

official on behalf of workers at Block Corporation, American Trouser Division, Tupelo, Mississippi.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 5th day of September, 2007.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-17882 Filed 9-10-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-61,833]

Chapin Watermatics Incorporated, a Subsidiary of Jain Americas Incorporated, Watertown, NY; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of August 20, 2007, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice was signed on July 30, 2007 and published in the **Federal Register** on August 14, 2007 (72 FR 45451).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, which was filed on behalf of workers at Chapin Watermatics Inc. a subsidiary of Jain Americas Inc., Watertown, New York engaged in the production of irrigation systems, such as drip irrigation tape, was denied based on the findings that during the relevant time period, the subject company did not separate or threaten to separate a significant number or proportion of workers, as required by Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner states that there were five workers laid off from the subject firm during the relevant time period.

For companies with a workforce of over fifty workers, a significant proportion of worker separations or threatened separation is five percent. Significant number or proportion of the workers in a firm or appropriate subdivision with a workforce of fewer than 50 workers is at least three workers. In determining whether there were a significant proportion of workers separated or threatened with separations at the subject company during the relevant time period, the Department requested employment figures for the subject firm for 2005, 2006, and January through August, 2007. A careful review of the information provided in the initial investigation revealed that five workers were laid off from the administrative office at the subject firm during the relevant time period. However, overall employment at the subject firm has increased from 2005 to 2006 and from January through August, 2007 when compared with the same period in 2006.

Furthermore, a review of the initial investigation also revealed that the subject company sales and production of drip irrigation tape increased from 2005 to 2006, and also increased during January through June of 2007 when compared with the same period in 2006, and that the subject company did not shift production abroad.

As employment levels, sales and production at the subject facility did not decline in the relevant period, and the subject firm did not shift production to a foreign country, criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.A), (a)(2)(B)(II.A), (a)(2)(A)(I.B), and (a)(2)(B)(II.B) have not been met.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 5th day of September, 2007

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-17886 Filed 9-10-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than September 21, 2007.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than September 21, 2007.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C-5311, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of September 2007.

Ralph DiBattista,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX

[TAA petitions instituted between 8/27/07 and 8/31/07]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
62044	Foamex (Union)	Eddystone, PA	08/27/07	08/24/07
62045	Tweel Home Furnishings (State)	Newark, NJ	08/27/07	08/23/07
62046	Wallowa Forest Products (State)	Wallowa, OR	08/27/07	08/24/07
62047	Wheatland Tube Company (State)	Collingswood, NJ	08/27/07	08/24/07
62048	Tinnerman Palant Engineered Products, Inc. (Comp)	Mountainside, NJ	08/27/07	08/24/07
62049	Liberty Fibers Corporation (Comp)	Lowland, TN	08/27/07	08/24/07
62050	GAF Materials Corporation (Wkrs)	Erie, PA	08/28/07	08/27/07
62051	Actown Electrocoil (Comp)	Spring Grove, IL	08/28/07	08/23/07
62052	Freescall Semiconductor, Inc. (Wkrs)	Tempe, AZ	08/28/07	08/24/07
62053	Sunrise Medical Corporation (Comp)	Somerset, PA	08/28/07	08/27/07
62054	MJM Jewelry (Wkrs)	Brooklyn, NY	08/28/07	08/27/07
62055	Siemens Medical—Oncology Care Systems (Comp)	Concord, CA	08/28/07	08/15/07
62056	Glako Smith Kline /Shared Financial Services (Wkrs)	Philadelphia, PA	08/28/07	08/27/07
62057	Bean Lumber Company (State)	Amity, AR	08/28/07	08/27/07
62058	ArvinMeritor (Comp)	Chickasha, OK	08/28/07	08/27/07
62059	Tyco Electronics (M/A-Com) (Wkrs)	Lowell, MA	08/28/07	08/24/07
62060	Spirit Airlines Reservation Center (Wkrs)	Clinton Township, MI	08/29/07	08/23/07
62061	International Legwear Group (Comp)	Hildebran, NC	08/29/07	08/27/07
62062	IPC Information Systems (Wkrs)	Mt. Laurel, NJ	08/29/07	08/22/07
62063	Tubafor Mill, Inc. (UBC)	Morton, WA	08/29/07	08/28/07
62064	Pfizer, Inc. (State)	Portage, MI	08/29/07	08/16/07
62065	Keykert USA (Comp)	Wixom, MI	08/29/07	08/28/07
62066	Magna Donnelly (Comp)	Holland, MI	08/29/07	08/28/07
62067	Crosible, Inc. (Comp)	Moravia, NY	08/30/07	08/27/07
62068	TI Automotive Systems LLC (Comp)	Hebron, OH	08/30/07	08/29/07
62069	Delphi Corporation (Comp)	Flint, MI	08/30/07	08/27/07
62070	Block Corporation (Comp)	Tupelo, MS	08/30/07	08/28/07
62071	Bedford Fair Apparel (State)	Greenwich, CT	08/30/07	08/28/07
62072	Block Corporation (Wkrs)	Columbus, MS	08/30/07	08/29/07
62073	Fujitsu Ten Corp of America (Comp)	Rushville, IN	08/30/07	08/28/07
62074	Playtex Puerto Rico (State)	Vega Baja, PR	08/31/07	08/29/07
62075	Argus—Fremont, Oakland Tribune (Wkrs)	Oakland, CA	08/31/07	08/23/07
62076	Ametek, Inc. (Comp)	West Chicago, IL	08/31/07	08/30/07
62077	CloseMaid (DoAble Products) (Comp)	Diboll, TX	08/31/07	08/30/07
62078	Colgate Palmolive (State)	Guayama, PR	08/31/07	08/29/07
62079	Penn Specialty Chemical (Wkrs)	Memphis, TN	08/31/07	08/30/07
62080	Tri Mas Corporation/Lake Erie Products (Wkrs)	Wood Dale, IL	08/31/07	08/17/07
62081	Meridian Automotive Systems (USW)	Jackson, OH	08/31/07	08/30/07
62082	LexaMar Corporation (Comp)	Boyne City, MI	08/31/07	08/29/07
62083	Chardon Rubber Company (Comp)	St. Joseph, MI	08/31/07	08/30/07

[FR Doc. E7-17883 Filed 9-10-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration****Notice of Determinations Regarding
Eligibility To Apply for Worker
Adjustment Assistance and Alternative
Trade Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) number and alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) by (TA-W) number issued during the period of August 20 through August 31, 2007.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. The sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

C. Increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or

production of such firm or subdivision; or

II. Section (a)(2)(B) both of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. There has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to a foreign country of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and

C. One of the following must be satisfied:

1. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

2. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the