

regarding the potential impact on state and federal laws, and on consumers of the removal of the foreclosure and rental default notices exception from the ESIGN Act would assist in this evaluation.

The ESIGN Section 103 Evaluation

The ESIGN Act directs the Assistant Secretary of Communications and Information to conduct an evaluation of the exceptions set out in section 103 of the Act to determine whether the exceptions continue to be necessary for the protection of consumers, and to submit a report to Congress on the results of the evaluation no later than June 30, 2003. The Assistant Secretary for Communications and Information is the chief administrator of NTIA. As the President's principal advisor on telecommunications policies pertaining to the Nation's economic and technological advancement, NTIA is the executive branch agency responsible for developing and articulating domestic and international telecommunications policy.

The ESIGN section 103 evaluation is intended to examine the current status of federal and state regulations that govern, and industry practices among companies that issue notices for residential default, foreclosure, and eviction in preparation for a report to Congress on whether this exception remains necessary to protect consumers. This evaluation is not a review or analysis of federal and state regulations and rules relating to residential default, foreclosure, or eviction notices for the purpose of recommending changes to those regulations but to advise Congress of the current state of law, practice, and procedure regarding this issue. Comments filed in response to this Notice should not be considered to have a connection with or impact on ongoing specific federal and state procedures or rulemaking proceedings concerning residential default, foreclosure, and eviction notices.

Invitation to Comment

NTIA requests that all interested parties submit written comments on any issue of fact, law, or policy that may assist in the evaluation required by section 103(c). We invite comments on ESIGN generally that assists in evaluating the narrower issues associated with residential default, foreclosure, and eviction notices as governed by the substantive law in these areas. The following questions are intended to provide guidance as to the specific subject areas to be examined as a part of the evaluation. Commenters are invited to discuss any relevant issue,

regardless of whether it is identified below.

1. Provide information regarding federal, state, and municipal regulations, laws, and ordinances that require written notice to consumers for residential defaults, foreclosures, and evictions.

2. Provide state Uniform Electronic Transactions Act (UETA) provisions that require written notice to consumers by excluding housing foreclosure, repossession, and default notices from the provisions of the statute.

3. Describe state or federal regulations, other than UETA or ESIGN laws, that require residential default, foreclosure, and eviction notices to be provided in written form or to be excluded from the operation of ESIGN or the applicable state UETA.

4. Provide information regarding federal, state, and municipal laws or regulations that allow notice to consumers regarding residential defaults, foreclosures, and evictions in an electronic format.

5. Discuss the impact that the removal of the residential default, foreclosure, and eviction notices exception from ESIGN, to allow mortgage or rental companies to send notices by electronic methods mail, may have on consumers and on federal or state consumer protection policies.

6. If it is necessary to retain the residential default, foreclosure, or eviction notices exception to the ESIGN requirements, discuss the interest that this exception continues to serve or protect.

7. Discuss the methods that are available for consumer protection, if the residential default, foreclosure, and eviction notices exception to ESIGN is eliminated from the statute. Describe the methods that may be used to verify:

- a. the notice was sent and/or received;
- b. the security of the transmission;

- c. the recipient has the capability of receiving and reading the notice.

8. What effect, if any, would the elimination of the residential default, foreclosure, and eviction notices exception to ESIGN have on the mission of federal and state agencies and organizations that have regulatory authority over the process and service of notice of default, eviction and foreclosure?

Please provide copies of studies, reports, opinions, research or other empirical data referenced in the responses.

Dated: November 12, 2002.

Kathy D. Smith,

Chief Counsel, National Telecommunications and Information Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-29025 Filed 11-14-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-60-S

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Joint Military Intelligence College Board of Visitors Closed Meeting

AGENCY: Joint Military Intelligence College, Defense Intelligence Agency, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of closed meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of subsection (d) of section 10 of Public Law 92-463, as amended by section 5 of Public Law 94-409, notice is hereby given that a closed meeting of the Defense Intelligence Agency Joint Military Intelligence College Board of Visitors has been scheduled as follows:

DATES: Tuesday, January 7, 2003, 0800 to 1700; and Wednesday, January 8, 2003, 0800 to 1200.

ADDRESSES: Joint Military Intelligence College, Washington, DC 20340-5100.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. A. Denis Clift, President, Defense Intelligence Agency Joint Military Intelligence College, Washington, DC 20340-5100, telephone: 202-231-3344.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The entire meeting is devoted to the discussion of classified information as defined in section 552b(c)(1), title 5 of the United States Code and therefore will be closed. The Board will discuss several current critical intelligence issues and advise the Director, Defense Intelligence Agency, as to the successful accomplishment of the mission assigned to the Joint Military Intelligence College.

