

or copying where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways;

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. Add § 165.T05–071 to read as follows:

§ 165.T05–071 Security Zone; Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Chesapeake Bay, Calvert County, MD.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a security zone: the waters of the Chesapeake Bay in the vicinity of the Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant bounded by a line drawn from a point located at 38°26'06" N, 076°26'18" W to 38°26'10" N, 076°26'12" W, thence to 38°26'21" N, 076°26'28" W, thence back to shore at 38°26'14" N, 076°26'33" W. All coordinates reference Datum: NAD 1983.

(b) *Regulations.* (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.33, entry into the security zone described in § 165.T05–071 is prohibited except as authorized by the Captain of the Port or his designated representative.

(2) Persons or vessels requiring entry into or passage within the zone must request authorization from the Captain of the Port or his designated representative by telephone at (410) 576–2693 or by radio on VHF–FM channel 16.

(3) The operator of any vessel within the security zone shall:

(i) Stop the vessel immediately upon being directed to do so by the Coast Guard Captain of the port or his designated representative; and

(ii) Proceed as directed by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port or his designated representative.

(c) *Definitions.* The designated representative of the Captain of the Port is any Coast Guard Commissioned, Warrant, or Petty Officer who has been authorized by the Captain of the Port, Baltimore to act on his behalf.

(d) *Effective period.* This section is effective from 5 p.m. on January 9, 2002 to 5 p.m. on June 15, 2002.

(e) *Enforcement.* The COTP may enlist the cooperation of Federal, state, county, municipal, and private agencies to assist in the enforcement of these regulations.

(f) *Authority.* This section is promulgated under 33 U.S.C. 1226.

Dated: January 9, 2002.

R.B. Peoples,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Baltimore, Maryland.

[FR Doc. 02–4710 Filed 2–27–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[COTP San Francisco Bay–01–010]

RIN 2115–AA97

Security Zone; San Francisco Bay, San Francisco, CA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary security zone in the navigable waters of the United States adjacent to Yerba Buena Island. The need for this security zone is based on recent terrorist actions against the United States. The security zone will prohibit all persons and vessels from entering, transiting through or anchoring within a portion of the San Francisco Bay surrounding United States Coast Guard property on Yerba Buena Island, San Francisco, California unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or his designated representative.

DATES: This security zone will be in effect from 5 p.m. (PDT) on October 9, 2001 to 4:59 p.m. (PDT) June 9, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket COTP San Francisco Bay–01–010, and are available for inspection or copying at U.S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Office, San Francisco Bay, Coast Guard Island, Alameda, CA 94501 between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lieutenant Ross Sargent, U.S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Office San Francisco Bay, at (510) 437–3073.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Information

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this

regulation. In keeping with the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM. In keeping with the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553 (d)(3), the Coast Guard also finds that good cause exists for making this regulation effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**.

Due to the recent terrorist attack on the United States, a heightened level of security has been established concerning all vessels entering navigable waters of the United States. As a result, this security zone is needed to protect the United States and more specifically the people, ports, waterways, and properties of the San Francisco Bay area. The incidents necessitating this security zone did not allow a 30-day period for publication prior to the issuance of this temporary regulation. Publishing an NPRM and delaying the effective date would be contrary to national security.

Background and Purpose

On September 11, 2001, terrorists launched attacks on civilian and military targets within the United States killing large numbers of people and damaging properties of national significance. Vessels operating near the United States Coast Guard property on Yerba Buena Island, San Francisco, California present possible hindrances or dangers to government emergency response resources.

As part of the Diplomatic Security and Antiterrorism Act of 1986 (Pub. L. 99–399), Congress amended The Ports and Waterways Safety Act (PWSA) to allow the Coast Guard to take actions, including the establishment of security and safety zones, to prevent or respond to acts of terrorism against individuals, vessels, or public or commercial structures. 33 U.S.C. 1226. The terrorist acts against the United States on September 11, 2001 have increased the need for safety and security measures on U.S. ports and waterways. In response to these terrorist acts, and in order to prevent similar occurrences, the Coast Guard is establishing a temporary security zone in the navigable waters of the United States surrounding the United States Coast Guard property on Yerba Buena Island, San Francisco, California. The zone will be in effect from 5:00 p.m. (PDT) on October 9, 2001 to 4:59 p.m. (PDT) on June 9, 2002.

This temporary security zone is necessary to provide for the safety and security of the United States of America and the people, ports, waterways and properties within the San Francisco Bay area. The security zone will be enforced

by Coast Guard patrol craft or any patrol craft enlisted by the COTP.

Persons and vessels are prohibited from entering into or transiting through this security zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or his designated representative. Each person and vessel in a security zone shall obey any direction or order of the COTP. The COTP may remove any person, vessel, article, or thing from a security zone. No person may board, or take or place any article or thing on board, any vessel in a security zone without the permission of the COTP.

Any violation of either security zone described herein, is punishable by, among other things, civil penalties (not to exceed \$27,500 per violation, where each day of a continuing violation is a separate violation), criminal penalties (imprisonment for not more than 12 years and a fine of not more than \$250,000), in rem liability against the offending vessel, and license sanctions.

