

Non-response Follow-up (NRFU)—An operation developed to obtain completed questionnaires from housing units for which the Census Bureau did not receive a completed questionnaire in mail census areas (mailout/mailback, update/leave). Enumerators visit addresses to collect the information.

Residence Rules—Rules that respondents and the Census Bureau use to determine where people should be counted. They are meant to insure that everyone is counted once and in the right place. The 2004 Census Test questionnaire will include re-worded instructions to help respondents apply these rules.

Whole-household Duplicates—Everyone living at a specific address is counted more than once.

III. Data

OMB Number: None.

Form Numbers: None.

Type of Review: Regular.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 25,000.

Estimated Time Per Response: 18 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 7,500.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to the Public: There is no cost to respondents except for their time to respond.

Respondent Obligation: Mandatory.

Legal Authority: Title 13 of the United States Code, Sections 141 and 193.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of collected; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: July 15, 2003.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03-18407 Filed 7-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Materials Processing Equipment Technical Advisory Committee; Notice of Partially Closed Meeting

The Materials Processing Equipment Technical Advisory Committee will meet on August 7, 2003, 9 a.m., Room 3884, in the Herbert C. Hoover Building, 14th Street between Pennsylvania and Constitution Avenues, NW., Washington, DC. The Committee advises the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration with respect to technical questions that affect the level of export controls applicable to materials processing equipment and related technology.

Agenda

Public Session

1. Opening remarks and introductions.
2. Approval of minutes from previous meeting.
3. Presentation of papers or comments by the public.
4. Review of MPETAC 5-axis proposal.
5. Update on jig grinder controls.

Closed Session

6. Discussion of matters properly classified under Executive Order 12958, dealing with the U.S. export control program and strategic criteria related thereto.

A limited number of seats will be available for the public session of the meeting. Reservations are not accepted. To the extent that time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. The public may submit written statements at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate distribution of public presentation materials, the Committee suggests that presenters forward the materials prior to the meeting date to the following address: Ms. Lee Ann Carpenter, OSIES/EA/BIS MS 3876, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th St. & Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The Assistant Secretary for Administration, with the concurrence of the General Counsel, formally determined on November 30, 2001,

pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, that the series of meetings of the Committee and of any Subcommittees thereof, dealing with the classified materials listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) shall be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in section 10(a)(1) and (a)(3), of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The remaining series of meetings or portions thereof will be open to the public.

For more information, contact Lee Ann Carpenter on 202-482-2583.

Dated: July 14, 2003.

Lee Ann Carpenter,

Committee Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 03-18425 Filed 7-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-JT-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-827]

Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China; Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: : Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On January 13, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results and rescission in part of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pencils from the People's Republic of China (PRC). The merchandise covered by this order is certain cased pencils (pencils). The period of review (POR) is December 1, 2000, through November 30, 2001. Based on our analysis of comments received, these final results differ from the preliminary results. For details regarding these changes, see the section of the notice entitled "Changes Since the Preliminary Results." The final results are listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 21, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Stolz or Crystal Crittenden, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4474 or (202) 482-0989, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On January 13, 2003, the Department published the preliminary results and rescission in part of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pencils from the PRC. *See Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China; Preliminary Results and Rescission in Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 68 FR 1591 (January 13, 2003) (*Preliminary Results*). We invited parties to comment on our preliminary results of review.

Since the publication of the preliminary results, the following events have occurred. During the months of January and February 2003, we conducted verifications of the questionnaire responses of the exporter/manufacturer Tianjin Custom Wood Processing Co., Ltd. (CalCedar-Tianjin),¹ and the exporter Shandong Rongxin Import & Export Co., Ltd. (Rongxin). We also conducted a verification of Kaiyuan Group Corporation's (Kaiyuan) sales records for the purposes of determining whether to rescind this company's review. On May 7, 2003, the Department extended the time limit for completion of the final results until no later than July 12, 2003. *See Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 68 FR 24434 (May 7, 2003). Interested parties submitted case briefs and rebuttal briefs on June 5 and June 12, 2003, respectively.

The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of certain cased pencils of any shape or dimension which are writing and/or drawing instruments that feature cores of graphite or other materials, encased in wood and/or man-made materials, whether or not decorated and whether or not tipped (e.g., with erasers, etc.) in any fashion, and either sharpened or unsharpened. The pencils subject to this order are classified under item number 9609.10.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Specifically excluded from the scope of

this order are mechanical pencils, cosmetic pencils, pens, non-cased crayons (wax), pastels, charcoals, chalks, and pencils produced under U.S. patent number 6,217,242, from paper infused with scents by the means covered in the above-referenced patent, thereby having odors distinct from those that may emanate from pencils lacking the scent infusion.

Although the HTSUS item number is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Partial Rescission

We initiated a review on the following companies: China First Pencil Co. Ltd. (CFP), Orient International Holding Shanghai Foreign Trade Co., Ltd (SFTC), CalCedar-Tianjin, and Kaiyuan². In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department rescinded the review with respect to CFP, SFTC, and preliminarily rescinded the review with respect to Kaiyuan.

Subsequent to our initiation of the review, we learned that Kaiyuan and Rongxin are different companies which should have been listed separately in the initiation notice. Rongxin, which is owned in part by Kaiyuan, was the exporter of subject merchandise during the POR, while Kaiyuan did not purchase, manufacture or sell subject merchandise during the POR. For this reason, we preliminarily rescinded the review with respect to Kaiyuan.

