

that they had gone out of business. *Id.* Attached to the motion was the Affidavit (Dated 10/16/08) of a DEA Diversion Investigator. In her Affidavit, the Investigator stated that on September 4, 2008, she had spoken with the Program Director of the Colorado Board of Pharmacy and had been told that the Foothills Family Pharmacy of Lafayette had been closed since January 2008. Affidavit at 1–2. The Investigator further stated that she had also spoken with an Inspector for the Colorado Board who advised her that Calvin Tyree, the owner of Foothills Family Pharmacy of Boulder, had submitted the document required to close the pharmacy. *Id.* at 2. The Investigator further stated that she had confirmed the latter pharmacy's closing with some of its former employees. *Id.*

On November 18, 2008, I issued an Order granting Respondents fifteen days to respond to the Government's motion. Neither Respondent has filed a response.

Based on the Affidavit, I find that each Respondent has discontinued business or professional practice. Under 21 CFR 1301.52, "the registration of any person shall terminate if and when such person dies, ceases legal existence, or discontinues business or professional practice." Accordingly, I will grant the Government's motion and declare that each Respondent's registration has terminated. I will also order that any pending applications submitted by either Respondent be denied.

#### Order

Pursuant to the authority vested in me under 5 U.S.C. 554(e), as well as 28 CFR 0.100(b) & 0.104, I grant the Government's motion and hereby declare terminated DEA Certificate of Registration, BF8528361, issued to Foothills Family Pharmacy of Boulder, Colorado, and DEA Certificate of Registration, BF8933334, issued to Foothills Family Pharmacy of Lafayette, Colorado. I further order that any pending applications of Foothills Family Pharmacy of Boulder, Colorado, and Foothills Family Pharmacy of Lafayette, Colorado, be, and they hereby are, denied. This Order is effective immediately.

Dated: January 27, 2009.

**Michele M. Leonhart,**

*Deputy Administrator.*

[FR Doc. E9–2330 Filed 2–3–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–302; NRC–2009–0039]

### Florida Power Corporation Notice of Receipt and Availability of Application for Renewal of Crystal River Unit 3 Nuclear Generating Plant Facility Operating License No. DPR–72 for an Additional 20-Year Period

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has received an application, dated December 16, 2008, from Florida Power Corporation, filed pursuant to Section 104b of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 54 (10 CFR Part 54), to renew the operating license for the Crystal River Unit 3 Nuclear Generating Plant (CR–3). Renewal of the license would authorize the applicant to operate the facility for an additional 20-year period beyond the period specified in the current operating license. The current operating license for CR–3 expires on December 3, 2016. CR–3 is a pressurized-water reactor designed by Combustion Engineering that is located in Citrus County, Florida. The acceptability of the tendered application for docketing, and other matters including an opportunity to request a hearing, will be the subject of subsequent **Federal Register** notices.

Copies of the application are available to the public at the Commission's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852 or through the internet from the NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room under Accession Number ML090080053. The ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room is accessible from the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. In addition, the application is available at <http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/operating/licensing/renewal/applications.html>. Persons who do not have access to the Internet or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC's PDR reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, extension 4737, or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

A copy of the license renewal application for CR–3 is also available to local residents near the site at the Coastal Region Library, 8619 W. Crystal St., Crystal River, FL 34428–4468.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th day of January, 2009.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Brian E. Holian,**

*Director, Division of License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. E9–2323 Filed 2–3–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 030–36545; NRC–2009–0038]

### Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for License Amendment to Byproduct Materials License No. 29–30906–01, for Unrestricted Release of the Signum Biosciences, Inc.'s Facility in Monmouth Junction, NJ

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Issuance of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for License Amendment.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steve Hammann, Health Physicist, Commercial and R&D Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region I, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania; telephone 610–337–5399; fax number 610–337–5269; or by e-mail: [stephen.hammann@nrc.gov](mailto:stephen.hammann@nrc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering the issuance of a license amendment to Byproduct Materials License No. 29–30906–01. This license is held by Signum Biosciences, Inc. (Licensee), for its facility located at 1 Deer Park Drive in Monmouth Junction, New Jersey (Facility). Issuance of the amendment would authorize release of the Facility for unrestricted use. The Licensee requested this action in a letter dated April 14, 2008. The NRC has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) in support of this proposed action in accordance with the requirements of Title 10, *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR), Part 51 (10 CFR Part 51). Based on the EA, the NRC has concluded that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is appropriate with respect to the proposed action. The amendment will be issued to the Licensee following the publication of this FONSI and EA in the **Federal Register**.

