input when used in production for export (some 33 percent of production). Pfizer expects that export sales will grow to account for 50 percent of production in the near future. On domestic sales, Pfizer would be able to choose the lower duty rate (duty free) that applies to the finished product, rather than the duty rate on the foreign-sourced chemicals listed above, which are dutiable at rates from 3.7 to 6.5 percent. The request indicates that the savings from utilizing FTZ procedures would help improve the plant's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties.
Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at one of the following addresses:

- 1. Submissions via Express/Package Delivery Services: Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building—Suite 4100W, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005; or.
- 2. Submissions via the U.S. Postal Service: Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB— Suite 4100W, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The closing period for their receipt is January 3, 2005. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to January 18, 2005).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available during this time for public inspection at the address Number 1 listed above, and at the U.S. Department of Commerce Export Assistance Center, 2345 Grand Boulevard, Suite 650, Kansas City, MO 64108.

Dated: October 29, 2004.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-24654 Filed 11-3-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-489-805]

Certain Pasta From Turkey: Extension of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 4, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark Young at (202) 482–6397, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue (1) the preliminary results of a review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested, and (2) the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within that time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary results to a maximum of 365 days and the final results to a maximum of 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary results) from the date of the publication of the preliminary results. See also 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Background

On August 22, 2003, the Department published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Turkey, covering the period July 1, 2002, to June 30, 2003. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 68 FR 50750. On March 17, 2004, the Department fully extended the preliminary results of the aforementioned review by 120 days. See Certain Pasta from Italy and Turkey: Extension of Preliminary Results of 2002/2003 Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, 69 FR12641. On August 6, 2004, the Department published the preliminary results of its review. See Certain Pasta From Turkey: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 69 FR 47876. The final results

of this review are currently due no later than December 6, 2004.

Extension of Final Results of Reviews

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limit because the Department needs additional time to fully consider parties' arguments regarding the proposed modifications to the wheat codes and rejection of untimely submissions. Therefore, we are extending the deadline for the final results of the above-referenced review until February 2, 2005.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: October 29, 2004.

Jeffrey A. May,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E4–3010 Filed 11–3–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-475-818]

Certain Pasta From Italy: Extension of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: November 4, 2004

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark Young at (202) 482–6397, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue (1) the preliminary results of a review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested, and (2) the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within that time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary results to a maximum of 365 days and the final results to a maximum of 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the

time limit for the preliminary results) from the date of the publication of the preliminary results. *See also* 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Background

On August 22, 2003, the Department published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy, covering the period July 1, 2002, to June 30, 2003 (68 FR 50750). On March 17, 2004, the Department fully extended the preliminary results of the review by 120 days (69 FR 12641). On August 6, 2004, the Department published the preliminary results of its review (69 FR 47880). The final results of this review are currently due no later than December 6, 2004.

Extension of Final Results of Reviews

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limit because the Department needs additional time to fully consider parties' arguments regarding the application of facts available with respect to Barilla Alimentare, S.p.A., and certain respondents' proposed modifications to the wheat codes. Therefore, we are extending the deadline for the final results of the above-referenced review until February 2, 2005.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: October 29, 2004.

Jeffrey A. May,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E4–3011 Filed 11–3–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-588-046]

Polychloroprene Rubber From Japan: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Finding

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of the expedited sunset review of the antidumping duty finding: polychoroprene rubber from Japan.

SUMMARY: On July 1, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty finding on certain polychloroprene rubber from

Japan.¹ On the basis of the notice of intent to participate and substantive comments filed on behalf of the domestic interested party, and inadequate response (in this case waiver of participation) from respondent interested parties, the Department determined to conduct an expedited sunset review of the antidumping duty finding pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act") and section 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2) of the Department's regulations.2 As a result of this sunset review, the Department determined that revocation of the antidumping duty finding would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review".

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 4, 2004. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Martha V. Douthit, Office of Policy,

Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5050.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2004, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty finding on polychloroprene rubber from Japan in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act. See Notice of Initiation, 69 FR 39905 (July 1, 2004).

The Department received a Notice of Intent to Participate within the applicable deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations on behalf of DuPont Dow Elastomers L.L.C. ("DDE").3 DDE claimed interested party status as a domestic producer of polychloroprene rubber from Japan.

The Department received complete substantive responses from the domestic interested party within the 30-day deadline specified in the Department's regulations under section 351.218(d)(3)(i). However, the Department did not receive adequate responses from respondent interested parties to this proceeding. As a result,

pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of this antidumping duty finding.

Scope of the Antidumping Duty Finding

Imports covered by this sunset review are shipments of polychloroprene rubber, an oil resistant synthetic rubber also known as polymerized chlorobutadiene or neoprene, currently classifiable under items 4002.42.00, 4002.49.00, 4003.00.00, 4462.15.21 and 4462.00.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule ("HTS"). The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written descriptions remain dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum ("Decision Memo") from Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to James J. Jochum, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated October 29, 2004. which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail if the antidumping duty finding were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce Building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn, under the heading "November 2004". The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

The Department determines that revocation of the antidumping duty finding on polychloroprene rubber from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average margins:

Manufacturers/producers/ex- porter's	Weighted- average margin (percent)
Denki Kaguku Kogyo K.K Denki Kaguku Kogyo, K.K./Hoei	0.00
Sangyo Co., Ltd	55.00
Suzugo Corporation	55.00
All Others	55.00

¹ See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews, 69 FR 39905 (July 1, 2004) ("Notice of Initiation").

² The Department received a statement of waiver of participation of the five-year sunset review from Showa Denko L.L. ("SDK"). See letter to James J. Jochum, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, July 30, 2004.

³ DDE stated that it succeeds E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Company ("DuPont"), Petitioner in this antidumping proceeding. DuPont was the original Petitioner in the original investigation of polychloroprene rubber from Japan.