

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Fokker Services B.V.: Docket 2002–NM–302–AD.

Applicability: Model F27 Mark 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, and 700 series airplanes; certificated in any category; on which one or more of the modifications specified in paragraph 1.A.(1) of Fokker Service Bulletin F27/54–53, dated February 15, 2002, has been done.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To ensure the structural integrity of the engine-to-wing load path and prevent possible separation of the engine from the airplane, accomplish the following:

One-Time Inspection

(a) Within 24 months after the effective date of this AD: Do a one-time general visual inspection to determine the part numbers of the engine mounting frames, brace struts, and attachment fittings; per the Accomplishment Instructions of Fokker Service Bulletin F27/54–53, dated February 15, 2002. Do the inspection and corrective action per the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin. Do the related corrective action before further flight.

Note 1: For the purposes of this AD, a general visual inspection is defined as: "A

visual examination of an interior or exterior area, installation, or assembly to detect obvious damage, failure, or irregularity. This level of inspection is made from within touching distance unless otherwise specified. A mirror may be necessary to enhance visual access to all exposed surfaces in the inspection area. This level of inspection is made under normally available lighting conditions such as daylight, hangar lighting, flashlight, or droplight and may require removal or opening of access panels or doors. Stands, ladders, or platforms may be required to gain proximity to the area being checked."

Related Service Information

Note 2: Fokker Service Bulletin F27/54–53, dated February 15, 2002, references Fokker Service Bulletin 51–24, dated December 1, 1971, as the appropriate source of service information for installing a new, improved engine mounting frame; and Fokker Service Bulletin F27/54–26, Revision 5, dated September 30, 2001, as the appropriate source of service information for installing new, improved, stronger brace struts and brackets.

Parts Installation

(b) As the effective date of this AD, no person may install on any airplane an engine mounting frame, brace strut, or attachment fitting unless that part has been identified as appropriate for the airplane configuration, as specified in the Accomplishment Instructions of Fokker Service Bulletin F27/54–53, dated February 15, 2002.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in Dutch airworthiness directive 2002–067, dated May 31, 2002.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 20, 2004.

Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 04–12399 Filed 6–1–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

24 CFR Part 990

[Docket No. FR–4874–N–06]

Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee on the Operating Fund; Notice of Meeting

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of Negotiated Rulemaking Committee meeting.

SUMMARY: This document announces a meeting of HUD's Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee on the Operating Fund. The purpose of the committee is to provide advice and recommendations on developing a rule for effectuating changes to the Public Housing Operating Fund Program in response to the Harvard University Graduate School of Design's "Public Housing Operating Cost Study."

DATES: The committee meeting will be held on June 8 and June 9, 2004. Each day the meeting will start at approximately 8:30 a.m. and run until approximately 5 p.m., unless the committee agrees otherwise.

ADDRESSES: The committee meeting will take place at the Bolger Center, North Building, 9600 Newbridge Drive, Potomac, MD 20854–4436; telephone: (301) 983–7000 (this telephone number is not toll-free). For further information and directions to the Bolger Center, please go to the following Web site: <http://www.bolgercenter.dolce.com>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chris Kubacki, Director, Funding and Financial Management Division, Public and Indian Housing—Real Estate Assessment Center, Suite 800, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 1280 Maryland Ave SW., Washington, DC 20024–2135; telephone (202) 708–4932 (this telephone number is not toll-free). Individuals with speech or hearing impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Information Relay Service at 1–800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Through the Operating Fund program, HUD distributes operating subsidies to public housing agencies (PHAs). A regulatory description of the Operating Fund program can be found at 24 CFR part 990. The Operating Fund Formula regulations were developed through negotiated rulemaking procedures. Negotiated rulemaking for an Operating Fund Formula was initiated in March 1999, and resulted in a proposed rule, published on July 10, 2000 (65 FR 42488), which was followed by an interim rule published on March 29, 2001 (66 FR 17276). The March 29, 2001, interim rule established the Operating Fund Formula that is currently in effect.

During the negotiated rulemaking for the Operating Fund Formula, Congress in the Conference Report (H.Rept. 106–379, October 13, 1999) accompanying HUD's Fiscal Year (FY) 2000 Appropriation Act (Pub. L. 106–74, approved October 20, 1999) directed

HUD to contract with the Harvard University Graduate School of Design (Harvard GSD) to conduct a study on the costs incurred in operating well-run public housing. Harvard GSD issued a final report, the Harvard Cost Study, on June 6, 2003. In Section 222 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2004 (Pub. L. 108–199, approved January 23, 2004), Congress directed the Secretary to conduct negotiated rulemaking with the publication of a final rule by July 1, 2004.

