§ 1605.13 Back pay awards and other retroactive pay adjustments.

(a) Participant not employed. The following rules apply to participants who receive a back pay award or other retroactive pay adjustment for a period during which the participant was separated from Government service or was not appointed to a position that is covered by FERS, CSRS, or an equivalent system under which TSP

participation is authorized:

(1) If the participant is reinstated or retroactively appointed to a position that is covered by FERS, CSRS, or an equivalent system under which TSP participation is authorized, immediately upon reinstatement or retroactive appointment the employing agency must give the participant the opportunity to submit a contribution election to make current contributions. The contribution election will be effective as soon as administratively feasible, but no later than the first day of the first full pay period after it is received.

(2) The employing agency must give a reinstated or retroactively appointed participant the following options for electing makeup contributions:

(i) The reinstated or retroactively appointed participant may submit a new contribution election for purposes of makeup contributions if he or she would have been eligible to make such an election but for the erroneous separation or erroneous failure to appoint; or

(ii) If a reinstated participant had a contribution election on file when he or she separated, the contribution election the participant had on file when he or she separated may be reinstated for purposes of makeup contributions.

* * *

(b) Participant employed. The following rules apply to participants who receive a back pay award or other retroactive pay adjustment for a period during which the participant was employed in a position that is covered by FERS, CSRS, or an equivalent system under which TSP participation is authorized:

*

(d) Prior withdrawal of TSP account. If a participant has withdrawn his or her TSP account other than by purchasing an annuity, and the separation from Government service upon which the withdrawal was based is reversed, resulting in reinstatement of the participant without a break in service, the participant will have the option to restore the amount withdrawn to his or her TSP account.* * *

PART 1653—COURT ORDERS AND **LEGAL PROCESSES AFFECTING** THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN ACCOUNTS

■ 3. The authority citation for part 1653 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 8435, 8436(b), 8437(e), 8439(a)(3), 8467, 8474(b)(5) and 8474(c)(1).

■ 4. Amend § 1653.2 by adding paragraph (b)(6) to read as follows:

§ 1653.2 Qualifying retirement benefits court orders.

* * (b) * * *

(6) An order that requires the TSP to calculate the payee's entitlement or earnings in a manner that is inconsistent with § 1653.4 of this part.

■ 5. Amend § 1653.4 by revising paragraph (f)(3)(ii) to read as follows:

§ 1653.4 Calculating entitlements.

(f) * * *

(3) * * *

(ii) Determining, based on the participant's investment allocation as of the date used to calculate the entitlement, the number and composition of shares that the payee's award amount would have purchased as of the date used to calculate the entitlement.

■ 6. Amend § 1653.5 by revising paragraph (g)(2) to read as follows:

§ 1653.5 Payment.

* *

(g) * * *

(2) If the order does not specify an order of precedence for the payments, the TSP will pay a current or former spouse first and a dependent second.

■ 7. Amend 1653.11 by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 1653.11 Definitions.

(a) Definitions generally applicable to the Thrift Savings Plan are set forth at 5 CFR 1690.1.

* * * *

[FR Doc. 2011-32301 Filed 12-15-11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6760-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food and Nutrition Service

7 CFR Parts 210, 215, 220, 235 and 245 RIN 0584-AD54

[FNS-2007-0023]

Applying for Free and Reduced Price Meals in the National School Lunch **Program and School Breakfast** Program and for Benefits in the Special Milk Program, and Technical Amendments

AGENCY: Food and Nutrition Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule; Correction.

SUMMARY: The Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service published a final rule in the **Federal** Register on October 28, 2011 (76 FR 66849), concerning changes to eligibility determinations for free and reduced price school meals to implement nondiscretionary provisions of the Child Nutrition and WIC Reauthorization Act of 2004. It also finalized the changes set forth in the interim rule published on November 13, 2007 (72 CFR 63785). This document corrects an amendment to provide additional amendatory language for text that was set out in 7 CFR 245.6(c)(3)(ii). All other information remained unchanged.

DATES: Effective Date: This rule is effective December 16, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julie Brewer, Chief, Policy and Program Development Branch, Child Nutrition Division, Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) at (703) 305-2590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

List of Subjects 7 CFR Part 245

Civil rights, Food assistance programs, Grant programs—education, Grant programs—health, Infants and children, Milk, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, School breakfast and lunch programs.

Accordingly, the final rule published at 76 FR 66849 on October 28, 2011 is corrected as follows:

PART 245—DETERMINING ELIGIBILITY FOR FREE AND REDUCED PRICE MEALS AND FREE **MILK IN SCHOOLS**

■ 1. The authority citation for Part 245 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 1752, 1758, 1759a, 1772, 1773, and 1779.

■ 2. In § 245.6, redesignate paragraph (c)(3)(ii) as (c)(3)(iii) and add a new paragraph (c)(3)(ii) to read as follows:

§ 245.6 Application, eligibility and certification of children for free and reduced price meals and free milk.

