

straightened and cut rod or wire, and reinforcing bars that have indentations, ribs, grooves, or other deformations produced during the rolling process.

Except as specified above, the term does not include stainless steel semi-finished products, cut length flat-rolled products (i.e., cut length rolled products which if less than 4.75 mm in thickness have a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness, or if 4.75 mm or more in thickness having a width which exceeds

150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness), wire (i.e., cold-formed products in coils, of any uniform solid cross section along their whole length, which do not conform to the definition of flat-rolled products), and angles, shapes and sections.

The SSB subject to these reviews are currently classifiable under subheadings 7222.10.00.05, 7222.10.00.50, 7222.20.00.05, 7222.20.00.45, 7222.20.00.75, and 7222.30.00.00 of the

Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of these orders is dispositive.

With respect to the order on the subject imports from Japan the Department has made two scope rulings. The following products were determined to be within the scope of the order:

Product within scope	Company	Citation
Keystone 2000 .....	Keystone Stainless Inc .....	63 FR 6722 (February 10, 1998).
M35FL steel bar .....	Tohoku Steel Co .....	64 FR 50273 (September 16, 1999).

### Determination

As a result of the determination by the Department and the Commission that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel bar from Brazil, India, Japan, and Spain. The effective date of continuation of these orders will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this Notice of Continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of these orders not later than March 2006.

Richard W. Moreland is temporarily fulfilling the duties of the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Dated: April 11, 2001.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-9635 Filed 4-17-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

#### Argonne National Laboratory; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

*Docket Number:* 00-042. *Applicant:* Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439-4874. *Instrument:* Track Mounted Cone Penetrometer Vehicle and Associated Equipment, Model COSON 200. *Manufacturer:* A. P. Van Den Berg, Inc., The Netherlands. *Intended Use:* See notice at 66 FR 7626, January 24, 2001.

*Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. *Reasons:* The foreign instrument is a track mounted vehicle capable of driving probes with electronically and seismically sensitive cones into the soil over large areas for geotechnical surveys. The U.S. Department of Agriculture advised March 20, 2001 that (1) this capability is pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

**Gerald A. Zerdy,**

*Program Manager, Statutory Import Program Staff.*

[FR Doc. 01-9636 Filed 4-17-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

#### Export Trade Certificate of Review

**ACTION:** Notice of Application to Amend Certificate.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs ("OETCA"),

International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, has received an application to amend an Export Trade Certificate of Review ("Certificate"). This notice summarizes the proposed amendment and requests comments relevant to whether the Certificate should be issued.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Vanessa M. Bachman, Acting Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, [oetca@ita.doc.gov](mailto:oetca@ita.doc.gov) or (202) 482-5131. This is not a toll-free number. In addition, the Office's website may be consulted at <http://www.ita.doc.gov/oetca>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001 *et seq.*) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. An Export Trade Certificate of Review protects the holder and the members identified in the Certificate from state and federal government antitrust actions and from private treble damage antitrust actions for the export conduct specified in the Certificate and carried out in compliance with its terms and conditions. Section 302 (b) (1) of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 and 15 CFR 325.6 (a) require the Secretary to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** identifying the applicant and summarizing its proposed export conduct.

#### Request for Public Comments

Interested parties may submit written comments relevant to the determination whether an amended Certificate should be issued. If the comments include any privileged or confidential business information, it must be clearly marked and a non-confidential version of the comments (identified as such) should be included. Any comments not marked privileged or confidential business