## II. Environmental Assessment

### *Identification of Proposed Action*

The proposed action would approve the Licensee's request, resulting in release of the Facility for unrestricted use. License No. 29-30906-01 was issued on May 18, 2004, pursuant to 10 CFR Part 30, and has been amended periodically since that time. This license authorized the Licensee to use unsealed byproduct material for purposes of conducting research and development activities on laboratory bench tops.

The Facility consists of 5,800 square feet of office space and laboratories. The Facility is located in a commercial area. Within the Facility, use of licensed materials was confined to two laboratories totaling 2,500 square feet.

On March 19, 2008, the Licensee ceased licensed activities and initiated a survey and decontamination of the Facility. Based on the Licensee's historical knowledge of the site and the conditions of the Facility, the Licensee determined that only routine decontamination activities, in accordance with their NRC-approved, operating radiation safety procedures, were required. The Licensee was not required to submit a decommissioning plan to the NRC because worker cleanup activities and procedures are consistent with those approved for routine operations. The Licensee conducted surveys of the Facility and provided information to the NRC to demonstrate that it meets the criteria in subpart E of 10 CFR part 20 for unrestricted release.

### *Need for the Proposed Action*

The Licensee has ceased conducting licensed activities at the Facility, and seeks the unrestricted use of its Facility.

### *Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action*

The historical review of licensed activities conducted at the Facility shows that such activities involved use of the following radionuclides with half-lives greater than 120 days: Hydrogen-3 and carbon-14. Prior to performing the final status survey, the Licensee conducted decontamination activities, as necessary, in the areas of the Facility affected by these radionuclides.

The Licensee conducted a final status survey on March 19, 2008. This survey covered the laboratories in which radionuclides were used. The final status survey report was attached to the Licensee's amendment request dated April 14, 2008. The Licensee elected to demonstrate compliance with the radiological criteria for unrestricted release as specified in 10 CFR 20.1402

by using the screening approach described in NUREG-1757, "Consolidated NMSS Decommissioning Guidance," Volume 2. The Licensee used the radionuclide-specific derived concentration guideline levels (DCGLs), developed there by the NRC, which comply with the dose criterion in 10 CFR 20.1402. These DCGLs define the maximum amount of residual radioactivity on building surfaces, equipment, and materials, and in soils, that will satisfy the NRC requirements in Subpart E of 10 CFR Part 20 for unrestricted release. The Licensee's final status survey results were below these DCGLs and are in compliance with the As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) requirement of 10 CFR 20.1402. The NRC thus finds that the Licensee's final status survey results are acceptable.

Based on its review, the staff has determined that the affected environment and any environmental impacts associated with the proposed action are bounded by the impacts evaluated by the "Generic Environmental Impact Statement in Support of Rulemaking on Radiological Criteria for License Termination of NRC-Licensed Nuclear Facilities" (NUREG-1496) Volumes 1-3 (ML042310492, ML042320379, and ML042330385). The staff finds there were no significant environmental impacts from the use of radioactive material at the Facility. The NRC staff reviewed the docket file records and the final status survey report to identify any non-radiological hazards that may have impacted the environment surrounding the Facility. No such hazards or impacts to the environment were identified. The NRC has identified no other radiological or non-radiological activities in the area that could result in cumulative environmental impacts.

The NRC staff finds that the proposed release of the Facility for unrestricted use is in compliance with 10 CFR 20.1402. Based on its review, the staff considered the impact of the residual radioactivity at the Facility and concluded that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

### *Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

Due to the largely administrative nature of the proposed action, its environmental impacts are small. Therefore, the only alternative the staff considered is the no-action alternative, under which the staff would leave things as they are by simply denying the amendment request. This no-action alternative is not feasible because it

conflicts with 10 CFR 30.36(d), requiring that decommissioning of byproduct material facilities be completed and approved by the NRC after licensed activities cease. The NRC's analysis of the Licensee's final status survey data confirmed that the Facility meets the requirements of 10 CFR 20.1402 for unrestricted release. Additionally, denying the amendment request would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the no-action alternative are therefore similar, and the no-action alternative is accordingly not further considered.

### *Conclusion*

The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is consistent with the NRC's unrestricted release criteria specified in 10 CFR 20.1402. Because the proposed action will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment, the NRC staff concludes that the proposed action is the preferred alternative.

### *Agencies and Persons Consulted*

NRC provided a draft of this Environmental Assessment to the State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection for review on November 24, 2008. On December 18, 2008, the Department of Environmental Protection responded by letter. The State agreed with the conclusions of the EA, and otherwise had no comments.

