

§ 532.287 Special wage schedules for nonappropriated fund automotive mechanics.

(a) The Department of Defense (DOD) will establish a flat rate pay system for nonappropriated fund (NAF) automotive mechanics. This flat rate pay system will take into account local prevailing rates, the mechanic's skill level, and the standard number of hours required to complete a particular job.

(b) DOD will issue special wage schedules for NAF automotive mechanics who are covered by the flat rate pay system. These special schedules will provide rates of pay for nonsupervisory, leader, and supervisory employees. These special schedule positions will be identified by pay plan codes XW (nonsupervisory), XY (leader), and XZ (supervisory), grades 8–10, and will use the Federal Wage System occupational code 5823.

(c) DOD will issue special wage schedules for NAF automotive mechanics based on annual special flat rate surveys of similar jobs conducted in each special schedule wage area.

(1) The survey area for these special surveys will include the same counties as the regular NAF survey area.

(2) The survey jobs used will be Automotive Worker and Automotive Mechanic.

(3) The special surveys will include data on automotive mechanics that are paid under private industry flat rate pay plans as well as those paid by commission.

(4) In addition to all standard North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes currently used on the regular surveys, the industries surveyed will include—

2012 NAICS Codes	2012 NAICS Industry titles
441110	New car dealers.
441310	Automotive parts and accessory stores.
811111	General automotive repair.
811191	Automotive oil change and lubrication shops.

(5) The surveys will cover establishments with a total employment of eight or more.

(6) The special schedules for NAF automotive mechanics will be effective on the same dates as the regular wage schedules in the NAF FWS wage area.

(d) New employees will be hired at step 1 of the position under the flat rate pay system. Current employees will be moved to these special wage schedules on a step-by-step basis. Pay retention will apply to any employee whose rate of basic pay would otherwise be

reduced as a result of placement in these new special schedules.

[FR Doc. 2014–09338 Filed 4–23–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325–39–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food and Nutrition Service

7 CFR Part 274

[FNS–2012–0028]

RIN 0584–AE26

Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program: Trafficking Controls and Fraud Investigations

AGENCY: Food and Nutrition Service (FNS), USDA.

ACTION: Affirmation of interim rule as final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) is issuing this affirmation of a final rule, without change, of an interim rule that amended Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) regulations, to require State agencies to monitor electronic benefit transfer (EBT) card replacement requests and send notices to those clients who have requested four cards within a 12-month period. The State agency shall be exempt from sending this notice if it chooses to exercise the card withholding option, in accordance with SNAP regulations, and sends the first warning notice upon the household's fourth card replacement request.

DATES: *Effective Date:* On April 24, 2014, the Department is adopting as a final rule the amendments to 7 CFR 274.6 in the interim rule published at 78 FR 51649, dated August 21, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jane Duffield, Chief, State Administration Branch, Food and Nutrition Service, USDA, 3101 Park Center Drive, Room 818, Alexandria, Virginia 22302. Ms. Duffield may be reached by telephone at 703–605–4385 or via email at Jane.Duffield@fns.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 21, 2013, FNS published an interim rule provision at 7 CFR 274.6(b)(6), that requires State agencies to monitor EBT card replacements and issue excessive replacement card notices to clients who have requested four card replacements in a 12-month period. FNS' decision to issue the interim rule was based on a comment received in response to the proposed

rule: *Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program: Trafficking Controls and Fraud Investigations*, published on May 30, 2012, at 77 FR 31738. The commenter suggested that FNS propose a method for handling multiple card requests that includes monitoring EBT card replacements and sending warning notices to those clients requesting an excessive amount of EBT cards. This process, initiated by North Carolina and implemented by the majority of States, has proven to be efficient and cost effective. FNS agreed with the comment and amended the regulations in the same section, to require that all States implement this method for handling multiple card requests. Since the majority of States currently monitor EBT card replacement requests and subsequently issue warning notices for four or more requests, FNS does not believe this provision will create a substantial burden for States overall.

FNS believes that all State agencies should be monitoring card replacement activity and that the requirement to issue an excessive replacement card notice provides an important tool for State agencies to use in monitoring and preventing trafficking of EBT cards. Based on current data, the number of clients requesting five or more cards has decreased nationally since many States adopted this practice.

FNS provided the opportunity for comment through the interim rule process because the provision was not included in the proposed rule. Comments were solicited for 60 days with an extension, ending November 6, 2013, due to the government shutdown.