Dated: November 4, 2002.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 02-28960 Filed 11-14-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Change in Meeting Date of the DOD Advisory Group on Electron Devices

AGENCY: Advisory Group on Electron Devices, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Working Group B (Microelectronics) of the DoD Advisory Group on Electron Devices (AGED) announces a change to a closed session meeting.

DATES: The meeting will be held at 1400, Friday, November 22, 2002.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held the Seaside Room, Hyatt Monterey, 1 Old Golf Course Drive, Monterey, CA 93940.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elise Rabin, AGED Secretariat, 1745 Jefferson Davis Highway, Crystal Square Four, Suite 500, Arlington, Virginia 22202.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The mission of the Advisory Group is to provide advice to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology, to the Director Defense Research and Engineering (DDR&E), and through the DDR&E, to the Director Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and the Military Departments in planning and managing an effective research and development program in the field of electron devices.

The Working Group B meeting will be limited to review of research and development programs which the military proposes to initiate with industry, universities or in their laboratories. The microelectronics area includes such programs on semiconductor materials, integrated circuits, charge coupled devices and memories. The review will include classified program details throughout.

In accordance with section 10(d) of Public Law 92-463, as amended, (5 U.S.C. App. sec 10(d)), it has been determined that this Advisory Group meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1), and that accordingly, this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: November 4, 2002.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 02-28959 Filed 11-14-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DoD.

ACTION: Notice to transfer and delete systems of records.

SUMMARY: The Defense Security Service (DSS) is transferring two systems of

records to the Defense Human Resources Activity, Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD). The systems of records are identified as V5-05, entitled 'Security Research Center Espionage Database', and V5-07, entitled 'Security Research Center Export Violations Database'.

The systems of records will be known as DHRA 01, entitled 'PERSEREC Espionage Database', and DHRA 03, 'PERSEREC Export Violations Database', respectively. Before being transferred, administrative changes have been made to the notices.

DATES: The changes will be effective on December 16, 2002, unless comments are received that would result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to OSD Privacy Act Coordinator, Records Management Section, Washington Headquarters Services, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1155.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. David Bosworth at (703) 601-4728.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Office of the Secretary of Defense notices for systems of records subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, have been published in the **Federal Register** and are available from the address above.

The specific changes to the records system being amended are set forth below followed by the notice, as amended, published in its entirety. The proposed amendments are not within the purview of subsection (r) of the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, which requires the submission of a new or altered system report.

Dated: November 4, 2002.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

Deletions

SYSTEM NAME:

V5-05; Security Research Center Espionage Database (June 1, 1999, 64 FR 29281).

Reason: The responsibility for this system of records is being transferred to the Defense Human Resources Activity (DHRA), Office of the Secretary of Defense. The system of records will be known as DHRA 01, entitled 'PERSEREC Espionage Database'.

SYSTEM NAME:

V5-07; Security Research Center Export Violations Database (June 1, 1999, 64 FR 29281).

Reason: The responsibility for this system of records is being transferred to

the Defense Human Resources Activity (DHRA), Office of the Secretary of Defense. The system of records will be known as DHRA 03, entitled 'PERSEREC Export Violations Database'.

* * * * *

SYSTEM NAME:

DHRA 01; PERSEREC Espionage Database.

SYSTEM LOCATION:

Defense Personnel Security Research and Education Center, 99 Pacific Street, Building 455E, Monterey, CA 93940-2481.

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

Individuals who have been arrested and convicted of espionage or related offense; those who have been prosecuted for espionage who committed suicide before trial or sentencing; and those arrested or under warrant for arrest for espionage who were not prosecuted because of death, suicide, or defection.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

Background information including individual's name, Social Security Number, date of birth, city/state/country of birth, education, marital status, gender, race, civilian or military member, rank (if military), security clearance (if applicable), years of federal service (if applicable), occupational category, job organization and location, age began espionage, first espionage contact, whether volunteered or recruited, receiving country, payment (if any), foreign relatives (if any), motivation-related, substance abuse (if applicable), date of arrest, arresting agency, date of sentence, sentence, and duration of espionage. Sources for records are newspaper and magazine articles, the biographies of spies, and similar open source works are included in paper files. Some of the missing variables have been filled in using information supplied by the agencies that investigated the case.

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

5 U.S.C. 301, Departmental Regulations; DoD Directive 5210.79, and ASD(C3I) October 31, 1991 memo, Subject: Request for Exemption from DoD Directive 5200.27; and E.O. 9397 (SSN);

PURPOSE(S):

To analyze factors which may contribute to acts of espionage and assemble a body of knowledge useful to improved personnel security procedures. This information will permit examination of espionage trends