On March 10, 2004, HUD published a document establishing a Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee on the Operating Fund (Committee) to provide advice and recommendations on developing a rule for effectuating changes to the Public Housing Operating Fund Program in response to the Harvard Cost Study. The Committee has met three times. The first meeting was held in Washington, DC on March 30, March 31, and April 1, 2004. A second meeting was held, also in Washington, DC, on April 13–15, 2004. The third Committee meeting was held on May 11 and 12, 2004, in Atlanta, Georgia.

II. Committee Meeting

This document announces a fourth meeting of the Committee. The Committee meeting will take place as described in the **DATES** and **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. Appendix) and the implementing regulations issued by the General Services Administration at 41 CFR part 102–3, HUD publishes notices in the **Federal Register** of an advisory committee meeting at least 15 calendar days prior to the meeting. In this case HUD is providing less than 15-days advance notice due to exceptional circumstances. The Committee was originally scheduled to complete its work at the third meeting. Although great progress was made at the previous meeting towards the development of a rule, the Committee determined that a fourth meeting would be necessary to complete its work. The time required to complete hotel reservations and other logistical arrangements prevented publication of this meeting notice prior to today's date.

The agenda planned for the meeting includes discussion of issues relating to the development of changes in response to the Harvard Cost Study. The meeting will be open to the public without advance registration. Public attendance may be limited to the space available. Members of the public may be allowed to make statements during the meeting,

to the extent time permits, and file written statements with the committee for its consideration. Written statements should be submitted to the address listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION** section of this document.

Dated: May 27, 2004.

Deborah Hernandez,

Director, Office of Voucher Programs.

[FR Doc. 04–12495 Filed 6–1–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–33–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[NV052–0079; FRL–7669–3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; New Source Review; State of Nevada, Clark County Department of Air Quality Management

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This action is a proposed partial approval and partial disapproval of several rules that were submitted as a revision of the Clark County portion of the Nevada State Implementation Plan (SIP). We had approved a similar version of these rules into the Nevada SIP in 1999. See 64 FR 25210 (May 11, 1999). Our approval was appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, which vacated the 1999 approval and remanded our approval of the rules for further consideration. See *Hall v. EPA*, 273 F.3d 1146 (9th Cir. 2001). This proposed partial approval and partial disapproval of the rules for the reasons discussed more fully below responds to the issues raised in the court's remand.

The rules at issue in this proposed action were adopted by the Clark County Department of Air Quality Management for issuing permits for new or modified stationary sources in Clark County to comply with the applicable permitting requirements under parts C and D of title I of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 to prevent significant deterioration in attainment areas and to attain the National Ambient Air Quality Standards in nonattainment areas. EPA is also proposing to approve as a revision to the Nevada SIP a State regulation prohibiting the construction of major new or modified sources under exclusive State jurisdiction in the nonattainment areas within Clark County. The intended effect of this proposed action is to ensure that the Clark County Department of Air Quality

Management's permitting rules are consistent with Ninth Circuit's ruling in *Hall v. EPA* and with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990. EPA is also proposing to amend the appropriate section of the Code of Federal Regulations to reflect the successful court challenge to an EPA approval of previous versions of these local rules. Lastly, under section 110(k)(6) of the Act, EPA is proposing to correct or clarify certain previous final rulemaking actions taken by EPA on revisions to the Clark County portion of the Nevada SIP. EPA is taking comments on this proposal and plans to follow with a final action.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by July 2, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to Gerardo Rios, Chief, Permits Office, Air Division (AIR–3), EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California, 94105.

You can inspect copies of the State's submittals, EPA's technical support documents (TSDs), and other supporting documentation relevant to this action, during normal business hours at Air Division, EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105.

You may also see copies of the State's two submittals at the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection, 333 W. Nye Lane, Room 138, Carson City, Nevada 89706. The State's submittal of DAQM's amended rules is available at the Clark County Department of Air Quality Management, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway, Las Vegas, Nevada 89155.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roger Kohn, EPA Region IX, Air Division, Permits Office (AIR–3), at (415) 972–3973 or kohn.roger@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. Evaluation of Clark County New Source Review Rules
 - A. The State's Submittal
 - B. EPA's Evaluation and Action
- II. Corrections to, or Clarification of, the Clark County Portion of Nevada State Implementation Plan
- III. Proposed Action and Public Comment
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Evaluation of Clark County New Source Review Rules

A. The State's Submittal

Table 1 lists the rules addressed by this proposal with the dates that they were adopted by the local air agency, the Clark County Department of Air Quality Management (DAQM), or were adopted by the State Environmental