The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action is of a procedural nature, and will not affect listed species or critical habitat. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. The NRC staff has also determined that the proposed action is not the type of activity that has the potential to cause effects on historic properties. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

## III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The NRC staff has prepared this EA in support of the proposed action. On the basis of this EA, the NRC finds that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action, and that preparation of an environmental impact statement is not warranted. Accordingly, the NRC has determined that a Finding of No Significant Impact is appropriate.

## IV. Further Information

Documents related to this action, including the application for license amendment and supporting

documentation, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this site, you can access the NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The documents related to this action are listed below, along with their ADAMS accession numbers.

1. NUREG-1757, "Consolidated NMSS Decommissioning Guidance;"

2. Title 10 *Code of Federal Regulations*, Part 20, Subpart E, "Radiological Criteria for License Termination;"

3. Title 10, *Code of Federal Regulations*, Part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions;"

4. NUREG-1496, "Generic Environmental Impact Statement in Support of Rulemaking on Radiological Criteria for License Termination of NRC-Licensed Nuclear Facilities;"

5. Amendment Request Letter dated April 14, 2008 (ML081190328);

6. Request for additional information dated June 12, 2008 (ML081680055); and

7. Deficiency response letter dated September 3, 2008 (ML082700975).

If you do not have access to ADAMS, or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to [PDR.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:PDR.Resource@nrc.gov). These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's PDR, O 1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Dated at Region I, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, PA this 26th day of January 2009.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**James P. Dwyer,**

*Chief, Commercial and R&D Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety Region I.*

[FR Doc. E9-2362 Filed 2-3-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 030-16033; NRC-2009-0037]

### Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for License Amendment to Byproduct Materials License No. 29-19080-01 for Termination of the License and Unrestricted Release of Seiko Corporation of America's Facility in Mahwah, NJ

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Issuance of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for License Amendment.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dennis Lawyer, Health Physicist, Commercial and R&D Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region I, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania; telephone 610-337-5366; fax number 610-337-5269 or by e-mail: [dennis.lawyer@nrc.gov](mailto:dennis.lawyer@nrc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering the issuance of a license amendment to Byproduct Materials License No. 29-19080-01. This license is held by Seiko Corporation of America (the Licensee), for its Seiko Building located at 1111 MacArthur Boulevard in Mahwah, New Jersey (the Facility). Issuance of the amendment would authorize release of the Facility for unrestricted use and termination of the NRC license. The Licensee requested this action in a letter dated September 29, 2008. The NRC has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) in support of this proposed action in accordance with the requirements of Title 10, *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR), part 51 (10 CFR part 51). Based on the EA, the NRC has concluded that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is appropriate with respect to the proposed action. The amendment will be issued to the Licensee following the publication of this FONSI and EA in the **Federal Register**.

##### II. Environmental Assessment

###### Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action would approve the Licensee's September 29, 2008, license amendment request, resulting in release of the Facility for unrestricted use and the termination of its NRC materials license. License No. 29-19080-01 was issued on December 6,

1991, pursuant to 10 CFR Part 30, and has been amended periodically since that time. This license authorized the Licensee to use luminous paint contained on time piece hands and dials for purposes of possession and storage prior to distribution and the repair of watches including replacements of parts.

The Facility is situated within a 141,000 square foot building containing the repair shop and warehouse. Within the Facility, use of licensed materials was confined to 6,000 square feet. The Facility is located in a mixed residential/commercial area. Within the Facility, the radionuclide of concern was promethium 147 because its half-life is greater than 120 days.

On May 30, 2008, the Licensee ceased licensed activities and initiated a survey and decontamination of the Facility. Based on the Licensee's historical knowledge of the site and the conditions of the Facility, the Licensee determined that only routine decontamination activities, in accordance with their NRC-approved operating radiation safety procedures were required. The Licensee was not required to submit a decommissioning plan to the NRC because worker cleanup activities and procedures are consistent with those approved for routine operations. The Licensee conducted surveys of the Facility and provided information to the NRC to demonstrate that it meets the criteria in Subpart E of 10 CFR part 20 for unrestricted release and for license termination.

###### Need for the Proposed Action

The Licensee has ceased conducting licensed activities at the Facility, and seeks the unrestricted use of its Facility and the termination of its NRC materials license. Termination of its license would end the Licensee's obligation to pay annual license fees to the NRC.

###### Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The historical review of licensed activities conducted at the Facility shows that such activities involved use of the following radionuclide with half-lives greater than 120 days: Promethium 147. Prior to performing the final status survey, the Licensee conducted decontamination activities, as necessary, in the areas of the Facility affected by this radionuclide.

The Licensee conducted a final status survey during September 2008. The final status survey report was attached to the Licensee's letter dated September 29, 2008. The Licensee elected to demonstrate compliance with the radiological criteria for unrestricted