

White River Lock and Dam No. 2, on the north side of the White River. The 100 ft by 150 ft substation would step-up the voltage from 25 kV to 161 kV, and have a transformer rating of 17.5 kV.

SWPA is a cooperating agency in the processing of the license amendment.

l. A copy of the application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link—select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at the address in item h above.

m. Individuals desiring to be included on the Commission's mailing list should so indicate by writing to the Secretary of the Commission.

Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS," "PROTEST," or "MOTION TO INTERVENE," as applicable, and the Project Number (No. 4660-028) of the particular application to which the filing refers. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of Independence County specified in item h, above.

Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representative listed in item h, above.

C.B. Spencer,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-2578 Filed 2-1-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING MEETING: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: January 28, 2002, 67 FR 3894.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: January 30, 2002 10 a.m.

CHANGE IN THE MEETING: The following Docket No. has been added to Item E-42 on the Commission Meeting of January 30, 2002.

Item No., Docket No., and Company

E-42—ER02-788-000, Gulf Power Company

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-2716 Filed 1-31-02; 2:07 pm]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Western Area Power Administration

Final Allocation of the Post-2004 Resource Pool-Salt Lake City Area Integrated Projects

AGENCY: Western Area Power Administration, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of final allocations.

SUMMARY: The Western Area Power Administration (Western), a Federal power marketing agency of the Department of Energy (DOE), announces its Salt Lake City Area Integrated Projects (SLCA/IP) Post-2004 Resource Pool Final Allocation of Power developed under the requirements of Subpart C-Power Marketing Initiative of the Energy Planning and Management Program (Program) Final Rule. This notice also includes Western's responses to comments on proposed allocations published June 13, 2001.

Final allocations are published to indicate Western's decisions prior to beginning the contractual phase of the process. Firm electric service contracts, negotiated between Western and allottees in this notice, will permit delivery of the allotted power from the October 2004 billing period through the September 2024 billing period.

DATES: The Post-2004 Resource Pool Final Allocation of Power will become effective March 6, 2002, and will remain in effect through September 30, 2024.

ADDRESSES: All documents developed or retained by Western in developing the final allocations are available for

inspection and copying at the CRSP Management Center, 150 East Social Hall Avenue, Suite 300, Salt Lake City, UT 84111.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Western published Final Post-2004 Resource Pool Allocation Procedures (Procedures) in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 48825, September 8, 1999) to implement Subpart C-Power Marketing Initiative of the Program's Final Rule (10 CFR part 905), published in the **Federal Register** (60 FR 54151, October 20, 1995). The Program, developed in part to implement section 114 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992, became effective on November 20, 1995. The goal of the Program is to require planning and efficient electric energy use by Western's long-term firm power customers and to extend Western's firm power resource commitments. One aspect of the Program is to establish project-specific power resource pools and allocate power from these pools to new preference customers.

Proposed allocations were published in the **Federal Register** (66 FR 31910, June 13, 2001). Public information/comment forums concerning the proposed allocations were held August 10, 15, 16, 21, and October 4, 2001. The public comment period closed October 11, 2001.

The Procedures, in conjunction with the Post-1989 Marketing Plan (51 FR 4844, February 7, 1986), establish the framework for allocating power from the SLCA/IP Post-2004 Power Pool.

I. Comments and Responses

Comment: Headgate Rock Dam generation should not be considered as an offset to Federal power when calculating the allocation for the Colorado River Indian Tribes (CRIT).

Response: Western has researched the authorizing legislation for Headgate Rock Dam and electric generation facilities and agrees with this comment. The dam was built as an Indian project by the Department of the Interior for the benefit of the CRIT under the Snyder Act (25 U.S.C. 13) and will not be considered a Federal power resource.

Comment: The marketing area of the SLCA/IP was limited to Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming, and parts of Nevada. Some tribes have portions of their reservations in California. These should have been considered in making allocations.

Response: Originally, the marketing area for the Colorado River Storage Project included all of the drainage area of the Colorado River. The Post-1989 Marketing Plan reduced the marketing area to Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, Wyoming, and portions of