

domestic producer of saccharin.⁴ We received a complete substantive response from Kinetic within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).⁵ We did not receive any responses from any respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), we conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the order on saccharin from the PRC.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this AD order is saccharin. Saccharin is defined as a non-nutritive sweetener used in beverages and foods, personal care products such as toothpaste, table top sweeteners, and animal feeds. It is also used in metalworking fluids. There are four primary chemical compositions of saccharin: (1) Sodium saccharin (American Chemical Society Chemical Abstract Service ("CAS") Registry 128-44-9); (2) calcium saccharin (CAS Registry 6485-34-3); (3) acid (or insoluble) saccharin (CAS Registry 81-07-2); and (4) research grade saccharin. Most of the U.S.-produced and imported grades of saccharin from the PRC are sodium and calcium saccharin, which are available in granular, powder, spray-dried powder, and liquid forms. The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable under subheading 2925.11.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") and includes all types of saccharin imported under this HTSUS subheading, including research and specialized grades. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the scope of this order remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

A complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review is provided in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice.⁶ The issues discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping

and the magnitude of the margins of dumping likely to prevail if the order were revoked. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS"). Access to IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of the Sunset Review

Pursuant to section 752(c)(3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the AD order on saccharin from the PRC would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/exporters/ producers	Weighted- average margin (percent)
Suzhou Fine Chemical Group Co., Ltd	291.57
Shanghai Fortune Chemical Co., Ltd	249.39
Kaifeng Xinhua Fine Chemical Factory	281.97
PRC-Wide Rate	329.94

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 20, 2014.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014-20395 Filed 8-26-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-489-502]

Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Turkey: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; Calendar Year 2012 and Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, in Part

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) completed the administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes (steel pipes and tubes) from Turkey for the January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012, period of review (POR) in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). This review covers multiple exporters/producers, one of which is being individually examined as a mandatory respondent. We determine that the net subsidy rate for the sole mandatory respondent, Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (BMB), and Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S. (Istikbal), (collectively, the Borusan Companies), although revised from the preliminary results, continues to be *de minimis*. We further continue to find that the net subsidy rates for Erbosan Erciyas Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Erbosan AS) and Erbosan Erciyas Pipe Industry and Trade Co. Kayseri Free Zone Branch (Erbosan FZB), (collectively Erbosan), and Tosyali dis Ticaret A.S. (Tosyali) and Toscelik Profil ve Sac Endustrisi A.S. (Toscelik Profil), (collectively, Toscelik), the two firms not individually examined in this review, are *de minimis* and 0.83 percent *ad valorem*, respectively. Additionally, the Department is rescinding the review of three companies that timely certified that they had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR.

DATES: *Effective Date:* August 27, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Conniff at 202-482-1009, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of

⁴ *Id.* at 2.

⁵ See letter from Kinetic, "Saccharin from the People's Republic of China: Substantive Response to the Notice of Initiation," dated May 30, 2014.

⁶ See "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Saccharin from the People's Republic of China," from Gary Taverman, Senior Advisor for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance ("Issues and Decision Memorandum"), dated concurrently with this notice.

Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Background

On March 7, 1986, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the CVD order on steel pipes and tubes from Turkey.¹ On April 17, 2014, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the *Preliminary Results* for this review.² In the *Preliminary Results*, we invited interested parties to submit case and rebuttal briefs commenting on the preliminary results and to request a hearing.³ On May 23, 2014, we received a case brief from the Borusan Companies. We received no rebuttal brief, and did not hold a hearing in this review, as none was requested by interested parties.

Scope of Order

The products covered by this order are certain welded carbon steel pipe and tube with an outside diameter of 0.375 inch or more, but not over 16 inches, of any wall thickness (pipe and tube) from Turkey. These products are currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings as 7306.30.10, 7306.30.50, and 7306.90.10. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

Methodology

The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Act. For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable during the POR, we determine that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that confers a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific. *See* sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity. For a complete description of the methodology, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁴

¹ *See Countervailing Duty Order: Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube Products From Turkey*, 51 FR 7984 (March 7, 1986).

² *See Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Turkey: Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube Products From Turkey: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; Calendar Year 2012 and Intent To Rescind Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, in Part*, 79 FR 22625 (April 17, 2014) (*Preliminary Results*).

³ *Id.*, 79 FR at 22627.

⁴ *See* Memorandum to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Decision Memorandum for Final Results of Countervailing Duty (CVD) Administrative Review: Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Turkey," dated

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the Borusan Companies' case brief, the only case brief submitted in this proceeding, are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues which the Borusan Companies raised, and to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov>, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room 7046 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Rescission of the 2012 Administrative Review, in Part

The Department did not receive any information from interested parties or U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CPB) that was contrary to the claims of Yucel Group and affiliates including Yucel Boru ye Profil Endustrisi A.S., Yucelboru Ihracat Ithalat ye Pazarlama A.S. and Cayirova Born Sanayi ye Ticaret A.S. (collectively, Yucel), Umran Celik Boru Sanayii A.S. (Umran), and Guven Celik Boru San. Ve Tic. Ltd. (Guven) of no sales, shipments, or entries of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR after we preliminarily indicated our intent to rescind the administrative review. Accordingly, based on record evidence, we determine that Yucel, Umran, and Guven did not ship subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), and consistent with our practice,⁵ we are rescinding the review for Yucel, Umran, and Guven.

