

Dated: May 1, 2003.

Bobby Accord,

*Administrator, Animal and Plant Health
Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 03-12142 Filed 5-19-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2003-NM-118-AD; Amendment
39-13149; AD 2003-10-04]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Dassault Model Mystere-Falcon 50 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation
Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for
comments.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Dassault Model Mystere-Falcon 50 series airplanes. This action requires a detailed inspection for clearance and chafing of the entire length of the hydraulic lines located within the engine pylons, and follow-on/corrective actions, as applicable. This action also requires a revision of the maintenance manual to ensure that the clamps that hold the hydraulic lines are in their initial position during normal maintenance, and that the position is identified with a yellow paint line. This action is necessary to prevent chafing and consequent leakage of the hydraulic lines located within the No. 1 and No. 3 engine pylons, which can result in failure of the No. 1 and No. 2 hydraulic systems, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Effective June 4, 2003.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of June 4, 2003.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before June 19, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2003-NM-118-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m.,

Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227-1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: *9-anm-iarcomment@faa.gov*. Comments sent via the Internet must contain "Docket No. 2003-NM-118-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via fax or the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 or 2000 or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Dassault Falcon Jet, PO Box 2000, South Hackensack, New Jersey 07606. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Rodriguez, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-1137; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Direction Générale de l'Aviation Civile (DGAC), which is the airworthiness authority for France, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Dassault Model Mystere-Falcon 50 series airplanes. The DGAC advises that since September 2002, there have been four separate reports of interference and consequent chafing on the hydraulic lines located within the No. 1 and No. 3 engine pylons. The interference and consequent chafing were discovered during scheduled maintenance. In a recent occurrence (April 9, 2003), the No. 1 hydraulic system failed due to leakage of the hydraulic line where chafing had worn through the hydraulic line. The No. 1 and No. 3 engine pylon installations are symmetrical. Subsequent investigation of the No. 3 engine pylon revealed that the hydraulic lines of the No. 2 hydraulic system were also chafed severely. Such chafing and consequent leakage of the hydraulic lines located within the No. 1 and No. 3 engine pylons, if not corrected, can result in failure of the No. 1 and No. 2 hydraulic systems, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

Dassault has issued Service Bulletin F50-A370, dated May 6, 2003, which describes procedures for a detailed inspection for clearance and chafing of

the entire length of the hydraulic lines located within the engine pylons, and follow-on/corrective actions, as applicable. The follow-on actions include fastening the hydraulic clamps, ensuring proper clearance between the hydraulic lines and adjacent structure, and using yellow paint to mark the location of the clamps installed on the hydraulic lines. The corrective actions include inspecting for discrepancies (*i.e.*, evidence of contact, chafing, or abrasion) between the hydraulic lines, measuring the wear depth, and replacing the hydraulic line with a new hydraulic line, as applicable.

Dassault has issued Falcon 50 Maintenance Manual Temporary Revision 37, dated May 2003, which describes procedures for ensuring that the clamps that hold the hydraulic lines are reinstalled in their initial position during normal maintenance, and that the position is identified with a yellow paint line. Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin and the maintenance manual temporary revision are intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition.

The DGAC classified this service bulletin and maintenance manual temporary revision as mandatory and issued French telegraphic airworthiness directive T2003-190(B), dated May 6, 2003, to ensure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in France.

FAA's Conclusions

This airplane model is manufactured in France and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of § 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the DGAC has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the DGAC, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Explanation of Requirements of Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, this AD is being issued to prevent chafing and consequent leakage of the hydraulic lines located within the No. 1 and No. 3 engine pylons, which can result in failure of the No. 1 and No. 2 hydraulic systems, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane. This AD requires a detailed inspection

for clearance and chafing of the entire length of the hydraulic lines within the pylons, and follow-on/corrective actions, as applicable. This AD also requires a revision of the maintenance manual to ensure that the clamps that hold the hydraulic lines are reinstalled in their initial position during normal maintenance, and that the position is identified with a yellow paint line. The actions are required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin and maintenance manual temporary revision described previously.