FNS received five comments on the interim rule. Two commenters requested clarification on the starting point for the 12-month timeframe for calculating the number of requests for replacement EBT cards and whether clients should receive additional notices for subsequent 12-month periods. The 12-month timeframe refers to any four cards replacements that fall within the past 12-month period. State agencies must monitor card replacement requests, and send warning notices to clients who request four cards within the past twelve months. State agencies should continue to monitor and re-notify clients who request additional EBT cards beyond a 12-month period. If trafficking is suspected, the State agency must refer cases to the State's fraud investigation unit. In all cases, if State agency staff suspects that the client's lack of understanding is the reason for requesting excessive replacement cards, they must educate the client on how to manage the card, rather than refer the case for investigation. FNS believes

regulatory language for this provision is sufficiently clear and is not making any modifications.

Two commenters stated that States should be allowed to implement their own systems for monitoring and managing excessive EBT card request and set their own standards for calculating excessive requests for replacement cards.

Motivated by the need to come up with a consistent national policy, FNS used statistical analysis of SNAP EBT transaction records to arrive at the decision to send a warning notice after four EBT card requests within 12 months. EBT card transaction activity indicates that, after the fourth replacement card, a household's shopping behavior is three times more likely to be flagged as potential trafficking by FNS' fraud detection system. States have the flexibility to set their own policies for EBT card requests beyond this threshold. States may also initiate the process sooner than the threshold if a household is suspected of committing fraud.

FNS received one comment indicating that the requirement will create an additional burden for caseworkers who must conduct further investigations without clear guidelines on what constitutes compliance. The commenter further stated that the regulation should specify what constitutes an appropriate client explanation and whether State agencies can determine what constitutes an appropriate explanation. Since 98 percent of SNAP households use three or fewer cards within a year, with most (79 percent) using only one card, FNS does not expect the warning notice requirement contained in this regulation to create a significant burden for State agencies. Additionally, most States already monitor card replacements and provide warning notices for excessive replacement requests. This regulation does not require households to contact the State agency and provide an explanation. FNS explains in the preamble for the final regulation that contains the card withholding option, that FNS is not specifying which household explanations are suspicious and which are satisfactory. FNS believes that State agencies are in the best position to determine which cases should be referred for investigation based on a client's explanation, lack of explanation or suspicious behavior.

FNS adopts the interim rule as a final rule without change because FNS did not receive any comments that indicate a need for change to the interim regulation. A summary of comments for the interim regulation have been provided in this preamble.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 274

Food stamps, Grant programs—social programs, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

PART 274—ISSUANCE AND USE OF PROGRAM BENEFITS

Accordingly, the Department is adopting as a final rule, without change, the interim rule that amended 7 CFR 274.6(b)(6) and was published at 78 FR 51649 on August 21, 2013.

Dated: April 18, 2014.

Yvette S. Jackson,

Acting Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.

[FR Doc. 2014–09334 Filed 4–23–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA–2013–0806; Airspace Docket No. 13–ASO–21]

Amendment of Class D and Class E Airspace, and Establishment of Class E Airspace; Tri-Cities, TN

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action amends Class D and Class E Airspace, and establishes Class E airspace at Tri-Cities Regional Airport, Tri-Cities, TN. Airspace reconfiguration is necessary to alleviate traffic issues in the surrounding area for Johnson City Airport and Edwards Heliport so aircraft can navigate in and out of their respective airports in Visual Flight Rules conditions under 700 feet. This action enhances the safety and airspace management of aircraft within the Tri-Cities, TN area.

DATES: Effective 0901 UTC, July 24, 2014. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under title 1, Code of Federal Regulations, part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order 7400.9 and publication of conforming amendments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Fornito, Operations Support Group, Eastern Service Center, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305–6364.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On February 12, 2014, the FAA published in the **Federal Register** a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend Class D airspace and Class E surface area airspace, and establish Class E airspace designated as an extension to Class D airspace at Tri-Cities Regional Airport, Tri-Cities, TN. (79 FR 8360). Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking effort by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments were received.

Class D and E airspace designations are published in paragraphs 5000, 6002, and 6004, respectively of FAA Order 7400.9X dated August 7, 2013, and effective September 15, 2013, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class D and Class E airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

The Rule

This amendment to Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 71 amends Class D airspace and Class E surface area airspace, and establishes Class E airspace designated as an extension to a Class D surface area at Tri-Cities Regional Airport, Tri-Cities, TN. Both the Class D airspace area and Class E surface area airspace is reduced from a 6.8-mile radius of the airport to within a 4.3-mile radius of the airport. This action also establishes Class E airspace designated as an extension to a Class D surface area within a 4.3-mile radius of Tri-Cities Airport, with a segment extending from the 4.3-mile radius of the airport to 6.8 miles northeast of the airport. This action alleviates congestion for aircraft traveling to/from two neighboring airports, Edwards Heliport and Johnson City Airport in Visual Flight Rules conditions under 700 feet.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current, is non-controversial and unlikely to result in adverse or negative comments. It, therefore, (1) is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that only affects air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, does not have a significant