Final Results of Review

Pursuant to section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Act, we calculated an individual subsidy rate for the mandatory respondent, the Borusan Companies. Consistent with the *Preliminary Results*

concurrently with these final results (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁵ *See, e.g., Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 79 FR 2635 (January 15, 2014).

and as explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum,⁶ we determine that the Borusan Companies received a net subsidy rate of 0.13 percent *ad valorem* during the POR, which is *de minimis*.⁷ In past reviews in which the Department limited the number of respondents being individually examined, the Department determined that a "reasonable method" to use to determine the rate applicable to companies that were not individually examined when all the rates of selected mandatory respondents are zero or *de minimis* is to assign non-selected respondents the average of the most recently determined rates that are not zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available.⁸ However, if a non-selected respondent has its own calculated rate that is contemporaneous with or more recent than such previous rates, the Department found it appropriate to apply that calculated rate to the non-selected respondent, even when that rate is zero or *de minimis*.⁹

In the *Turkey Pipe 2011 Final Results*, the most recently completed administrative review of this order, the Department calculated a *de minimis* net subsidy rate for Erbosan and a net subsidy rate of 0.83 percent *ad valorem* for Toscelik.¹⁰ Therefore, consistent with the Department's practice, as described above, the Department is assigning rates of *de minimis* for Erbosan and 0.83 percent *ad valorem* for Toscelik, based on the individual rates calculated for those companies in the prior review. Our approach in this regard is unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*.¹¹

Assessment Rates/Cash Deposits

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), the Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review to liquidate shipments of subject merchandise by the Borusan Companies and Erbosan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012,

⁶ *See* Issues and Decision Memorandum at 3.

⁷ *See* 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1).

⁸ *See Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 47191, 47194–95 (September 15, 2009).

⁹ *Id.*

¹⁰ *See Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Turkey: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; Calendar Year 2011*, 78 FR 64916, 64917 (October 30, 2013) (*Turkey Pipe 2011 Final Results*) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 1.

¹¹ *See Preliminary Results*, and accompanying Decision Memorandum at 6–7.

without regard to CVDs because a *de minimis* subsidy rate was calculated for each company as the *ad valorem* assessment rate. We will also instruct CBP to continue to suspend liquidation but to collect no cash deposits of estimated CVDs on shipments of the subject merchandise by the Borusan Companies and Erbosan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review.

For Toscelik, the Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review to liquidate shipments of subject merchandise by Toscelik entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012, at the *ad valorem* assessment rate listed above. We will also instruct CBP to collect cash deposits for Toscelik at the CVD cash deposit rate indicated above on all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of these final results of review.

For Yucel, Umrhan, and Guven, the companies for which this review is rescinded, CVDs shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated CVDs required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012, consistent with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i).

For all non-reviewed companies, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits at the most recent company-specific or country-wide rate applicable to the company. Accordingly, the cash deposit rates that will be applied to companies covered by this order, but not examined in this review, are those established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding for each company. The cash deposit rates for all companies not covered by this review are not changed by the results of this review, and remain in effect until further notice.

Return or Destruction of Proprietary Information

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply

with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 21, 2014.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

- I. Summary
- II. Period of Review
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Rescission of the 2012 Administrative Review, in Part
- V. Attribution of Subsidies
- VI. Allocation Period
- VII. Subsidies Valuation Information—Benchmarks and Discount Rates
- VIII. Non-Selected Rate
- IX. Analysis of Programs
 - A. Programs Determined To Be Countervailable
 - B. Programs Determined To Not Confer Countervailable Benefits
 - C. Programs Determined To Be Not Used
- X. Analysis of Comments

Borusan

Comment: Whether the Department Double-Counted Subsidy Benefits the Borusan Companies Received In Connection with Certain EXIMBANK Loans
- XI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014–20400 Filed 8–26–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS

Notice of Meeting

The next meeting of the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts is scheduled for 18 September 2014, at 9:00 a.m. in the Commission offices at the National Building Museum, Suite 312, Judiciary Square, 401 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20001–2728. Items of discussion may include buildings, parks and memorials.

Draft agendas and additional information regarding the Commission are available on our Web site: www.cfa.gov. Inquiries regarding the agenda and requests to submit written or oral statements should be addressed to Thomas Luebke, Secretary, U.S. Commission of Fine Arts, at the above address; by emailing staff@cfa.gov; or by calling 202–504–2200. Individuals requiring sign language interpretation for the hearing impaired should contact the Secretary at least 10 days before the meeting date.

Dated: August 19, 2014, in Washington, DC.

Thomas Luebke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–20187 Filed 8–26–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6330–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Army Science Board Partially Closed Meeting Notice

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of a partially closed meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Sunshine in the Government Act of 1976 (U.S.C. 552b, as amended) and 41 Code of the Federal Regulations (CFR 102–3.140 through 160, the Department of the Army announces the following committee meeting:

Name of Committee: Army Science Board (ASB) Fall Plenary Voting Session.

Date: September 18, 2014.

Time: 0900–1100 for the open session and 1300–1500 for the closed session.

Location: The open session will take place at the Darden School of Business at 100 Darden Boulevard, Charlottesville, VA 22903. The closed session will take place at the adjacent U.S. Army Judge Advocate General's Legal Center and School at 600 Massie Road, Charlottesville, VA 22903. Both locations are on the campus of the University of Virginia in Charlottesville, VA.

Public's Accessibility to the Meeting: Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b and 41 CFR 102–3.140 through 102.3.165, and the availability of space, the morning session of this meeting is open to the public. Seating is on a first-come basis. The Darden School of Business is readily accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities. For additional information about public access procedures, contact LTC Stephen Barker or Ms. Carolyn German at the email address or telephone number listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Purpose of Meeting: The purpose of the meeting is for ASB members to review, deliberate, and vote on the findings and recommendations presented in the Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 studies.

Agenda: The board will present findings and recommendations for deliberation and vote on the following three FY 2014 studies: