

email LT Ben Bauman, Seventeenth Coast Guard District (dpi), by phone at (907) 463-2809 or email at Benjamin.A.Bauman@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background and Purpose

The Coast Guard published guidelines on December 31, 1992 (57 FR 62600), to assist groups seeking recertification under the Oil Terminal and Oil Tanker Environmental Oversight and Monitoring Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2732) (the Act). The Coast Guard issued a policy statement on July 7, 1993 (58 FR 36504), to clarify the factors that the Coast Guard would be considering in making its determination as to whether advisory groups should be certified in accordance with the Act, and the procedures which the Coast Guard would follow in meeting its certification responsibilities under the Act. Most recently, on September 16, 2002 (67 FR 58440), the Coast Guard changed its policy on recertification procedures for regional citizen's advisory council by requiring applicants to provide comprehensive information every three years. For each of the two years between the triennial application procedures, applicants submit a letter requesting recertification that includes a description of any substantive changes to the information provided at the previous triennial recertification. Further, public comment is only solicited during the triennial comprehensive review.

The Alyeska Pipeline Service Company pays the PWSRCAC \$3.7 million annually in the form of a long-term contract. In return for this funding, the PWSRCAC must annually show that it "fosters the goals and purposes" of OPA 90 and is "broadly representative of the communities and interests in the vicinity of the terminal facilities and Prince William Sound." The PWSRCAC is an independent, nonprofit organization founded in 1989. Though it receives federal oversight like many independent, nonprofit organizations, it is not a federal agency. The PWSRCAC is a local organization that predates the passage of OPA 90. The existence of the PWSRCAC was specifically recognized in OPA 90 where it is defined as an "alternative voluntary advisory group." Alyeska Pipeline Service Company funds the PWSRCAC, and the Coast Guard ensures the PWSRCAC operates in a fashion that is broadly consistent with OPA 90.

Discussion of Comments

On December 22, 2022, the Coast Guard published a Notice; Request for comments titled "Application for

Recertification of Prince William Sound Regional Citizens' Advisory Council" in the **Federal Register** (87 FR 78701). We received 76 comments, all in support of the PWSRCAC recertification. No public meeting was requested. The comments consistently cited PWSRCAC's collaborative partnerships in furthering the respective communities' interest to promote safety, to maintain effective prevention and response efforts regarding oil pollution, and to protect the sensitive marine environment along Alaska's coastline.

Recertification

By letter dated February 25, 2023, the Commander, Seventeenth Coast Guard District, certified that the PWSRCAC qualifies as an alternative voluntary advisory group under 33 U.S.C. 2732(o). This recertification terminates on February 29, 2024.

Dated: March 6, 2023.

Nathan A. Moore,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Seventeenth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 2023-06473 Filed 3-28-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-7070-N-15; OMB Control No. 2528-0321]

30-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Evaluation of the Supportive Services Demonstration

AGENCY: Office of Policy Development and Research, Chief Data Officer, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: HUD is seeking approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for the information collection described below. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, HUD is requesting comment from all interested parties on the proposed collection of information. The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days of public comment.

DATES: *Comments Due Date:* April 28, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting "Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Anna P. Guido, Reports Management Officer, REE, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW, Washington, DC 20410; email Anna P. Guido at Anna.P.Guido@hud.gov or telephone 202-402-5535. This is not a toll-free number, HUD welcomes and is prepared to receive calls from individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing, as well as individuals with speech or communication disabilities. To learn more about how to make an accessible telephone call, please visit: <https://www.fcc.gov/consumers/guides/telecommunications-relay-service-trs>.

Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Guido.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice informs the public that HUD is seeking approval from OMB for the information collection described in Section A.

The **Federal Register** notice that solicited public comment on the information collection for a period of 60 days was published on January 4, 2023, at 88 FR 365.

A. Overview of Information Collection

Title of Information Collection: Evaluation of the Supportive Services Demonstration.

OMB Approval Number: 2528-0321.

Type of Request: Revision.