Difference Between This AD and French Airworthiness Directive

Operators should note that the French telegraphic airworthiness directive specifies that the required actions be accomplished within the next 3 flights from the date of receipt of the French telegraphic airworthiness directive. The compliance time for this AD is within 7 days of the effective date of this AD.

We have determined that the identified unsafe condition is not a catastrophic event based on the following information:

- There is no indication that two hydraulic systems will fail simultaneously. The event that occurred on April 9, 2003, was a single system failure.
- The Falcon 50 Airplane Flight Manual contains procedures for single and dual hydraulic systems failure.
- Model Mystere-Falcon 50 series airplanes are certified for manual reversion of the flight control system with the loss of the No. 1 and No. 2 hydraulic systems.
- Model Mystere-Falcon 50 series airplanes have an electric auxiliary hydraulic system in the event that No. 1 and No. 2 hydraulic systems fail.

In light of all of these factors, we find a 7-day compliance time for completing the required actions to be warranted, in that it represents an appropriate interval of time allowable for affected airplanes to continue to operate without compromising safety.

Changes to 14 CFR Part 39/Effect on the AD

On July 10, 2002, the FAA issued a new version of 14 CFR part 39 (67 FR 47997, July 22, 2002), which governs the FAA's airworthiness directives system. The regulation now includes material that relates to altered products, special flight permits, and alternative methods of compliance (AMOC). Because we have now included this material in part 39, only the office authorized to approve AMOCs is identified in each individual AD.

Determination of Rule's Effective Date

Since a situation exists that requires the immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and opportunity for prior public comment hereon are impracticable, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

Comments Invited

Although this action is in the form of a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety and, thus, was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments, as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption **ADDRESSES**. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.
- For each issue, state what specific change to the AD is being requested.
- Include justification (*e.g.*, reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this AD will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this rule must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 2003-NM-118-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

The FAA has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation that must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe condition in aircraft, and that it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866. It has been determined further that this action involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979). If it is determined that this emergency regulation otherwise would be significant under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures, a final regulatory evaluation will be prepared and placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it, if filed, may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

2003-10-04 Dassault Aviation:

Amendment 39-13149. Docket 2003-NM-118-AD.

Applicability: Model Mystere-Falcon 50 series airplanes having serial numbers 2 through 329 inclusive, certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent chafing and consequent leakage of the hydraulic lines located within the No. 1 and No. 3 engine pylons, which can result in failure of the No. 1 and No. 2 hydraulic systems, and consequent reduced

controllability of the airplane, accomplish the following:

Service Information

(a) The following information pertains to the service bulletin referenced in this AD:

(1) The term "service bulletin," as used in this AD, means the Accomplishment Instructions of Dassault Service Bulletin F50-A370, dated May 6, 2003.

(2) Although the service bulletin referenced in this AD specifies to submit inspection results to the manufacturer, this AD does not include such a requirement.

Inspection

(b) Within 7 days after the effective date of this AD, do a detailed inspection of the entire length of the hydraulic lines located within the No. 1 and No. 3 engine pylons for clearance, per paragraph 2.C.(2)(b) of the service bulletin.

Note 1: For the purposes of this AD, a detailed inspection is defined as: "An intensive visual examination of a specific structural area, system, installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at intensity deemed appropriate by the inspector. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be used. Surface cleaning and elaborate access procedures may be required."

Proper Clearance

(c) If the clearance of both hydraulic lines is found within the limits specified in the service bulletin during the inspection required by paragraph (b) of this AD, do the actions specified in paragraph (e) of this AD.

Incorrect Clearance

(d) If the clearance of any hydraulic line is found outside the limits specified in the service bulletin during the inspection required by paragraph (b) of this AD, before further flight, do a detailed inspection for discrepancies (*i.e.*, evidence of contact, chafing, or abrasion) between the hydraulic lines, per the service bulletin.

(1) If no discrepancy is detected, do the actions specified in paragraph (e) of this AD.