(C) * * *

(c) * * *

(ii) Households must attest to changes in information as specified in § 245.6(a)(9). In addition, benefits cannot be reduced by information received through other sources without the written consent of the household, except for information received through verification.

Audrey Rowe,

Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service. [FR Doc. 2011–32199 Filed 12–15–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Part 52

RIN 3150-AI84

[NRC-2010-0134]

U.S. Advanced Boiling Water Reactor Aircraft Impact Design Certification Amendment

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory

Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) is amending its regulations to certify an amendment to the U.S. Advanced Boiling Water Reactor (U.S. ABWR) standard plant design to comply with the NRC's aircraft impact assessment (AIA) regulations. This action allows applicants or licensees intending to construct and operate a U.S. ABWR to comply with the NRC's AIA regulations by referencing the amended design certification rule (DCR). The applicant for certification of the amendment to the U.S. ABWR design is STP Nuclear Operating Company (STPNOC).

DATES: Effective Date: The effective date of this rule is January 17, 2012. The incorporation by reference of certain material specified in this regulation is approved by the Director of the Office of the Federal Register as of January 17, 2012.

ADDRESSES: You can access publicly available documents related to this document using the following methods:

• NRC's Public Document Room (PDR): The public may examine and have copied, for a fee, publicly available documents at the NRC's PDR, O1–F21,

One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

- NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC are available online in the NRC Library at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/ adams.html. From this page, the public can gain entry into ADAMS, which provides text and image files of the NRC's public documents. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC's PDR reference staff at 1-(800) 397-4209, (301) 415-4737, or by email at pdr.resource@nrc.gov.
- Federal Rulemaking Web Site:
 Public comments and supporting
 materials related to this final rule can be
 found at http://www.regulations.gov by
 searching on Docket ID NRC-20100134. Address questions about NRC
 dockets to Carol Gallagher at (301) 4923668, or by email at
 Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr.

R. Frederick Schofer, Office of New Reactors, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone: (301) 415–5682, email: Fred.Schofer@nrc.gov; or Stacy Joseph, Office of New Reactors, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone: (301) 415–2849, email: Stacy.Joseph@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- I. Background
- II. Summary and Analysis of Public Comments on the Proposed Rule
- III. Discussion
- A. Technical Evaluation of the STPNOC Amendment to U.S. ABWR Design
- B. Regulatory and Policy Issues
- C. Changes to Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 52—Design Certification Rule for the U.S. Advanced Boiling Water Reactor
- IV. Section-by-Section Analysis
 - A. Introduction (Section I)
- B. Definitions (Section II)
- C. Scope and Contents (Section III)
- D. Additional Requirements and Restrictions (Section IV)
- E. Applicable Regulations (Section V)
- F. Issue Resolution (Section VI)
- G. Processes for Changes and Departures (Section VIII)
- H. Records and Reporting (Section X) V. Agreement State Compatibility
- VI. Availability of Documents
- VII. Voluntary Consensus Standards
- VIII. Finding of No Significant Environmental Impact: Availability
- IX. Paperwork Reduction Act Statement X. Regulatory Analysis
- XI. Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification
- XII. Backfitting
- XIII. Congressional Review Act

I. Background

Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), part 52, "Licenses, Certifications, and Approvals for Nuclear Power Plants," Subpart B, presents the process for obtaining standard design certifications. Section 52.63, "Finality of standard design certifications," provides criteria for determining when the Commission may amend the certification information for a previously certified standard design in response to a request for amendment from any person. On June 30, 2009, the STPNOC tendered its application with the NRC for amendment of the U.S. ABWR standard plant design certification to comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.150, "Aircraft impact assessment" (ADAMS Accession No. ML092040048). The STPNOC submitted this application in accordance with 10 CFR 52.63. The STPNOC proposed several changes to the certified U.S. ABWR design to comply with 10 CFR 50.150, including the addition of an alternate feedwater injection system, the addition and upgrading of fire barriers and doors, and the strengthening of certain structural barriers. The NRC formally accepted the application as a docketed application for amendment to the U.S. ABWR design certification (Docket No. 52-001) on December 1, 2009 (74 FR 62829).

On June 12, 2009 (74 FR 28112), the NRC amended its regulations to require applicants for new nuclear power reactor designs to perform a designspecific assessment of the effects of the impact of a large commercial aircraft (the AIA rule). These new provisions in 10 CFR 50.150 require applicants to use realistic analyses to identify and incorporate design features and functional capabilities to ensure, with reduced use of operator actions, that (1) the reactor core remains cooled or the containment remains intact, and (2) spent fuel cooling or spent fuel pool integrity is maintained. When it issued the AIA rule, the Commission stated that the requirements in existence at that time, in conjunction with the March 2009 revisions to 10 CFR 50.54 to address loss of large areas of the plant due to explosions or fires, would continue to provide adequate protection of the public health and safety and the common defense and security. Nevertheless, the Commission decided to also require applicants for new nuclear power reactors to incorporate into their design additional features to show that the facility can withstand the effects of an aircraft impact. The Commission stated that the AIA rule to address the capability of new nuclear