

*Elko Daily Free Press*, Elko, Nevada  
Humboldt-Toiyabe Forest Supervisor  
decisions for the Toiyabe portion:  
*Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada  
Sierra Ecosystem Coordination Center  
(SECO):  
Carson District Ranger decisions:  
*Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada  
Bridgeport District Ranger, decisions:  
*The Review-Herald*, Mammoth Lakes,  
California  
Spring Mountains National Recreation  
Area Ecosystem (SMNRAE):  
Spring Mountains National Recreation  
Area District Ranger decisions:  
*Las Vegas Review Journal*, Las Vegas,  
Nevada  
Central Nevada Ecosystem (CNECO):  
Austin District Ranger decisions:  
*Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada  
Tonopah District Ranger decisions:  
*Tonopah Times Bonanza-Goldfield  
News*, Tonopah, Nevada  
Ely District Ranger decisions:  
*Ely Daily Times*, Ely, Nevada  
Northeast Nevada Ecosystem (NNECO):  
Mountain City District Ranger decisions:  
*Elko Daily Free Press*, Elko, Nevada  
Ruby Mountains District Ranger  
decisions:  
*Elko Daily Free Press*, Elko, Nevada  
Jarbidge District Ranger decisions:  
*Elko Daily Free Press*, Elko, Nevada  
Santa Rosa District Ranger decisions:  
*Humboldt Sun*, Winnemucca, Nevada  
*Manti-Lasal National Forest*  
Manti-LaSal Forest Supervisor  
decisions:  
*Sun Advocate*, Price, Utah  
Sanpete District Ranger decisions:  
*The Pyramid*, Mt. Pleasant, Utah  
Ferron District Ranger decisions:  
*Emery County Progress*, Castle Dale,  
Utah  
Price District Ranger decisions:  
*Sun Advocate*, Price, Utah  
Moab District Ranger decisions:  
*The Times Independent*, Moab, Utah  
Monticello District Ranger decisions:  
*The San Juan Record*, Monticello,  
Utah  
*Payette National Forest*  
Payette Forest Supervisor decisions:  
*Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho  
Weiser District Ranger decisions:  
*Signal American*, Weiser, Idaho  
Council District Ranger decisions:  
*Council Record*, Council, Idaho.  
New Meadows, McCall, and Krassel  
District Ranger decisions:  
*Star News*, McCall, Idaho  
*Salmon-Challis National Forests*  
Salmon-Challis Forest Supervisor  
decisions for the Salmon portion:  
*The Recorder-Herald*, Salmon, Idaho  
Salmon-Challis Forest Supervisor  
decisions for the Challis portion:

*The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho  
North Fork District Ranger decisions:  
*The Recorder-Herald*, Salmon, Idaho  
Leadore District Ranger decisions:  
*The Recorder-Herald*, Salmon, Idaho  
Salmon/Colbalt District Ranger  
decisions:  
*The Recorder-Herald*, Salmon, Idaho  
Middle Fork District Ranger decisions:  
*The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho  
Challis District Ranger decisions:  
*The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho  
Yankee Fork District Ranger decisions:  
*The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho  
Lost River District Ranger decisions:  
*The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho  
*Sawtooth National Forest*  
Sawtooth Forest Supervisor decisions:  
*The Times News*, Twin Falls, Idaho  
Burley District Ranger decisions:  
*Ogden Standard Examiner*, Ogden,  
Utah, for those decisions on the  
Burley District involving the Raft  
River Unit.  
*South Idaho Press*, Burley, Idaho, for  
decisions issued on the Idaho  
portion of the Burley District.  
Twin Falls District Ranger decisions:  
*The Times News*, Twin Falls, Idaho  
Ketchum District Ranger decisions:  
*Idaho Mountain Express*, Ketchum,  
Idaho  
Sawtooth National Recreation Area:  
*Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho  
Fairfield District Ranger decisions:  
*The Times News*, Twin Falls, Idaho  
*Uinta National Forest*  
Uinta Forest Supervisor decisions:  
*The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah  
Pleasant Grove District Ranger  
decisions:  
*The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah  
Heber District Ranger decisions:  
*The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah, and  
Spanish Fork District Ranger decisions:  
*The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah  
*Wasatch-Cache National Forest*  
Wasatch-Cache Forest Supervisor  
decisions:  
*Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City,  
Utah  
Salt Lake District Ranger decisions:  
*Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City,  
Utah  
Kamas District Ranger decisions:  
*Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City,  
Utah  
Evanston District Ranger decisions:  
*Uintah County Herald*, Evanston,  
Wyoming  
Mountain View District Ranger  
decisions:  
*Uintah County Herald*, Evanston,  
Wyoming  
Ogden District Ranger decisions:  
*Ogden Standard Examiner*, Ogden,

Utah  
Logan District Ranger decisions:  
*Logan Herald Journal*, Logan, Utah  
Dated: November 30, 2000.