Since the Department's preliminary results of this review, we verified Kaiyuan's sales records and established that this company did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. Therefore, we are rescinding this review with respect to Kaiyuan in these final results.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (*Decision Memorandum*) from Holly A. Kuga, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, to Jeffrey A. May, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated July 14, 2003, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the *Decision Memorandum*, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in

this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Record Unit, room B-099 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the International Trade Administration's Web site at www.ia.ita.doc.gov. The paper copy and the electronic version of the *Decision Memorandum* are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our findings at verification, and our analysis of comments received, we made adjustments to the factors of production and surrogate values used to calculate margins in the preliminary results. We have also corrected certain programming and clerical errors in our preliminary results, where applicable. These adjustments are listed below and discussed in detail in the *Decision Memorandum*.

The Department has determined that South Korea, Thailand, Indonesia, and India maintain broadly available, non-industry specific export subsidies which may benefit all exporters to all export markets. Therefore, for the final results of this review, where applicable, we eliminated the quantities and values of imports from these countries from the import statistics used to calculate surrogate values. *See Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Automotive Replacement Glass Windshields From the People's Republic of China*, 67 FR 6482 (February 12, 2002) and *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China*, 68 FR 10685 (March 6, 2003).

CalCedar-Tianjin

We adjusted the surrogate value for Chinese lindenwood slats to reflect the actual volumes of slat grades CalCedar-Tianjin used in production. *See Factors of Production Valuation Memorandum* dated July 14, 2003. We also accounted for yield loss based on CalCedar-Tianjin's actual loss incurred in cedar slat production. *See Decision Memorandum* at Comment 3. We made minor corrections to the company's data based on findings at verification. *See CalCedar-Tianjin's Calculation Memorandum*. We adjusted freight distances for certain material inputs in accordance with Department practice resulting from *Sigma Corp. V. United States*, 117 F.3d 1401 (Fed. Cir 1997). Finally, we made adjustments to the constructed export prices (CEP) to account for CEP profit, imputed credit

¹ Tianjin Custom Wood Processing Co., Ltd. is wholly-owned by California Cedar Products Company (CalCedar). CalCedar is a privately held U.S. company incorporated in the State of California. Hereinafter we have referred to the entity CalCedar, including its subsidiary Tianjin Custom Wood Processing Co., Ltd., as CalCedar-Tianjin.

² We initiated the review on Kaiyuan believing that the names Kaiyuan and Rongxin, refer to the same company.

and inventory carrying costs, which were inadvertently omitted from the Department's margin calculation in the *Preliminary Results*. For further details, see CalCedar-Tianjin's Calculation Memorandum.

Rongxin

We adjusted the surrogate value for Chinese lindenwood slats to account for yield loss based on Rongxin's actual wood loss incurred during pencil production. See *Decision Memorandum* at Comment 3. We made minor corrections to the company's data based on findings at verification. See Rongxin's Calculation Memorandum.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, we verified the information submitted by the respondents for use in our final results. We used standard verification procedures including examination of relevant accounting and production records, and original source documents provided by the respondents.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average percentage margins exist for the period December 1, 2000 through November 30, 2001:

Exporter/Manufacturer	Margin (percent)
CalCedar-Tianjin	0.00
Rongxin	15.76
PRC Wide-Rate	114.90

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of pencils from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates shown above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) the cash deposit rate for all other PRC exporters will be 114.90 percent; and 4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Assessment

The Department will determine, and the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (BCBP) will assess, antidumping duties on all entries of subject merchandise in accordance with these final results. For Rongxin, we have calculated exporter-specific duty assessment rates for subject merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales during the POR to the total quantity of sales examined during the POR. We calculated exporter-specific assessment rates because there was no information on the record which identified the importers of record. For CalCedar-Tianjin, we have calculated an importer-specific duty assessment rate based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sale to the total reported entered value of the sale. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to the BCBP within 15 days of publication of these final results of review.

Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with section 751(a)(1) and 771(i) of the Act.

Dated: July 14, 2003.

Jeffrey A. May,

Acting Assistant Secretary.

Appendix Issues in Decision Memorandum

Comments

Comment 1: Whether the Department Should Value Black Graphite Cores Using Eximkey Data or Data From the Monthly Statistics of the Foreign Trade of India

Comment 2: Whether the Department Used the Correct Grade of American Basswood Lumber to Value Rongxin's Pencil Slats

Comment 3: Whether the Department Properly Accounted for Wood Loss

Comment 4: Whether the Department Should Base Rongxin's Dumping Margin on Partial Adverse Facts Available

Comment 5: Ministerial Errors

Comment 6: Whether the Department Overstated CalCedar-Tianjin's Freight Costs

[FR Doc. 03-18473 Filed 7-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-827]

Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 21, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Magd Zalok or Paul Stolz, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4162 or (202) 482-4474, respectively.

TIME LIMITS:

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) requires the Department of Commerce (the Department) to issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review within 180 days after the date on which the review is initiated. However, if the Department determines the issues are extraordinarily complicated, section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act allows the Department to extend the deadline for the preliminary results to up to 300 days