

represent the physical remains of 13 individuals of Native American ancestry. Officials of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Gila National Forest also have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (3)(A), the 122 objects described above are reasonably believed to have been placed with or near individual human remains at the time of death or later as part of the death rite or ceremony. Lastly, officials of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Gila National Forest have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (2), there is a relationship of shared group identity that can be reasonably traced between the Native American human remains and associated funerary objects and the Pueblo of Acoma, New Mexico; Hopi Tribe of Arizona; and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico.

Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with the human remains and associated funerary objects should contact Dr. Frank E. Wozniak, NAGPRA Coordinator, Southwestern Region, USDA Forest Service, 333 Broadway Blvd., SE, Albuquerque, NM 87102, telephone (505) 842-3238, before April 6, 2009. Repatriation of the human remains and associated funerary objects to the Pueblo of Acoma, New Mexico; Hopi Tribe of Arizona; and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico may proceed after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Gila National Forest is responsible for notifying the Pueblo of Acoma, New Mexico; Hopi Tribe of Arizona; and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico that this notice has been published.

Dated: February 20, 2009.

**David Tarler,**

*Acting Manager, National NAGPRA Program.*

[FR Doc. E9-4676 Filed 3-4-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-50-S

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

**Notice of Inventory Completion: U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, WA and Phoebe A. Hearst Museum of Anthropology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

Notice is here given in accordance with the Native American Graves

Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), 25 U.S.C. 3003, of the completion of an inventory of human remains in the control of the U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, WA, and in the physical custody of the Phoebe A. Hearst Museum of Anthropology (Hearst Museum), University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA. The human remains were removed from Benton County, WA.

This notice is published as part of the National Park Service's administrative responsibilities under NAGPRA, 25 U.S.C. 3003 (d)(3). The determinations in this notice are the sole responsibility of the museum, institution, or Federal agency that has control of the Native American human remains. The National Park Service is not responsible for the determinations in this notice.

A detailed assessment of the human remains was made by Hearst Museum professional staff on behalf of the Department of Energy and in consultation with representatives of the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, Washington; Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, Oregon; Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation, Washington; Nez Perce Tribe, Idaho; and Wanapum Band, a non-Federally recognized Indian group.

In 1947, human remains representing a minimum of one individual were collected from site 45BN157, Jaeger's Island, located on the U.S. Department of Energy's Hanford Site near the south bank of the Columbia River approximately one mile west of Vernita Bridge, Benton County, WA, by Francis Riddell. The human remains consist of a patella and a shaft fragment representing a minimum of one individual adult, sex unknown (catalog 2-21580). No known individual was identified. No associated funerary objects are present.

The human remains were determined to be Native American based on the geographic location. Ethnographic documentation indicates that the present-day location of the Hanford Site, Benton County WA, is located within an overlapping aboriginal territory of the descendants of the Yakama, Walla Walla, and Wanapum groups, which are represented today by the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation, Washington; Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, Oregon; and the Wanapum Band, a non-Federally recognized Indian group. The Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, Washington, and Nez Perce Tribe, Idaho are also known to have used the area routinely.

Officials of the Department of Energy and the Hearst Museum have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (9-10), the human remains described above represent the physical remains of one individual of Native American ancestry. Officials of the Department of Energy and the Hearst Museum have also determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (2), there is a relationship of shared group identity that can be reasonably traced between the Native American human remains and the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, Washington; Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, Oregon; Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation, Washington; and Nez Perce Tribe, Idaho. Furthermore, officials of the Department of Energy and the Hearst Museum have determined that there is a cultural relationship between the human remains and the Wanapum Band, a non-Federally recognized Indian group.

Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with the human remains should contact Annabelle Rodriguez, U.S. Department of Energy, Cultural/Historic Resources Program, Richland Operations Office, 825 Jadwin Avenue, MSIN A5-15 Richland, WA 99352, telephone (509) 372-0277, before April 6, 2009. Repatriation of the human remains to the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, Washington; Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, Oregon; Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation, Washington; Nez Perce Tribe, Idaho; and Wanapum Band, a non-Federally recognized Indian group, may proceed after that date if no additional claimants come forward. The Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, Washington; Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, Oregon; Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation, Washington; Nez Perce Tribe, Idaho; and Wanapum Band, a non-Federally recognized Indian group, are claiming jointly all cultural items from the Hanford area.

The Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office is responsible for notifying the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, Washington; Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, Oregon; Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation, Washington; Nez Perce Tribe, Idaho; and Wanapum Band, a non-Federally recognized Indian group, that this notice has been published.

Dated: January 26, 2009.

**Sherry Hutt,**

*Manager, National NAGPRA Program.*

[FR Doc. E9-4671 Filed 3-4-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-50-S

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

#### Agency Information Collection; Proposed Revisions to a Currently Approved Information Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation,  
Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of renewal of a currently  
approved information collection (OMB  
No. 1006-0002).

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation, we) intends to submit a request for renewal (with revisions) of an existing approved information collection to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB): Recreation Use Data Report, OMB Control Number 1006-0002. As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burdens, Reclamation invites State and local governmental entities that manage recreation sites at Reclamation projects; concessionaires, subconcessionaires, and not-for-profit organizations who operate concessions on Reclamation lands; and the general public, to comment on this information collection.

**DATES:** We must receive your written comments on or before May 4, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send written comments to the Bureau of Reclamation, Attention: 84-53000, P.O. Box 25007, Denver, CO 80225-0007. You may request copies of the proposed revised application form by writing to the above address or by contacting Darrell Welch at (303) 445-2711 or [dwelch@do.usbr.gov](mailto:dwelch@do.usbr.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Darrell Welch at (303) 445-2711.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

Reclamation collects Reclamation-wide recreation and concession information (1) in support of existing public laws including the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act (Pub. L. 88-578), the Federal Water Project Recreation Act (Pub. L. 89-72), and the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act (Pub. L. 108-477); and (2) to fulfill reports to the President and the Congress. This collection of information allows Reclamation to (1) Meet the requirements of the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA); (2) fulfill congressional and financial reporting requirements; and (3) support specific information required by Federal legislation and the Department of the Interior's GPRA-based strategic plan. Collected information will permit relevant program assessments of resources managed by Reclamation, its recreation managing partners, and/or concessionaires for the purpose of implementing Reclamation's mission to manage, develop, and protect water and related resources in an environmentally and economically sound manner in the interest of the American people. Specifically, the collected information provides Reclamation with the ability to (1) Evaluate program and management effectiveness pertaining to existing recreation and concessionaire resources and facilities; (2) assist in prioritizing Reclamation funding; and (3) validate effective public use of managed recreation resources located on Reclamation project lands in the 17 Western States.

##### II. Changes to the Recreation Use Data Report Forms Parts I and II

Reclamation slightly modified Parts I and II forms by reformatting each by (1) Rearranging the questions in a more

logical sequence; (2) deleting non-relevant questions; and (3) adding questions that specifically address the recreation program needs of Reclamation. Note that some of the questions asked of the concessionaires in Part II, Form 7-2535 were deleted because some of the information originally being collected from the concessionaires was redundant to the information already being collected from Reclamation's non-Federal partners in Part I, Form 7-2534. In addition, the title to Part I, Form 7-2535 was changed from Managing Partners to Non-Federal Managing Partners to more accurately reflect that Reclamation is only collecting information from our non-Federal partners and not our existing Federal partners.

##### III. Data

*OMB Control Number:* 1006-0002.

*Title:* Recreation Use Data Report (Form No. 7-2534—Part I, Non-Federal Managing Partners and Form No. 7-2535—Part II, Concessionaires).

*Form Numbers:* 7-2534 and 7-2535.

*Frequency:* Annually.

*Respondents:* Non-Federal government entities who manage the recreation resource on Reclamation land and waterbodies.

*Estimated Annual Total Number of Respondents:* 282.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* 1.

*Estimated Total Number of Annual Responses:* 282.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 142 hours.

*Estimated Completion Time per Respondent:* 30 minutes.

The table below provides the necessary detail on how the total number of annual burden hours was arrived at for both forms. The total annual hour burden has been rounded up.

Form No.	Burden estimate per form (in minutes)	Total number of respondents	Total annual hour burden
7-2534 (Part 1, Non-Federal Managing Partners) .....	30	167	84
7-2535 (Part 2, Concessionaires) .....	30	115	58
Total Burden Hours .....	.....	.....	142

#### IV. Request for Comments

We invite your comments on:

(a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of our functions, including

whether the information will have practical use;

(b) The accuracy of our burden estimate for the proposed collection of information;

(c) Ways to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(d) Ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including the use of