Combined License Application (COLA). The subcommittee will also review the "Loss of Large Areas (LOLA) of the Plant due to Explosions or Fires," for the Comanche Peak Combined License Application (COLA). The Subcommittee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff, Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Luminant Generation Company, LLC, and other interested persons regarding this matter. The Subcommittee will gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the Full Committee.

Members of the public desiring to provide oral statements and/or written comments should notify the Designated Federal Official (DFO), Girija Shukla (Telephone 301-415-6855 or Email: Girija.Shukla@nrc.gov) five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made. Thirty-five hard copies of each presentation or handout should be provided to the DFO thirty minutes before the meeting. In addition, one electronic copy of each presentation should be emailed to the DFO one day before the meeting. If an electronic copy cannot be provided within this timeframe, presenters should provide the DFO with a CD containing each presentation at least thirty minutes before the meeting. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public. Detailed procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACRS meetings were published in the Federal Register on October 18, 2012, (77 FR 64146–64147).

Detailed meeting agendas and meeting transcripts are available on the NRC Web site at http://www.nrc.gov/readingrm/doc-collections/acrs. Information regarding topics to be discussed, changes to the agenda, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, and the time allotted to present oral statements can be obtained from the Web site cited above or by contacting the identified DFO. Moreover, in view of the possibility that the schedule for ACRS meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should check with these references if such rescheduling would result in a major inconvenience.

If attending this meeting, please enter through the One White Flint North building, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD. After registering with security, please contact Mr. Theron Brown (Telephone 240–888–9835) to be escorted to the meeting room.

Dated: January 29, 2013.

Antonio Dias,

Technical Advisor, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2013–02478 Filed 2–4–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2013-0001]

Sunshine Act Meetings

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETINGS: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DATE: Weeks of February 4, 11, 18, 25, March 4, 11, 2013.

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

Week of February 4, 2013

Thursday, February 7, 2013

1:00 p.m. Briefing on Steam Generator Tube Degradation (Public Meeting) (Contact: Ken Karwoski, 301–415– 2752)

This meeting will be webcast live at the web address—www.nrc.gov

Week of February 11, 2013—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of February 11, 2013.

Week of February 18, 2013—Tentative

Wednesday, February 20, 2013

1:00 p.m. Briefing on Uranium Recovery (Public Meeting) (Contact: Bill von Till, 301–415–0598) This meeting will be webcast live at the

web address—www.nrc.gov Thursday, February 21, 2013

9:30 a.m. Briefing on the Threat Environment Assessment (Closed— Ex. 1)

Week of February 25, 2013—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of February 25, 2013.

Week of March 4, 2013—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of March 4, 2013.

Week of March 11, 2013—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of March 11, 2013.

* * * * *

*The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings, call (recording)—301–415–1292.

Contact person for more information: Rochelle Bavol, 301–415–1651.

* * * * * *

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/public-meetings/schedule.html.

* * * * * *

The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings, or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g. braille, large print), please notify Kimberly Meyer, NRC Disability Program Manager, at 301–287–0727, or by email at kimberly.meyer-chambers@nrc.gov. Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

This notice is distributed electronically to subscribers. If you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1969), or send an email to darlene.wright@nrc.gov.

Dated: January 31, 2013.

Rochelle C. Bavol,

 $Policy\ Coordinator,\ Office\ of\ the\ Secretary.$ [FR Doc. 2013–02619 Filed 2–1–13; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–68766; File No. SR–CBOE–2012–116]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Order Granting Approval to a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Bylaw and Other Changes Concerning the Board of Directors of the Exchange

January 30, 2013.

I. Introduction

On November 30, 2012, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("Exchange" or "CBOE") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, 2 a proposed rule change to amend its Bylaws concerning the nomination of Representative Directors, petition candidates, and the size of the

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

Exchange's Board of Directors ("Board"), and to make conforming changes to the CBOE Certificate of Incorporation. On December 19, 2012, the proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register**.³ The Commission received no comments on the proposed rule change. This order grants approval to the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

Compositional Requirements Determined by the Board

In December of 2011, CBOE amended its Bylaws and Certificate of Incorporation to, among other things: (i) eliminate the requirement that its Board of Directors be composed of at least 30% Industry Directors, and (ii) eliminate the requirement in Section 3.2 of the Bylaws that the Representative Directors must be Industry Directors.4 In connection with these changes, CBOE also amended Section 3.1 of the Bylaws to provide that: "[T]he Board shall determine from time to time pursuant to resolution adopted by the Board the total number of directors, the number of Non-Industry Directors and Industry Directors (if any), and the number of Representative Directors that are Non-Industry Directors and Industry Directors (if anv)."5

