change the term "unit outage" to "site outage" used in § 26.205(d)(4) and (d)(5) and that the definition of "site outage" should be provided to read as "up to 1 week prior to disconnecting the reactor unit from the grid and up to 75-percent turbine power following reconnection to the grid" (ADAMS Accession No. ML092960440). The NRC docketed the petition as PRM-26-3, and on November 27, 2009, published a document in the Federal Register requesting public comment (74 FR 62257). The comment period closed on February 10, 2010, and the NRC received 4 comment letters. After evaluating the merits of the petition and the public comments, the NRC determined that the issues raised in PRM-26-3 would be considered in a planned rulemaking activity titled, Quality Control/Quality Verification" (QC/QV) (Docket ID: NRC-2009-0090) and published a Federal Register notice (76 FR 28192) on May 16, 2011 to this

(2) PRM–26–5 Submitted by Anthony R. Pietrangelo on Behalf of NEI

On September 3, 2010, Anthony R. Pietrangelo on behalf of NEI, a nuclear power industry trade association, submitted a PRM requesting that the NRC amend its regulations regarding fatigue management based on experience gained since the regulations were amended in 2008. The NRC docketed the petition as PRM-26-5, and on October 22, 2010, published a document in the Federal Register requesting public comment (75 FR 65249). The comment period closed on January 5, 2011, and the NRC received 39 comment letters. After evaluating the merits of the petition and the public comments, the NRC determined that the issues raised in PRM-26-5 would be considered in the planned QC/QV rulemaking and published a Federal Register notice (76 FR 28192) on May 16, 2011 to this effect.

## II. Discussion

A. Discontinuation of the Quality Control/Quality Verification (QC/QV) Rulemaking

In SECY-15-0074, "Discontinuation of Rulemaking Activity—Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations Part 26, Subpart I, Quality Control and Quality Verification Personnel in Fitness for Duty Program (RIN 3150-AF12)," (ADAMS Accession No. ML15084A092) dated May 19, 2015, the NRC staff requested Commission approval to discontinue the QC/QV rulemaking. This request was based on the following factors: (1) QC/QV inspections are most

often performed by maintenance personnel who are already covered by the work hour controls in 10 CFR part 26, subpart I; (2) the few remaining inspections are performed by a small number of OC/OV-dedicated personnel; and (3) backfitting the 10 CFR part 26, subpart I, work hour controls to the QC/ QV-dedicated personnel would not result in a substantial increase in the overall protection of the public health and safety or common defense and security. The Commission approved the discontinuation of this rulemaking effort in SRM-SECY-15-0074, "Staff Requirements—SECY-15-0074-Discontinuation of Rulemaking Activity—Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations Part 26, Subpart I, Quality Control and Quality Verification Personnel in Fitness for Duty Program (RIN 3150-AF12)," dated July 14, 2015 (ADAMS Accession No. ML15195A577).

## B. Denial of PRM-26-3 and PRM-26-5

Under § 2.803(i)(2), if after closing the docket for a PRM under § 2.803(h)(2)(ii) by addressing it in an ongoing rulemaking the NRC decides not to complete the rulemaking, the PRM is documented as a denial of the PRM. In SRM—SECY—15—0074, the Commission approved the discontinuation of the QC/QV rulemaking, which was identified to address PRM—26—3 and PRM—26—5. Therefore, the NRC is denying these petitions without prejudice.

# III. Conclusion

The NRC previously discontinued the QC/QV rulemaking and is therefore denying without prejudice PRM–26–3 and PRM–26–5 for the reasons discussed in this document.

Dated: December 8, 2020.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Annette L. Vietti-Cook,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2020-27363 Filed 12-16-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Part 50

[Docket No. PRM-50-75; NRC-2002-0018]

# Large Break Loss-of-Coolant Accident Redefinition

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory

Commission.

**ACTION:** Petition for rulemaking; denial.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is denying a petition for rulemaking dated February 6, 2002, submitted by Anthony R. Pietrangelo on

behalf of the Nuclear Energy Institute, due to the discontinuation of the associated rulemaking.

**DATES:** As of December 17, 2020, the docket for PRM-50-75 is closed.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2002–0018 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- Federal Rulemaking Website: Go to https://www.regulations.gov and search for Docket ID NRC-2002-0018. Address questions about NRC dockets to Dawn Forder; telephone: 301-415-3407; email: Dawn.Forder@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individuals listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document.
- NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may obtain publiclyavailable documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/ adams.html. To begin the search, select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415–4737, or by email to pdr.resource@ nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if it is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that it is mentioned in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.
- Attention: The PDR, where you may examine and order copies of public documents, is currently closed. You may submit your request to the PDR via email at PDR.Resource@nrc.gov or call 1–800–397–4209 between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. (EST), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yanely Malave, telephone: 301–415–1519; email: Yanely.Malave@nrc.gov; or Robert Beall, telephone: 301–415–3874; email: Robert.Beall@nrc.gov. Both are staff of the Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) 2.802, "Petition for rulemaking—requirements for filing," provides an opportunity for any interested person to petition the Commission to issue, amend, or rescind any regulation. On February 6, 2002, Anthony R. Pietrangelo, on behalf of the Nuclear Energy Institute (petitioner),

submitted a petition for rulemaking requesting that the NRC amend its regulations to allow licensees to use an alternative to the double-ended guillotine break of the largest pipe in the reactor coolant system in emergency core cooling system (ECCS) evaluation models (ADAMS Accession No. ML082460625). The NRC docketed the petition as PRM-50-75, and on April 8, 2002, published a notice of docketing in the **Federal Register** and requested public comment (67 FR 16654). The comment period closed on June 24, 2002, and the NRC received 18 comment letters (ADAMS Accession No. ML022390515).

