

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9M, dated August 30, 2004, and effective September 16, 2004, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.

* * * * *

ACE KS E5 Coffeyville, KS

Coffeyville Municipal Airport, KS
(Lat 37°05'39" N., long. 95°34'19" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7.6-mile radius of Coffeyville Municipal Airport.

* * * * *

Issued in Kansas City, MO, on January 3, 2005.

Anthony D. Roetzel,

Acting Area Director, Western Flight Services Operations.

[FR Doc. 05–971 Filed 1–18–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[CGD07–04–118]

RIN 1625–AA87

Security Zone Regulations; St. Croix, United States Virgin Islands

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary security zone in the vicinity of the HOVENSA refinery facility in St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands. This security zone extends approximately 2 miles seaward from the HOVENSA facility waterfront area along the south coast of the island of St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands. This security zone is needed for national security reasons to protect the public and the HOVENSA facility from potential subversive acts. Vessels without scheduled arrivals must receive permission from the U.S. Coast Guard Captain of the Port San Juan prior to entering this temporary security zone.

DATES: This rule is effective from November 5, 2004, until May 15, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the

docket, are part of docket [CGD07–04–118] and are available for inspection or copying at Sector San Juan, 5 Calle La Puntilla, San Juan, Puerto Rico between 7:30 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant Junior Grade Katiuska Pabon, Sector San Juan, Puerto Rico at (787) 289–0739.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Information

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM. Publishing an NPRM and delaying the rule's effective date would be contrary to the public interest. Immediate action is needed to protect the public, ports and waterways of the United States from potential subversive acts against the HOVENSA facility.

For the same reasons, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Similar regulations were published in the **Federal Register** on January 17, 2002 (67 FR 2332), September 13, 2002 (67 FR 57952), April 28, 2003 (68 FR 22296), July 10, 2003 (68 FR 41081), February 10, 2004 (69 FR 6150), and May 21, 2004 (69 FR 29232). We did not receive any comments on these regulations.

The Captain of the Port San Juan has determined that due to the continued risk and recent necessary increases in maritime security levels, the need for the security zone persists. While the Coast Guard intends to publish a notice of proposed rulemaking and permanent rule to ensure the security of this waterfront facility, this temporary final rule is required in the interim.

Background and Purpose

Based on the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks and recent increases in maritime security levels, there is an increased risk that subversive activity could be launched by vessels or persons in close proximity to the HOVENSA refinery on St. Croix, USVI, against tank vessels and the waterfront facility. Given the highly volatile nature of the substances stored at the HOVENSA facility, this security zone is necessary to decrease the risk of subversive activity launched against the HOVENSA facility. The Captain of the Port San Juan is reducing this risk by prohibiting all vessels without a scheduled arrival from coming within approximately 2

miles of the HOVENSA facility, unless specifically permitted by the Captain of the Port San Juan or a designated representative. The Captain of the Port San Juan can be reached on VHF Marine Band Radio, Channel 16 (156.8 Mhz), or by calling (787) 289–2040, 24-hours-a-day, 7-days-a-week. The HOVENSA Facility Port Captain can be reached on VHF Marine Band Radio channel 11 (156.6 Mhz) or by calling (340) 692–3488, 24-hours-a-day, 7-days-a-week.

Discussion of Rule

The temporary security zone around the HOVENSA facility encompasses all waters within a line connecting the following coordinates: 17°41'31" N, 64°45'09" W, to 17°39'36" N, 64°44'12" W, to 17°40'00" N, 64°43'36" W, to 17°41'48" N, 64°44'25" W, and back to the beginning point. All vessels without a scheduled arrival into the HOVENSA facility are prohibited from coming within this security zone—that extends approximately 2 mile seaward from the facility, unless specifically permitted by the Captain of the Port San Juan or a designated representative.

Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order.

The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not “significant” under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS). This security zone covers an area that is not typically used by commercial vessel traffic, including fishermen, and vessels may be allowed to enter the zone on a case-by-case basis with the permission of the Captain of the Port San Juan or a designated representative.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule will affect the following

entities, some of which may be small entities: Owners of small charter fishing or diving operations that may operate near the HOVENSA facility. This security zone will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons. This zone covers an area that is not typically used by commercial fishermen, and vessels may be allowed to enter the zone on a case-by-case basis with the permission of the Captain of the Port San Juan.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), we offer to assist small entities in understanding this rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If the rule will affect your small business, organization, or government jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** for assistance in understanding this rule.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires

Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order, because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Technical Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation. Under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, an “Environmental Analysis Check List” and a “Categorical Exclusion Determination” (CED) are not required for this rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine Safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. From November 5, 2004, to May 15, 2005, add a new § 165.T07–118 to read as follows:

§ 165.T07–118 Security Zone; HOVENSA Refinery, St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a security zone: All waters from surface to bottom, encompassed within a line connecting the following coordinates:

17°41'31" N, 64°45'09" W, to 17°39'36" N, 64°44'12" W, to 17°40'00" N, 64°43'36" W, to 17°41'48" N, 64°44'25" W, and then back to the point of origins.