CBOE proposed to amend the Bylaws to expressly provide that any person nominated by the Representative Director Nominating Body ⁶ and any petition candidate nominated pursuant to the Section 3.2 of the Bylaws must satisfy the compositional requirements determined by the Board pursuant to a resolution adopted by the Board in accordance with Section 3.1 designating the number of Representative Directors that are Non-Industry Directors and Industry Directors (if any). CBOE also proposed to amend Section 3.5 of the Bylaws relating to the filling of vacancies on the Board to provide that the Representative Director Nominating

Body may only recommend individuals to fill a vacancy in a Representative Director position who satisfy those same compositional requirements.

Board Size Range

Currently, the Bylaws provide that the Board shall consist of not less than 11 and not more than 23 directors. CBOE proposed to change the Board size range such that the Board would consist of not less than 12 and not more than 16 directors.

Conforming Amendments to Certificate of Incorporation

Finally, CBOE proposed to make conforming changes to its Certificate of Incorporation and to include in its Certificate of Incorporation that the Board and/or Nominating and Governance Committee, as applicable, shall make determinations as to whether a director candidate satisfies applicable qualifications for election as a director pursuant to and in accordance with Section 3.1 of the Exchange's Bylaws, which is nearly identical to the current provisions in the Exchange's existing Bylaws.

III. Discussion

After careful review, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.7 In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(1) of the Act,8 which requires a national securities exchange to be so organized and have the capacity to carry out the purposes of the Act and to enforce compliance by its members and persons associated with its members with the provisions of the Act; Section 6(b)(3) of the Act,9 which requires that the rules of a national securities exchange assure the fair representation of its members in the selection of its directors and administration of its affairs, and provide that one or more directors shall be representative of issuers and investors and not be associated with a member of the exchange, broker, or dealer (the "fair representation requirement"); and Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,¹⁰ in that it is designed, among other things, to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices; to promote just and

equitable principles of trade; to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system; and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Commission believes that the Exchange's proposal to expressly provide that any person nominated by the Representative Director Nominating Body 11 and any petition candidate nominated pursuant to the Section 3.2 of the Bylaws must satisfy the compositional requirements determined by the Board pursuant to a resolution adopted by the Board in accordance with Section 3.1 of the Bylaws, as well as the proposal to amend Section 3.5 of the Bylaws to provide that the Representative Director Nominating Body may only recommend individuals to fill a vacancy in a Representative Director position who satisfy those same compositional requirements, are consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,¹² including Section 6(b)(3) of the Act.¹³ The Exchange's proposal would not impact its current process to ensure fair representation of its Trading Permit Holders in the selection of its directors and administration of its affairs as required by Section 6(b)(3) of the Act. 14 Specifically, the proposed changes are consistent with the changes to the Bylaws that CBOE made in December of 2011 and simply reflect the application of those changes. As the Commission noted when it approved that prior proposal, the Commission had previously approved proposals in which an exchange's board of directors was composed of all or nearly all nonindustry directors where the process was nevertheless designed to comply with the "fair representation" requirement in the selection and election of directors. 15

In addition, the Commission believes that the Exchange's proposal to change the Board size range to consist of not less than 12 and not more than 16 directors is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act, 16 including Section 6(b)(3) of

 $^{^3\,}See$ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 68428 (December 13, 2012), 77 FR 75230 (''Notice'').

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 65682 (November 3, 2011), 76 FR 69780 (November 9, 2011) (SR-CBOE-2011-099) (noticing for comment); and 65980 (December 15, 2011), 76 FR 79252 (December 21, 2011) (approving SR-CBOE-2011-099).

⁵ See CBOE Bylaw 3.1. See also Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 65682 (November 3, 2011), 76 FR 69780 at (November 9, 2011) (SR– CBOE–2011–099) (noticing for comment).

⁶ The Exchange noted that at all times at least 20% of the directors serving on the Board would be Representative Directors nominated by the Representative Director Nominating Body as provided in Section 3.2 of the Bylaws (or otherwise selected through the petition process). See Notice, supra note 3, at 75230.

⁷ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

^{8 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(1).

^{9 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(3).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹¹ See supra note 6.

^{12 15} U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(3). Section 6(b)(3) of the Act requires that the rules of a national securities exchange assure the fair representation of its members in the selection of its directors and administration of its affairs, and provide that one or more directors shall be representative of issuers and investors and not be associated with a member of the exchange, broker, or dealer.

¹⁴ See id.

¹⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 65980 (December 15, 2011), 76 FR 79252 at 79253 (December 21, 2011) (approving SR–CBOE–2011–099) (citing to Securities Exchange Act Release No. 48946 (December 17, 2003), 68 FR 74678 (December 24, 2003) (approving SR–NYSE–2003–34)).

^{16 15} U.S.C. 78f(b).

the Act.¹⁷ The Exchange's proposal would not impact in any manner its current process to ensure fair representation of its Trading Permit Holders in the selection of its directors and administration of its affairs as required by Section 6(b)(3) of the Act.¹⁸ Further, the proposed change is consistent with the current size of CBOE's Board and simply narrows the possible size range from 11 to 23 to 12 to 16.

IV. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, ¹⁹ that the proposed rule change (SR-CBOE-2012-116) be and hereby is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 20

Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–02423 Filed 2–4–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-68761; File No SR-NASDAQ-2013-013]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Modify Chapter XV, Section 2 of the Rules Governing the NASDAQ Options Market, NASDAQ's Facility for Executing and Routing Standardized Equity and Index Options

January 29, 2013.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), and Rule 19b—4² thereunder, notice is hereby given that on January 22, 2013. The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC ("NASDAQ" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by NASDAQ. The Commission is publishing this notice to

solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC proposes to modify Chapter XV, Section 2 of the rules governing the NASDAQ Options Market.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

NASDAQ proposes to modify Chapter XV, entitled "Options Pricing," at Section 2(1) governing the rebates and fees assessed for option orders entered into NOM, by limiting the transactions to which "Customer" fees and rebates apply and by adding a new "Broker-Dealer" category. The Exchange will apply the new Broker-Dealer fees and rebates rather than Customer fees and rebates to transactions for the account of a broker or dealer that are currently assessed at Customer rates. Transactions that are subject to the new Broker-Dealer fee category will no longer be considered "Customer" transactions for any purpose in Chapter XV, including rebates.

There is currently NOM pricing for five separate categories of market participants: Customer, Professional, Firm, Non-NOM Market Maker and NOM Market Maker. "Customer" pricing currently applies to any transaction that is identified for clearing in the Customer range at The Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") which is not for the account of a Professional.³

NOM now proposes to further limit the "Customer" fee category so that it does not apply to transactions identified for clearing in the Customer range at OCC that are for the account of a broker or dealer. Going forward, these transactions for the account of a broker or dealer that are currently charged "Customer" fees will be charged under the new "Broker-Dealer" fee category.

The new Broker-Dealer category would be an addition to the existing fee categories. Broker-Dealer transactions will be any transactions that do not fall within any of the other categories.⁴ As discussed above, transactions currently identified for clearing in the Customer range at OCC for the account of a broker or dealer will fall within the new Broker-Dealer category. The Exchange proposes to charge transactions in the Broker-Dealer category the same fees charged for transactions currently in the Firm category, and to provide the same rebates offered with respect to transactions in the Firm category.

Additionally, the Exchange currently pays NOM Participants a tiered Rebate to Add Liquidity in Penny Pilot Options based on the volume of Customer and Professional orders they execute on the Exchange. Orders for brokers and dealers that currently fall within the Customer pricing category and that will now fall within the Broker-Dealer pricing category will no longer be eligible for this rebate. However, Broker-Dealer orders, just like Firm orders, will count toward Total Volume for purposes of calculating the Tier 5 Rebate to Add Liquidity in Penny Pilot Options.

Section 2(2) is being amended to reflect that, like transactions in the Firm fee category, Broker-Dealer transactions will be assessed the Fee for Removing Liquidity during the Exchange's Opening Cross.

Finally, the Exchange is eliminating Section 2(3), Closing Cross, as unnecessary. The Exchange no longer conducts a closing cross and the fees are no longer applicable to any transactions.

2. Statutory Basis

NASDAQ believes that the proposed rule changes are consistent with the provisions of Section 6 of the Act,⁵ in general, and with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,⁶ in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and issuers and other persons

¹⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(3).

¹⁸ See id.

^{19 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

^{20 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 64494 (May 13, 2011), 76 FR 29014 (May 19, 2011) (SR–NASDAQ–2011–066) ("Professional Filing"). In this filing, the Exchange addressed the perceived favorable pricing of Professionals who were assessed fees and paid rebates like a Customer prior to the filing. The Exchange noted in that filing that a Professional, unlike a retail Customer, has access to sophisticated trading systems that contain functionality not available to retail Customers.

⁴ The other categories are Customer, Professional Firm, Non-NOM Market Maker and NOM Market Maker.

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

^{6 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).