

Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.

* * * * *

AAL AK E5 Tok Junction, AK [New]

Tok Junction Airport, AK
(Lat. 63°19'46"N., long. 142°57'13" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.7-mile radius of the Tok Junction Airport, and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within a 64.8-mile radius of the Tok Junction Airport.

* * * * *

Issued in Anchorage, AK, on October 14, 2005.

Judith G. Heckl,

Area Director, Alaska Flight Service Operations.

[FR Doc. 05-21232 Filed 10-24-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Minerals Management Service

30 CFR Part 250

RIN 1010-AD10

Oil and Gas and Sulphur Operations in the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS)—Plans and Information—Protection of Marine Mammals and Threatened and Endangered Species

AGENCY: Minerals Management Service (MMS), Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: MMS is extending to January 6, 2006, the comment period of the proposed rule titled, "Oil and Gas and Sulphur Operations in the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS)—Plans and Information—Protection of Marine Mammals and Threatened and Endangered Species" published on September 6, 2005 (70 FR 52953). The previous deadline for comments was November 7, 2005. This notice will extend the original 60-day comment period to 120 days. This extension is necessary because of damage caused in the New Orleans area by Hurricane Katrina and the Louisiana/Texas area by Hurricane Rita and subsequent flooding. The extension will provide additional time to the oil and gas industry for reviewing and preparing comments to the rule as it recovers from these disasters.

DATES: MMS will consider all comments received by January 6, 2006. MMS will begin reviewing comments then and may not fully consider comments received after January 6, 2006.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments on the rulemaking by any of the following methods listed below. Please use the Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) 1010-AD10 in your message.

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions on the website for submitting comments.

- E-mail MMS at rules.comments@mms.gov. Use 1010-AD10 in the subject line.

- Fax: 703-787-1546. Identify with 1010-AD10.

- Mail or hand-carry comments to the Department of the Interior; Minerals Management Service; Attention: Rules Processing Team (RPT); 381 Elden Street, MS-4024; Herndon, Virginia 20170-4817. Please reference "Plans and Information—Protection of Marine Mammals and Threatened and Endangered Species—1010-AD10" in your comments.

You may also send comments on the information collection aspects of this rule directly to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB Attention: Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior (1010-10) via OMB e-mail:

(OIRA_DOCKET@omb.eop.gov); or by fax (202) 395-6566; identify with 1010-AD10. Please also send a copy to MMS.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kumkum Ray, Regulations and Standards Branch at (703) 787-1604.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Lessees and operators with operations in the Gulf of Mexico Region are engaged in the restoration of normal operations following Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. The extension of the comment period will allow them, and the public, additional time to review the proposed rule and to comment on it. Accordingly, the Department of the Interior is extending the comment period date by 60 days to end on January 6, 2006.

Dated: October 17, 2005.

Chad Calvert,

Acting Assistant Secretary—Land and Minerals Management.

[FR Doc. 05-21282 Filed 10-24-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

32 CFR Part 505

Privacy Act; Implementation

AGENCY: Department of the Army

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Army is proposing to exempt those records contained in A0600-20 DCS, G-1, entitled "Sexual Assault Data Management System (SADMS) Files" when an exemption has been previously claimed for the records in another Privacy Act system of records. The exemption is intended to preserve the exempt status of the record when the purposes underlying the exemption for the original records are still valid and necessary to protect the contents of the records.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 27, 2005 to be considered by this agency.

ADDRESSES: Department of the Army, Freedom of Information/Privacy Division, U.S. Army Records Management and Declassification Agency, Attn: AHRC-PDD-FPZ, 7701 Telegraph Road, Casey Building, Suite 144, Alexandria, VA 22325-3905.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Janice Thornton at (703) 428-6497.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Executive Order 12866, "Regulatory Planning and Review"

It has been determined that Privacy Act rules for the Department of Defense are not significant rules. The rules do not (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy; a sector of the economy; productivity; competition; jobs; the environment; public health or safety; or State, local, or tribal governments or communities; (2) Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another Agency; (3) Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs, or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or (4) Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive order.

Public Law 96-354, "Regulatory Flexibility Act" (5 U.S.C. Chapter 6)

It has been determined that Privacy Act rules for the Department of Defense do not have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because they are concerned only with the administration of Privacy Act systems of records within the Department of Defense.

Public Law 96-511, "Paperwork Reduction Act" (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35)

It has been determined that Privacy Act rules for the Department of Defense impose no information requirements