Regulatory Evaluation

This temporary final rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6 (a)(3) of that Order. It has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under that Order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979). Due to the recent terrorist actions against the United States the implementation of this security zone is necessary for the protection of the United States and its people. Vessels will receive authorization to transit into San Francisco Bay by the Captain of the Port on a case-by-case basis. As a result, full regulatory evaluation under paragraph 10 (e) of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. § 601–612), the Coast Guard considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. "Small entities" include small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations less than 50,000.

This security zone will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because although the security zone will occupy the entire entrance of San Francisco Bay, vessels will receive authorization

to transit into San Francisco Bay by the Captain of the Port on a case-by-case basis. Therefore, the Coast Guard certifies under section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) that this temporary final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), the Coast Guard offers to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If your small business or organization is affected by this rule and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact Lieutenant Ross Sargent, U.S. Coast Guard Marine Office San Francisco Bay at (510) 437–3073.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

Collection of Information

This temporary final rule does not provide for a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule and have determined that this rule does not have implications for federalism.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year.

Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Environment

We have considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under figure 2–1, paragraph (34), of Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation, because we are establishing a security zone. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. Add new temporary § 165.T11–096 to read as follows:

§ 165.T11–096 Security Zone; Navigable Waters of the United States Surrounding United States Coast Guard property on Yerba Buena Island, San Francisco, CA.

(a) *Location.* The security zone will encompass navigable waters surrounding United States Coast Guard property on Yerba Buena Island, San Francisco, California, bounded by the following coordinates: latitude 37° 48.464'N and longitude 122° 21.870'W; thence to 37° 48.413'N and longitude 122° 21.873'W; thence to 37° 48.384'N and longitude 122° 21.723'W; thence to 37° 48.463'N and longitude 122° 21.607'W; thence to 37° 48.664'N and longitude 122° 21.555'W; thence to 37° 48.820'N and longitude 122° 21.559'W, and along the shoreline back to the beginning point.

(b) *Effective dates.* This section will be in effect from 5 p.m. (PDT) on October 9, 2001 to 4:59 p.m. (PDT) on June 9, 2002. If the need for the security zone ends before the scheduled termination time, the Captain of the Port will cease enforcement of this security zone and will also announce that fact via Broadcast Notice to Mariners.

(c) *Regulations.* In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.33 of this part, no person or vessel may enter or remain in the security zone established by this temporary regulation, unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or his designated representative. All other general regulations of § 165.33 of this part apply in the security zone established by this temporary regulation.

Dated: October 9, 2001.

L.L. Hereth,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, San Francisco Bay, California.

[FR Doc. 02–4847 Filed 2–27–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Coast Guard****33 CFR Part 165**

[COTP St. Louis–02–003]

RIN 2115–AA97

Security Zone; Upper Mississippi River, Mile Marker 507.3 to 506.3, Left Descending Bank, Cordova, IL

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary security zone encompassing all water extending 300 feet from the shoreline of the left descending bank on the Upper Mississippi River, beginning from mile marker 506.9 to 506.7. This security zone is necessary to protect the Exelon Quad Cities Nuclear Power Plant in Cordova, Illinois from any and all subversive actions from any groups or individuals whose objective is to cause disruption to the daily operations of the Exelon Quad Cities Nuclear Power Plant.

DATES: This rule is effective from 8 a.m. January 14, 2002 through 8 a.m. June 15, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket [COTP St. Louis–02–003] and are available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Office St. Louis, 1222 Spruce St., Rm. 8.104E, St. Louis, Missouri 63103–2835, between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: LT David Webb, Marine Safety Detachment Quad Cities, Rock Island, IL at (309) 782–0627.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Information

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM, and, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. The catastrophic nature of, and resulting devastation from, the September 11, 2001 attacks on the World Trade Center towers in New York City and the Pentagon in Washington DC, makes this rulemaking necessary for the protection of national security interests. National security and intelligence officials warn that future terrorist attacks against United States

interests are likely. Any delay in making this regulation effective would be contrary to the public interest because immediate action is necessary to protect against the possible loss of life, injury, or damage to property.

Background and Purpose

On September 11, 2001, both towers of the World Trade Center and the Pentagon were attacked by terrorists. In response to these terrorist acts, heightened awareness and security of our ports and harbors is necessary. To enhance that security the Captain of the Port (COTP), St. Louis is establishing a temporary security zone.

This security zone includes all water extending 300 feet from the shoreline of the left descending bank on the Upper Mississippi River beginning from mile marker 506.9 and ending at mile marker 506.7. This security zone is necessary to protect the public, facilities, and surrounding area from possible acts of sabotage or other subversive acts at the Quad Cities Generating Station. All vessels and persons are prohibited from entering the zone without the permission of the Captain of the Port St. Louis or his designated representative.

Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a “significant regulatory action” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not “significant” under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979).

The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this proposal to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10(e) of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.