34322, May 26, 2000), which is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9-80 and MD-90-30 series airplanes and Model MD-88 airplanes; and AD 2000-11-02, amendment 39-11750 (65 FR 34341, May 26, 2000), which is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC-10-10F, DC-10-15, DC-10-30, DC-10-30F, DC-10-40, MD-11, and MD-11F series airplanes. These AD's require determination of whether, and at what locations, insulation blankets made of metallized polyethyleneterephthalate (MPET) are installed, and replacement of any MPET insulation blankets with new blankets made of metallized Tedlar or equivalent blanket material. Those AD's were prompted by reports of fires (in flight and on the ground) on certain airplanes equipped with MPET insulation blankets. Such insulation blankets could propagate a small fire that is the result of an otherwise harmless electrical arc, and could result in a much larger fire.

The unsafe condition addressed by those AD's is similar to that addressed in this proposed AD. The material used to manufacture the drip shields that are the subject of this AD can be ignited by a small ignition source and propagate a fire in a manner similar to the MPET insulation blankets. However, while AD 2000-11-01 and AD 2000-11-02 require replacement of MPET insulation blankets with new blankets, this proposed AD would require isolation of the drip shields from all potential