and the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

#### II. Background

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the NRC is requesting public comment on its intention to request the OMB's approval for the information collection summarized below.

- 1. The title of the information collection: NRC Form 748, National Source Tracking Transaction Report.
  - 2. *OMB approval number*: 3150–0202.
  - 3. Type of submission: Extension.
- 4. The form number, if applicable: NRC Form 748.
- 5. How often the collection is required or requested: On occasion (at completion of a transaction, and at inventory reconciliation).
- 6. Who will be required or asked to respond: Licensees that manufacture, receive, transfer, disassemble, or dispose of nationally tracked sources.
- 7. The estimated number of annual responses: 20,306 (13,200 online + 480 batch upload + 6,626 NRC Form 748).
- 8. The estimated number of annual respondents: 1,400 (260 NRC Licensees + 1,140 Agreement State Licensees).
- 9. The estimated number of hours needed annually to comply with the information collection requirement or request: 2,209.7.

10. Abstract: In 2006, the NRC amended its regulations to implement a National Source Tracking System (NSTS) for certain sealed sources. The amendments require licensees to report certain transactions involving nationally tracked sources to the NSTS. These transactions include manufacture, transfer, receipt, disassembly, or disposal of the nationally tracked source. This information collection is mandatory and is used to populate the NSTS. National source tracking is part of a comprehensive radioactive source control program for radioactive materials of greatest concern. The NRC and Agreement States use the information provided by licensees in the NSTS to track the life cycle of the

nationally tracked source from manufacture through shipment receipt, decay, and burial. NSTS enhances the ability of NRC and Agreement States to conduct inspections and investigations, communicate information to other government agencies, and verify legitimate ownership and use of nationally tracked sources.

#### **III. Specific Requests for Comments**

The NRC is seeking comments that address the following questions:

- 1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
- 2. Is the estimate of the burden of the information collection accurate?
- 3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
- 4. How can the burden of the information collection on respondents be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of June 2015.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Tremaine Donnell**,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

[FR Doc. 2015–14497 Filed 6–11–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

## Submission for OMB Review; Comments Request

**AGENCY:** Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC).

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), agencies are required to publish a Notice in the **Federal Register** notifying the public that the agency is modifying an existing previously approved information collection for OMB review and approval and requests public review and comment on the submission. Comments are being solicited on the need for the information; the accuracy of OPIC's burden estimate; the quality, practical utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize reporting the burden, including automated collected techniques and uses of other forms of technology. **DATES:** Comments must be received

within sixty (60) calendar days of publication of this Notice.

ADDRESSES: Mail all comments and requests for copies of the subject form to OPIC's Agency Submitting Officer: James Bobbitt, Overseas Private Investment Corporation, 1100 New York Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20527. See SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION for other information about filing.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** OPIC Agency Submitting Officer: James Bobbitt, (202) 336–8558.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** All mailed comments and requests for copies of the subject form should include form number OPIC–129 on both the envelope and in the subject line of the letter. Electronic comments and requests for copies of the subject form may be sent to <code>James.Bobbitt@opic.gov</code>, subject line OPIC–129.

### **Summary Form Under Review**

*Type of Request:* Revision of currently approved information collection.

*Title:* Sponsor Disclosure Report. *Form Number:* OPIC–129.

Frequency of Use: One per investor per project.

Type of Respondents: Business or other institution (except farms); individuals.

Standard Industrial Classification Codes: All.

Description of Affected Public: U.S. companies or citizens investing overseas.

Reporting Hours: 1890 (3 hours per response).

 $\hat{N}$ umber of Responses: 630 per year. Federal Cost: \$64,801.80 (\$51.43 × 630 × 2)

Authority for Information Collection: Sections 231, 234(a), 239(d), and 240A of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended.

Abstract (Needs and Uses): The information provided in the OPIC-129 is used by OPIC as a part of the Character Risk Due Diligence/ background check procedure (similar to a commercial bank's Know Your Customer procedure) that it performs on each party that has a significant relationship (10% or more beneficial ownership, provision of significant credit support, significant managerial relationship) to the projects that OPIC finances. The questions on the form have been updated to improve OPIC's due diligence process and the format has been modified to make it easier for employees to review.

Dated: June 8, 2015.

### Nichole Skoyles,

 $Administrative\ Counsel, Department\ of\ Legal$  Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2015–14411 Filed 6–11–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3210–01–P