Form Number: None.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has contracted with Abt Associates Inc. and L&M Policy Research to continue conducting an evaluation of HUD's Supportive Services Demonstration (demonstration, or SSD), which was extended by Congress for an additional two years in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021. The demonstration tests the Integrated Wellness in Supportive Housing (IWISH) model and is designed to learn whether structured health and wellness support can help older adults living in affordable housing successfully age in place. The demonstration funds a full-time Resident Wellness Director and part-time Wellness Nurse to work in HUD-assisted housing developments that either predominantly or exclusively serve households headed by people aged 62 and over. The demonstration is testing whether IWISH will affect unplanned hospitalizations and the use of other types of acute care with high healthcare costs, the use of primary and nonacute care, the length of stay in housing, transitions to long-term care facilities, and mortality. Eligible HUD-assisted properties applied for the

demonstration and were randomly assigned to one of three groups:

1. A “treatment group” that received grant funding to hire a Resident Wellness Director and Wellness Nurse and implement the SSD model (40 properties).

2. An “active control” group that did not receive grant funding but received a stipend to participate in the evaluation (40 properties).

3. A “passive control” group that received neither grant funding nor a stipend (44 properties).

The random assignment permits an evaluation that quantifies the impact of the SSD model by comparing outcomes at the 40 treatment group properties to outcomes at the 84 properties in the active and passive control groups.

Under contract with HUD’s Office of Policy Development and Research, Abt Associates Inc. has been conducting a two-part evaluation: a process study to describe the implementation of the demonstration, and an impact study to measure the effect of the SSD model on residents’ use of healthcare services and housing stability. The first phase of the demonstration ran from October 2017–October 2020. The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2021 and Other Extensions Act and the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021 extended the demonstration for an additional two

years. Abt will continue to evaluate the demonstration through September 2026.

During the first phase of the evaluation, Abt Associates Inc. received OMB approval for the following primary data collection activities:

- Questionnaires with staff from the treatment and active control properties.
- Focus groups with residents of treatment and active control properties and caregivers of residents of the treatment properties.

- Interviews with Resident Wellness Directors and Wellness Nurses at the treatment group properties.

- Interviews with Service Coordinators at the active control group properties

- Interviews with representatives of organizations that own or manage the active control or treatment properties.

This request is for an additional round of data collection for the activities listed below:

- Interviews with Resident Wellness Directors and Wellness Nurses at each of the 40 treatment properties.
- Interviews with property owners or managers at the 40 treatment properties and 40 active control properties.
- Interviews with up to 150 residents of 10 of the treatment properties.

The purpose of these activities is to collect data from demonstration staff, property owners and managers, and residents about the continued

implementation of the demonstration, including the model’s strengths and weakness, and how resident wellness services and activities compare across treatment and control properties. The evaluation will culminate in a comprehensive report that will be made publicly available.

Respondents: Resident Wellness Directors, Wellness Nurses, Property owners and managers, and HUD-assisted residents (aged 62 and over).

Estimated Number of Respondents: Up to 54 Resident Wellness Directors, 44 Wellness Nurses, 40 property owners and managers of treatment properties, 40 property owners and managers of active control properties, and 150 HUD-assisted residents aged 62 and older living in treatment properties.

Frequency of Response: Once for all interviews.

Average Hours per Response: Interviews with Resident Wellness Directors and Wellness Nurses will take an estimated take 3 hours each, interviews with property owners and managers will take an estimated 2 hours each, resident interviews conducted in the resident’s preferred language an estimated 1.5 hours each, and resident interviews conducted via on-demand interpretation will take an estimated 3 hours each.

EXHIBIT A–2—ESTIMATED HOUR AND COST BURDEN OF INFORMATION COLLECTION

Information collection	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Responses per annum	Burden hour per response	Annual burden hour	Hourly cost per response	Annual cost
Interviews with Resident Wellness Directors	54	1	54	3	162	¹ \$40.00	\$6,480.00
Interviews with Wellness Nurses	44	1	44	3	132	² \$63.99	8,446.68
Interviews with Treatment Group Property Owners and Managers	40	1	40	2	80	³ \$51.23	4,098.40
Interviews with Active Control Property Owners and Managers	40	1	40	2	80	³ \$51.23	4,098.40
Resident Interviews conducted in core languages	120	1	120	1.5	180	⁴ \$9.63	1,733.40
Resident Interviews conducted via on demand interpretation ..	30	1	30	3	90	⁴ \$9.63	866.70
Total	328				724		25,723.58

¹ Estimated cost burden for Resident Wellness Directors participating in interviews is based on the average hourly wage for private industry workers by industry sector. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, June 2022, for the healthcare and social assistance industry (\$40.00), accessed September 26, 2022 at Table 4. Private industry workers by occupational and industry group—2022 Q02 Results ([bls.gov](https://www.bls.gov)).