**Jack A. Blackwell**,  
Regional Forester.

[FR Doc. 00-30999 Filed 12-5-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Rural Business-Cooperative Service

#### Maximum Dollar Amount on Loan and Grant Awards Under the Rural Economic Development Loan and Grant Program for Fiscal Year 2001

**AGENCY:** Rural Business-Cooperative Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Rural Business-Cooperative Service hereby announces the maximum dollar amount on loan and grant awards under the Rural Economic Development Loan and Grant (REDLG) program for fiscal year (FY) 2001. The maximum dollar award on zero-interest loans for FY 2001 is \$450,000. The maximum dollar award on grants for FY 2001 is \$200,000. The maximum loan and grant awards stated in this notice are effective for loans and grants made during the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2000, and ending September 30, 2001. REDLG loans and grants are available to Rural Utilities Service electric and telephone utilities to assist in developing rural areas from an economic standpoint.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patricia Wing, Loan Specialist, Rural Business-Cooperative Service, USDA, STOP 3225, Room 6870, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250. Telephone: (202) 720-9558. FAX: (202) 720-6561. E-mail: PWing@rus.usda.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The maximum loan and grant awards are determined in accordance with 7 CFR 1703.28. The maximum loan and grant awards are calculated as 3.0 percent of the projected program levels; however, as specified in 7 CFR 1703.28(b), regardless of the projected total amount that will be available, the maximum size may not be lower than \$200,000. The projected program level during FY 2001 for zero-interest loans is \$15 million and the projected program level for grants is \$3 million. Applying the specified 3.0 percent to the program level for loans results in the maximum loan award of \$450,000. Applying the specified 3.0 percent to the program level for grants results in an amount lower than

\$200,000. Therefore, the maximum grant award for FY 2001 will be \$200,000.

Dated: November 21, 2000.

**Wilbur T. Peer,**

*Acting Administrator, Rural Business-Cooperative Service.*

[FR Doc. 00-30966 Filed 12-5-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-XY-U**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

*Agency:* U.S. Census Bureau.

*Title:* 2001 Survey of Program Dynamics.

*Form Number(s):* SPD-21005, SPD-21006, SPD-21007, SPD-21008, SPD-21009, SPD-21103(L), SPD-21105(L), SPD-21107(L), SPD-21109(L), SPD-21113(L), SPD-21999.

*Agency Approval Number:* 0607-0838.

*Type of Request:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Burden:* 37,023 hours.

*Number of Respondents:* 75,225.

*Avg Hours Per Response:* 29.5 minutes.

*Needs and Uses:* The Census Bureau seeks OMB approval to conduct the 2001 Survey of Program Dynamics (SPD). The SPD provides the basis for an overall evaluation of how well welfare reforms are achieving the aims of the Administration and the Congress and meeting the needs of the American people. This survey simultaneously measures the important features of the full range of welfare programs, including programs that are being reformed and those that are unchanged, and the full range of other important social, economic, demographic, and family changes that will facilitate or limit the effectiveness of the reforms.

The SPD is a longitudinal study that follows a subset of the respondents from the 1992 and 1993 panels of the Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP). The SPD was first implemented in the spring of 1997 with a bridge survey that provided a link to baseline data for the period prior to the implementation of welfare reforms. The first full-scale SPD was conducted in 1998. Annual surveys are currently planned through 2002. The data gathered for the 10-year period (1992-

2002) will aid in assessing short- to medium-term consequences of outcomes of the welfare legislation.

The 2001 SPD instrument will remain largely unchanged from 2000. A new response category will be added to an existing question regarding types of health insurance coverage. Also, a paper Adolescent Self-Administered Questionnaire (SAQ) for 12- to 17-year-olds will be added. The Adolescent SAQ was last asked in the 1998 SPD. The 2001 SPD is conducted by our interviewing staff using a computer-assisted interviewing instrument on laptops during personal and telephone interviews.

In order to improve the validity of the SPD data we supplemented the 2000 SPD sample with 3,500 former SIPP households who were non-interviews in the 1997 SPD. Contingent on Congressional funding, we plan to continue interviewing these 3,500 households and add an additional 6,000 former SIPP households to the 2001 SPD sample. As in previous years, we will offer monetary incentives to select groups of respondents in order to maintain and improve response rates.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households.

*Frequency:* Annually.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Voluntary.

*Legal Authority:* Title 42 U.S.C., Section 614.

*OMB Desk Officer:* Susan Schechter, (202) 395-5103.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, (202) 482-3129, Department of Commerce, room 6086, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [mclayton@doc.gov](mailto:mclayton@doc.gov)).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Susan Schechter, OMB Desk Officer, room 10201, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: November 30, 2000.

**Madeleine Clayton,**

*Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 00-30962 Filed 12-05-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-07-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Census Bureau

#### Master Address File (MAF) and Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding and Referencing (TIGER) Update Activities

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before February 5, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [mclayton@doc.gov](mailto:mclayton@doc.gov)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Bob Tomassoni, Bureau of the Census, WP-1, Room 204, Washington, DC 20233. Phone Number 301-457-8253.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

**Note:** The present clearance expires May 31, 2001. This request covers field activities to be conducted from June 1, 2001 through May 31, 2004.

The Census Bureau presently operates a generic clearance covering activities involving respondent burden associated with updating our Master Address File (MAF) and Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding and Referencing (TIGER) system. (The MAF is the Census Bureau's address database and TIGER is the geographic database.) We now propose to extend that generic clearance to cover update activities we will undertake during the next three fiscal years.

Under the terms of the generic clearance, we plan to submit a request for OMB approval that will describe all planned activities for the entire period; we will not submit a clearance package for each updating activity. We will send a letter to OMB at least five days before the planned start of each activity that