After evaluating the merits of the petition and the public comments, the NRC determined that the issues raised in PRM–50–75 would be considered in the ongoing "Risk-Informed Redefinition of Large Break Loss-of-Coolant Accident (LOCA) Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) Requirements" rulemaking. On November 6, 2008, the NRC published a document in the **Federal Register** (73 FR 66000) stating that the NRC would address the substantive comments filed in PRM–50–75 as part of that rulemaking.

#### II. Discussion

#### A. Discontinuation of the Rulemaking

On December 10, 2010, the NRC staff provided the Commission SECY-10-0161, "Final Rule: Risk-Informed Changes to Loss-of-Coolant Accident Technical Requirements (10 CFR 50.46a)(RIN 3150–AH29)." Subsequent to the accident at Fukushima Dai-İchi Nuclear Plant in March of 2011, the NRC staff requested Commission approval to withdraw the draft final rule during its evaluation of Fukushima Near-Term Task Force Recommendation 1 regarding the development of a new risk-informed regulatory framework from SECY-11-0093, "Near-Term Report and Recommendations for Agency Actions Following The Events in Japan," and the recent publication of NUREG-2150, "A Proposed Risk Management Regulatory Framework." The staff stated that:

Although the staff believes that the draft final 10 CFR 50.46a rule is an appropriate and well-founded approach to risk-inform the NRC's emergency core cooling requirements, the staff requests that SECY–10-0161 be withdrawn from Commission consideration so that it may be resubmitted later after the staff has completed its regulatory framework evaluation. When the staff establishes its recommended approach, it will re-evaluate the draft final 10 CFR 50.46a rule to ensure its compatibility with the recommended regulatory framework.

Based on the outcome of the compatibility evaluation and the completion of any necessary changes, the staff will re-submit the draft final 10 CFR 50.46a rule with or shortly after providing its regulatory framework recommendation to the Commission.<sup>1</sup>

In SECY-16-0009,

"Recommendations Resulting from the Integrated Prioritization and Re-Baselining of Agency Activities" (ADAMS Accession No. ML16028A189) dated January 31, 2016, the NRC staff requested Commission approval of work to be shed, deprioritized, or performed with fewer resources. One of the items to be discontinued was the risk-informed loss-of-coolant accident rulemaking (Item 1 of Enclosure 1 to SECY-16-0009).

This rule would have provided a voluntary alternative to current regulatory requirements. However, at a public meeting to discuss the Risk Management Regulatory Framework paper (ADAMS Accession No. ML15026A328), certain industry representatives indicated that the nuclear industry would not be interested in implementing the final rule. The NRC staff's regulatory analysis for the draft final rule (ADAMS Accession No. ML103230250) also discussed comments submitted by the Boiling Water Reactor Owners Group, which conveyed the view that it would be difficult to evaluate the cost-benefit of the rule due to uncertainties about the cost of adopting the rule.

The Commission approved the discontinuation of the rulemaking in the staff requirements memorandum (SRM) to SECŶ-16-0009. On October 6, 2016, the NRC published a notice in the Federal Register informing the public of its decision to discontinue the 10 CFR 50.46a ECCS rulemaking. The NRC stated that it had "decided not to proceed with this rulemaking activity because there is minimal adverse impact on our mission, principles, or values and the industry has indicated that there may not be much interest in implementing the final rule" (81 FR 69447).

# B. Denial of PRM-50-75

Under 10 CFR 2.803(i)(2), after closing the docket for a PRM under § 2.803(h)(2)(ii) by addressing it in an ongoing rulemaking, if the NRC decides not to complete the rulemaking, the PRM is documented as denied. In SRM–SECY–16–0009, the Commission approved discontinuation of the risk-informed LOCA requirements

rulemaking, which was the rulemaking identified to address PRM-50-75. Therefore, the NRC is denying PRM-50-75 without prejudice.

#### **III. Conclusion**

The NRC previously discontinued the risk-informed LOCA requirements rulemaking and is therefore denying without prejudice PRM-50-75 for the reasons discussed in this document.

Dated: December 8, 2020.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Annette L. Vietti-Cook,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2020–27364 Filed 12–16–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## 10 CFR Chapter I

[NRC-2020-0065]

### Transfer of Very Low-Level Waste To Exempt Persons for Disposal

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory

Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed interpretive rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is withdrawing a proposed interpretation of its low-level radioactive waste disposal regulations that would permit licensees to dispose of waste by transfer to persons who hold specific exemptions for the purpose of disposal by burial. The proposal is being withdrawn based on the NRC staff's assessment that the proposed changes may not benefit the regulatory framework for the disposal of low-level radioactive waste.

**DATES:** The proposed interpretive rule is withdrawn as of December 17, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2020–0065 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this action. You may obtain publicly available information related to this action by any of the following methods:

- Federal Rulemaking Website: Go to https://www.regulations.gov and search for Docket ID NRC-2020-0065. Address questions about Docket IDs in Regulations.gov to Jennifer Borges; telephone: 301-287-9127; email: Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individuals listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document.
- NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may obtain publicly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Email dated April 20, 2012 from G. Bowman requesting withdrawal of 10 CFR 50.46a final rule (ADAMS Accession No. ML121500380).