interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC and electronically from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, http://www.nrc.gov (the Electronic Reading Room). If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of a hearing or an appropriate

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or

controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Since the Commission has made a final determination that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, if a hearing is requested, it will not stay the effectiveness of the amendment. Any hearing held would take place while the amendment is in effect.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, and to the attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for a hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)–(v) and 2.714(d).

Detroit Edison Company, Docket No. 50–341, Fermi 2, Monroe County, Michigan

Date of amendment request: May 8, 2000.

Description of amendment request: The amendment revises Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement 3.8.1.9 to increase the limit for the peak transient voltage measured following a full-load rejection by the emergency diesel generator that is being tested.

Date of issuance: May 9, 2000. Effective date: As of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 2 days.

Amendment No.: 140.

Facility Operating License No. NPF-43: Amendment revises the Technical Specifications. Public comments requested as to proposed no significant hazards consideration: No. The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment, finding of emergency circumstances, and final determination of no significant hazards consideration are contained in a Safety Evaluation dated May 9, 2000.

Attorney for licensee: John Flynn, Esq., Detroit Edison Company, 2000 Second Avenue, Detroit, Michigan, 48226.

NRC Section Chief: Claudia M. Craig.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of May 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

### John A. Zwolinski,

Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 00–13518 Filed 5–30–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Evaluation of the "E-Z Trial"

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: As part of its effort to reduce paperwork and the burden placed on survey recipients, the Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission (OSHRC) is conducting a preclearance consultation to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on a proposed collection of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1965, Public Law 104–13. OSHRC is soliciting comment concerning an information collection required to evaluate the Review Commission's "E—Z—Trial" program.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted by July 28, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments are to be submitted to Ledia Esther Bernal, OSHRC Clearance Officer, Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, 1120 20th Street, N.W., Ninth Floor, Washington, DC 20036–3419. They may also be sent by facsimile to (202) 606–5390.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Copies of the information collection are available for inspection at the address above. They will be mailed to persons who request copies by telephoning Ledia Esther Bernal at (202) 606–5390.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

The Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission (OSHRC) published a rule in the Federal Register dated August 14, 1995 establishing the "E-Z Trial" program. The rule was subsequently amended to eliminate the sunset provisions in the original rule and to revise the procedural rules governing the "E–Z Trial" program effective July 31, 1997. We are evaluating the program as modified effective July 31, 1997. The evaluation will involve surveying employers and employer representatives regarding their satisfaction with the fairness and efficiency of the process. The evaluation will also analyze data on the rate at which "E-Z Trial" cases go to a hearing, and on the length and cost of hearings. Finally, the evaluation will study the cycle times of these cases as compared to those of conventional cases. Information will also be gathered from Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) staff and from the Solicitor of Labor.

### **II. Current Action**

This notice requests comment on the proposed instruments to be used in connection with the evaluation.

*Type of Review:* Regular Submission (new).

Title: Evaluation of "E–Z Trial. OMB Number: New.

Affected Public: Employers and/or their representatives, labor organizations and staff of the Office of the Solicitor of Labor who have been involved in cases with the Review Commission.

Frequency: Once.
Total Respondents: 100.
Average Time per Response: 45
minutes.

Estimated Total Reporting burden: 75 hours.

Total Annualized capital/startup costs: 0.

Total Operation and Maintenance costs: 0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request. Comments will become a matter of public record.

#### Patricia A. Randle,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 00–13558 Filed 5–30–00; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 7600–01–U$ 

#### PRESIDIO TRUST

Letterman Complex, The Presidio of San Francisco, Notice of approval of Record of Decision for the Final Environmental Impact Statement and Planning Guidelines

**AGENCY:** The Presidio Trust.

**ACTION:** Notice of Approval of Record of Decision.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-90 as amended), and the regulations promulgated by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR 1505.2), the Presidio Trust (Trust) has prepared and approved a Record of Decision (ROD) for the Final **Environmental Impact Statement and** Planning Guidelines for New Development and Uses on 23 Acres within the Letterman Complex (FEIS), The Presidio of San Francisco, San Francisco, California (Presidio). The FEIS is a supplement to the 1994 Final General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) EIS for the Presidio. The ROD documents the decision and rationale for selecting a development alternative for the 23-acre site in compliance with the mandates of the Presidio Trust Act and as guided by the GMPA. The ROD is a concise statement of the decision, alternatives considered, the nature of public involvement, and mitigating measures developed to avoid or minimize environmental impacts. Comments received on the FEIS and responses are also attached to the ROD.

DATES: The Trust has selected for implementation Alternative 5, the Digital Arts Center (identified as the proposed action in the FEIS), as the development alternative for the 23-acre site, and will implement the selected proposal as soon as practicable. This alternative and five other alternatives were fully examined in the Draft EIS that was publicly circulated and filed with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on April 23,

1999 (64 FR 23296–97) and the FEIS that was publicly circulated and filed with the EPA on March 17, 2000 (65 FR 14558). The Presidio Trust Board of Directors reviewed and considered the ROD after an extended no-action period, and authorized the Trust's Executive Director to finalize and approve the ROD, which was signed on May 24, 2000.

MATERIALS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC: The approved ROD is available for viewing on the Internet by clicking on "Library" and then "Postings" at the following website: http://www.presidiotrust.gov. Additionally, copies of the ROD are available by writing or calling: The Presidio Trust, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129–0052, Phone: 415–561–5300; Fax: 415–561–5315.

The ROD is also available for review at:

The Presidio Trust Library, 34 Graham Street, San Francisco, CA 94129, Phone: 415–561–5300.

William Penn Mott, Jr. Visitor Center (Presidio) (open 7 days), Montgomery Street, Main Post, San Francisco, CA 94129, Phone: 415–561–4323.

GGNRA Park Headquarters, Building 201, Fort Mason, San Francisco, CA 94123, Phone: 415–561–4720.

San Francisco Main Library, Government Information Center, Civic Center, San Francisco, CA 94102, Phone: 415–557–4500.

San Francisco Library, Presidio Branch, 3150 Sacramento Street, San Francisco, CA 94115, Phone: 415– 292–2155.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Coordinator, The Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129–0052. Telephone 415–561–5300.

Dated: May 24, 2000.

## Karen A. Cook,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00–13508 Filed 5–30–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–4R–U

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension: Rule 206(4)–3, SEC File No. 270–218, OMB Control No. 3235–0242; Rule 206(4)–4, SEC File No. 270–304, OMB Control No. 3235–0345.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995