(2) If any discrepancy is detected, before further flight, measure the wear depth per the service bulletin.

(i) If the measurement is less than 0.004-inch (0.10 millimeter (mm)), no further action is required by this paragraph.

(ii) If the measurement is greater than or equal to 0.004-inch (0.10 mm), at the applicable time specified in Figure 1, 2, or 3 of the service bulletin following the inspection required by paragraph (d) of this AD, replace the hydraulic line with a new hydraulic line per the service bulletin. The term "flights," as used in Figures 1, 2, and 3 of the service bulletin, means "flight cycles" for this AD.

Fastening Lines, Ensuring Proper Clearance, and Marking Location of Clamps

(e) Before further flight following any inspection or replacement required by this AD, do the actions specified in paragraphs (e)(1), (e)(2), and (e)(3) of this AD per the service bulletin.

(1) Using clamps, screws, and nuts, fasten the hydraulic line(s) as indicated in Figure 4 of the service bulletin.

(2) Ensure proper clearance between the hydraulic line(s) and adjacent structure as indicated in paragraph 2.C.(2)(b) of the service bulletin.

(3) Using yellow paint, mark the location of the clamps installed on the hydraulic line(s).

Revision to Maintenance Manual

(f) Within 7 days after the effective date of this AD, revise the Dassault Falcon 50 Maintenance Manual by inserting a copy of Dassault Falcon 50 Maintenance Manual Temporary Revision 37, dated May 2003.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(g) In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD.

Incorporation by Reference

(h) The actions shall be done per Dassault Service Bulletin F50-A370, dated May 6, 2003; and Dassault Falcon 50 Maintenance Manual Temporary Revision 37, dated May 2003; as applicable. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Dassault Falcon Jet, PO Box 2000, South Hackensack, New Jersey 07606. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note 2: The subject of this AD is addressed in French telegraphic airworthiness directive T2003-190(B), dated May 6, 2003.

Effective Date

(i) This amendment becomes effective on June 4, 2003.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 9, 2003.

Vi L. Lipski,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 03-12110 Filed 5-19-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

32 CFR Part 3

RIN 0790-AH01

Transactions Other Than Contracts, Grants, or Cooperative Agreements for Prototype Projects

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DoD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule establishes the Department's audit policy for prototype

projects that use "other transaction" authority. Representatives of the military departments, Defense agencies and other DoD activities, have agreed on a final rule that amends the proposed rule as a result of comments received.

EFFECTIVE DATES: This final rule will become effective on June 19, 2003. This final rule will become effective for new solicitations issued on June 19, 2003, and for any issued thereafter. This final rule may be used for new prototype awards that result from solicitations issued prior to June 19, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Capitano, (703) 847-7486.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background and Purpose

Section 845 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994, Public Law 103-160, 107 Stat. 1547, as amended, authorizes the Secretary of a Military Department, the Director of Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and any other official designated by the Secretary of Defense, to enter into transactions other than contracts, grants or cooperative agreements in certain situations for prototype projects that are directly relevant to weapons or weapon systems proposed to be acquired or developed by the Department of Defense. Such transactions are commonly referred to as "other transaction" agreements for prototype projects. To the extent that a particular statute or regulation is limited in its applicability to the use of a procurement contract, it would generally not apply to "other transactions" for prototype projects.

Part 3 to 32 CFR was established to codify policy pertaining to prototype "other transactions" that have a significant impact on the public and are subject to rulemaking. Additional guidance on prototype "other transactions" directed at Government officials can be found at the Defense Procurement Web site at: <http://www.osd.dp.mil>.

A proposed rule was published in the **Federal Register** (66 FR 58422-58425) for public comment on November 21, 2001. A notice of public meeting was published in the **Federal Register** on March 4, 2002 (67 FR 9632), and held on March 27, 2002. The proposed rule addressed conditions on use of "other transactions" for prototype projects, the nontraditional Defense contractor definition and audit policy. Comments on the proposed rule were received from five respondents and approximately 50 representatives of Government and industry attended the public meeting. The majority of the written comments