

Beach, FL. The complainant requests that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders, and impose a bond upon respondent's alleged infringing articles during the 60-day Presidential review period pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(j).

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States;

(ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the requested remedial orders;

(iii) identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the requested exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) explain how the requested remedial orders would impact United States consumers.

Written submissions on the public interest must be filed no later than by close of business, eight calendar days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation. Any written submissions on other issues must also be filed by no later than the close of business, eight calendar days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Complainant may file replies to any written submissions no later than three calendar days after the date on which any initial submissions were due. No other submissions will be accepted, unless requested by the Commission. Any submissions and replies filed in response to this Notice

are limited to five (5) pages in length, inclusive of attachments.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above. Submissions should refer to the docket number ("Docket No. 3654") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, Electronic Filing Procedures.)<sup>1</sup> Please note the Secretary's Office will accept only electronic filings during this time. Filings must be made through the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS, <https://edis.usitc.gov>.) No in-person paper-based filings or paper copies of any electronic filings will be accepted until further notice. Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary at [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All information, including confidential business information and documents for which confidential treatment is properly sought, submitted to the Commission for purposes of this Investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) by the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel,<sup>2</sup> solely for cybersecurity purposes. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.<sup>3</sup>

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of 201.10 and 210.8(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

<sup>1</sup> Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures: [https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook\\_on\\_filing\\_procedures.pdf](https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf).

<sup>2</sup> All contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements.

<sup>3</sup> Electronic Document Information System (EDIS): <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 7, 2022.

**Katherine M. Hiner,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2022-24656 Filed 11-10-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On November 4, 2022, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Utica Resource Operating, LLC*, Case No. 22-cv-3906 (S.D. Ohio).

The Complaint seeks civil penalties and injunctive relief relating to a number of oil and natural gas production well pads that Utica Resource Operating, LLC ("URO") operates in Ohio. The claims in the Complaint arise from URO's alleged failure to comply with the CAA rules for preventing uncontrolled emissions of volatile organic compounds from dozens of large tanks that store crude oil and oily wastewater at the facilities. The Complaint alleges violations relating to noncompliance with storage vessel cover and closed vent system requirements, combustor operation requirements, and inspection and recordkeeping requirements. Under the Consent Decree, URO would be required to take a number of measures to come into compliance with the law. In particular, the proposed Consent Decree requires URO to pay a \$1 million civil penalty, complete a suite of injunctive relief at fifteen well pads to come into compliance with the NSPS and the facilities' operating permits, and implement mitigation measures at many of the well pads owned by URO. The Consent Decree requires a multi-step compliance program to review the current design of each tank and vapor control system and then make necessary design improvements to ensure that vapors will not be released to the atmosphere during operations.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Utica Resource Operating, LLC*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12514. All comments must be submitted no later than 30 days after the publication date of this notice.

Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

| <i>To submit comments:</i> | <i>Send them to:</i>                                                                          |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| By email .....             | <i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>                                                         |
| By mail .....              | Assistant Attorney General,<br>U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O.<br>Box 7611, Washington, DC<br>20044–7611. |

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$10.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

**Patricia McKenna,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2022–24680 Filed 11–10–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–15–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Mine Safety and Health Administration

#### Petition for Modification of Application of Existing Mandatory Safety Standards

**AGENCY:** Mine Safety and Health Administration, Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice is a summary of a petition for modification submitted to the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) by the party listed below.

**DATES:** All comments on the petition must be received by MSHA's Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances on or before December 14, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by Docket No. MSHA–2022–0059 by any of the following methods:

1. *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments for MSHA–2022–0059.
2. *Fax:* 202–693–9441.
3. *Email:* [petitioncomments@dol.gov](mailto:petitioncomments@dol.gov).
4. *Regular Mail or Hand Delivery:* MSHA, Office of Standards,

Regulations, and Variances, 201 12th Street South, Suite 4E401, Arlington, Virginia 22202–5452. *Attention:* S. Aromie Noe, Director, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances. Persons delivering documents are required to check in at the receptionist's desk in Suite 4E401. Individuals may inspect copies of the petition and comments during normal business hours at the address listed above. Before visiting MSHA in person, call 202–693–9455 to make an appointment, in keeping with the Department of Labor's COVID–19 policy. Special health precautions may be required.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** S. Aromie Noe, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances at 202–693–9440 (voice), [Petitionsformodification@dol.gov](mailto:Petitionsformodification@dol.gov) (email), or 202–693–9441 (fax). [These are not toll-free numbers.]

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 and Title 30 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 44 govern the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for modification.

#### I. Background

Section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (Mine Act) allows the mine operator or representative of miners to file a petition to modify the application of any mandatory safety standard to a coal or other mine if the Secretary of Labor determines that:

1. An alternative method of achieving the result of such standard exists which will at all times guarantee no less than the same measure of protection afforded the miners of such mine by such standard; or

2. The application of such standard to such mine will result in a diminution of safety to the miners in such mine.

In addition, sections 44.10 and 44.11 of 30 CFR establish the requirements for filing petitions for modification.

#### II. Petition for Modification

*Docket Number:* M–2022–024–C.

*Petitioner:* Panther Creek Mining, 250 West Main Street, Suite 2000, Lexington, Kentucky, 40507.

*Mine:* Maple Eagle No. 1 Mine, MSHA ID No. 46–04236, located in Fayette County, West Virginia.

*Regulation Affected:* 30 CFR 75.507–1(a), Electric equipment other than power-connection points; outby the last open crosscut; return air; permissibility requirements.

*Modification Request:* The petitioner requests a modification of 30 CFR 75.507–1(a) to permit the use of battery-

powered nonpermissible surveying equipment, including, but not limited to, portable battery operated mine transits, total station surveying equipment, distance meters, and data loggers in return airways.

The petitioner states that:

(a) To comply with requirements of 30 CFR 75.372 use of the most practical and accurate surveying equipment is necessary.

(b) Mechanical surveying equipment has been obsolete for several years. Such equipment of acceptable quality is not commercially available, and it is difficult, if not impossible, to have such equipment serviced or repaired.

(c) Electronic surveying equipment is, at a minimum, eight to ten times more accurate than mechanical equipment.

(d) Underground mining by its nature, size and complexity of mine plans requires that accurate and precise measurements be completed in a prompt and efficient manner.

The petitioner proposes the following alternative method:

(a) Using the following total station and theodolite and similar low voltage battery-operated total stations and theodolites with an ingress protection (IP) rating of 66 or greater in or inby the last open crosscut, in the return, or within 150 feet of pillar workings or longwall faces subject to the conditions of the Decision and Order:

(1) Topcom GPT–3002LW.

(b) The equipment allowed under the Decision and Order is low voltage or battery-powered non-permissible total stations and theodolites with an IP rating of 66 or greater.

(c) The operator shall maintain a logbook for electronic surveying equipment with the equipment, in the location where mine record books are kept, or in the location where the surveying record books are kept. The logbook will contain the date of manufacture and/or purchase of each piece of electronic surveying equipment. The logbook shall be made available to MSHA upon request.

(d) All non-permissible electronic surveying equipment to be used in or inby the last open crosscut, in the return, or within 150 feet of pillar workings or longwall faces shall be examined by the person to operate the equipment prior to taking the equipment underground to ensure the equipment is maintained in a safe operating condition. These examinations shall include:

(1) Checking the instrument for any physical damage and the integrity of the case;

(2) Removing the battery and inspecting for corrosion;