(b) *Regulations.* In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.33 of this part, with the exception of vessels that have an arrival scheduled with the HOVENSA Facility, no vessel may enter the regulated area unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port (COTP) San Juan, a Coast Guard commissioned, warrant, or petty officer designated by COTP San Juan. The Captain of the Port will notify the public of any changes in the status of this zone by Marine Safety Radio Broadcast on VHF Marine Band Radio, Channel 16 (156.8 Mhz). The Captain of the Port San Juan can be reached on VHF Marine Band Radio, Channel 16 (156.8 Mhz) or by calling (787) 289–2040, 24-hours-a-day, 7-days-a-week. The HOVENSA Facility Port Captain can be reached on VHF Marine Band Radio channel 11 (156.6 Mhz) or by calling (340) 692–3488, 24-hours-a-day, 7-days-a-week.

(c) *Dates.* This section is effective from November 5, 2004, until May 15, 2005.

Dated: November 5, 2004.

E. Emeric,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, San Juan.

[FR Doc. 05–962 Filed 1–18–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

37 CFR Part 2

[Docket No. 2004–T–051]

RIN 0651–AB83

Changes in Fees for Filing Applications for Trademark Registration

AGENCY: United States Patent and Trademark Office, Commerce.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office) is amending its rules of practice to adjust the fee for filing a trademark application for registration based on whether the application is filed on paper or electronically using the Trademark

Electronic Application System (TEAS). Specifically, the Office is amending its rules to provide that: The fee for a trademark application filed on paper shall be increased to \$375.00 for each class of goods or services; and the fee for a trademark application filed through TEAS shall be decreased to \$325.00 for each class of goods or services.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 31, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cheryl Black, Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Trademark Examination Policy, by telephone at (571) 272–9565, or by e-mail to cheryl.black@uspto.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Office is amending the trademark rules of practice governing the payment of fees for trademark applications to require payment based on whether the application is filed on paper or electronically through TEAS.

Specifically, the Office is amending its rules to provide that: (1) The fee for a trademark application filed on paper shall be increased to \$375.00 for each class of goods or services; and (2) the fee for a trademark application filed through TEAS shall be decreased to \$325.00 for each class of goods or services.

Background

The Consolidated Appropriations Act 2005, Pub. L. 108–447, (Appropriations Act) was enacted on December 8, 2004. The Appropriations Act amends the Trademark Act of 1946 to require that:

[D]uring fiscal years 2005 and 2006, under such conditions as may be prescribed by the Director, the fee under § 31(a) of the Trademark Act * * * for (1) the filing of a paper application for trademark registration shall be \$375; (2) the filing of an electronic application shall be \$325; and (3) the filing of an electronic application meeting certain additional requirements prescribed by the Director shall be \$275.

This final rule adjusts the trademark application filing fees for applications filed pursuant to § 1 or 44 of the Trademark Act on paper to \$375.00 per class and applications filed pursuant to § 1 or 44 of the Trademark Act through TEAS to \$325.00 per class in accordance with the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1113(a), as amended by the Appropriations Act. The purpose of the lower fee for TEAS applications is to encourage applicants to file trademark applications electronically and to respond to any outstanding issues electronically. The Director will not prescribe rules for electronic applications that qualify for a filing fee of \$275.00 until the Office deploys the information technology systems necessary to process these

applications. Electronic applications in this third category will have additional filing date requirements.

The filing fee for Madrid Protocol applications under § 66(a) of the Trademark Act (66(a) applications) will remain unchanged. The Office will amend the filing fee for 66(a) applications in accordance with the requirements and procedures set forth in the Rule 35 of the Common Regulations Under the Madrid Agreement Concerning the International Registration of Marks and the Protocol Relating to That Agreement (Common Regs.) (April 1, 2004) and issue a notice of the effective date of the change. The rule change in § 2.6 is waived as to 66(a) applications until the procedures required by the Common Regs. are completed.

References below to “the Act,” “the Trademark Act,” or “the statute” refer to the Trademark Act of 1946, 15 U.S.C. 1051, *et seq.*, as amended.

Discussion of Specific Rules

The Office is amending rules 2.6, 2.86 and 2.87.

The Office is revising § 2.6(a)(1) to provide that the fee for filing an application on paper is \$375.00 per class, and that the fee for filing an application through TEAS is \$325.00 per class.

The Office is amending § 2.86(a)(2) to provide that the filing fees for a multiple class application are based on § 2.6, which lays out a two-track fee system based on whether payment is made on paper or through TEAS. For example, if the applicant files a single class application through TEAS, the applicant must pay the TEAS application filing fee for the class identified in the application. If, on examination, the Office determines that it is a multiple class application, the applicant may respond through TEAS and pay the TEAS application filing fee for each additional class. Alternatively, the applicant may respond by mail or fax and pay the paper application filing fee for each additional class.

The Office is revising § 2.87(b) to provide that where a new separate application is created from a request to divide out some, but not all, of the goods or services in a class, the applicant must pay the fee for dividing the application and the applicable application filing fee as set forth in § 2.6(a)(1). Currently division requests can only be filed on paper, so the applicable filing fee will be \$375.00 per class. However, in the future it will be possible to file a request to divide through TEAS, and at that point, if the request to divide is filed through TEAS,