² Estimated cost burden for property Wellness Nurses participating in interview is based on the average hourly wage for private industry workers by industry sector. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, June 2022, for Registered Nurse Occupations (\$63.99), accessed September 26, 2022 at Table 4. Private industry workers by occupational and industry group—2022 Q02 Results ([bls.gov](https://www.bls.gov)).

³ Estimated cost burden for property owners and managers is a blended rate based on average hourly and weekly earnings of all employees on private nonfarm payrolls by industry sector, seasonally adjusted. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, June 2022 for all private industry workers (\$38.91) and the hourly cost for management, professional, and related workers (\$63.55). Accessed September 26, 2022: Table 4. Private industry workers by occupational and industry group—2022 Q02 Results ([bls.gov](https://www.bls.gov)).

⁴ To estimate hourly cost for the residents, we used average Social Security benefit for retired works in June 2022, (accessed in September 26, 2022: <https://www.ssa.gov/news/press/factsheets/basicfact-alt.pdf>) which was \$1,669 into an hourly rate of \$9.63 per hour (by multiplying by 12 months and dividing by 2,080 hours).

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the

proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of

information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

(5) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

C. Authority

Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Anna P. Guido,

*Department Reports Management Office,
Office of Policy Development and Research,
Chief Data Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2023-06458 Filed 3-28-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-7070-N-16; OMB Control No. 2503-0034]

30-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Ginnie Mae Digital Collateral Program

AGENCY: Office of Policy Development and Research, Chief Data Officer, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: HUD is seeking approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for the information collection described below. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, HUD is requesting comment from all interested parties on the proposed collection of information. The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days of public comment.

DATES: *Comments Due Date:* April 28, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Anna P. Guido, Reports Management Officer, REE, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW, Washington, DC 20410; email Anna P. Guido at Anna.P.Guido@hud.gov or telephone 202-402-5535. This is not a toll-free number, HUD welcomes and is prepared to receive calls from individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing, as well as individuals with speech or communication disabilities. To learn more about how to make an accessible telephone call, please visit: <https://www.fcc.gov/consumers/guides/telecommunications-relay-service-trs>.

Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Guido.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice informs the public that HUD is seeking approval from OMB for the information collection described in Section A.

The **Federal Register** notice that solicited public comment on the information collection for a period of 60 days was published on January 26, 2023, at 88 FR 5034.

A. Overview of Information Collection

Title of Information Collection: Ginnie Mae Digital Collateral Program.

OMB Approval Number: 2503-0034.

Type of Request: Reinstatement.

Form Number: HUD-11701A; HUD-11701B; HUD-11708-SI.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use:

Adapting to the needs of the industry, Ginnie Mae is permitting the securitization of mortgage loans where the note is an eligible eNote. The forms in this request are new forms that are necessary due to the unique requirements of managing eNotes and eMortgages. This collection permits Ginnie Mae to verify: (1) that eIssuers and eMortgages have the specialized knowledge and experience to participate; (2) that eIssuers and eCustodians have the technological capability to service eMortgages and safeguard eMortgage documents; (3) the name and location of the entities responsible for the various Ginnie Mae accounts and eMortgage documents, and (4) those entities that are responsible for servicing the eMortgages that back the Ginnie Mae pools. Ginnie Mae needs this information to mitigate risk and evaluate its business operations, procedures and programs, and assist lenders in processing borrower requests more efficiently. Ginnie Mae also requires the collection of information to ensure that there are no deficiencies, which could affect the pass through of securities to its investors.

Based upon feedback received about the eIssuer Application form (HUD-11701A), we have revised the instructions. The only revision is to the form's instructions which now address subservicing by the eIssuer Applicant.

Information collection	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Responses per annum	Burden hour per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response *	Annual cost
eIssuer Application (HUD11701-A)	20	1	20	.5	10	\$38	\$380
eCustodian Application (HUD 11701-B)	5	1	5	.5	2.5	38	95
Request for Release of Secured Party (HUD 11708-SI)	300	1	300	.05	15	38	570
Total	325	1	325	1.05	27.5	38	1,045

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

(5) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.