

7304.29.61.60, 7304.29.61.75, 7305.20.20.00, 7305.20.40.00, 7305.20.60.00, 7305.20.80.00, 7306.29.10.30, 7306.29.10.90, 7306.29.20.00, 7306.29.31.00, 7306.29.41.00, 7306.29.60.10, 7306.29.60.50, 7306.29.81.10, and 7306.29.81.50.

The merchandise subject to the *Order* may also enter under the following HTSUS item numbers: 7304.39.00.24, 7304.39.00.28, 7304.39.00.32, 7304.39.00.36, 7304.39.00.40, 7304.39.00.44, 7304.39.00.48, 7304.39.00.52, 7304.39.00.56, 7304.39.00.62, 7304.39.00.68, 7304.39.00.72, 7304.39.00.76, 7304.39.00.80, 7304.59.60.00, 7304.59.80.15, 7304.59.80.20, 7304.59.80.25, 7304.59.80.30, 7304.59.80.35, 7304.59.80.40, 7304.59.80.45, 7304.59.80.50, 7304.59.80.55, 7304.59.80.60, 7304.59.80.65, 7304.59.80.70, 7304.59.80.80, 7305.31.40.00, 7305.31.60.90, 7306.30.50.55, 7306.30.50.90, 7306.50.50.50, and 7306.50.50.70.

The HTSUS subheadings above are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

#### Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, we found that Jindal SAW, Ltd. (JSL), the sole company for which a review was requested, made no shipments of OCTG from India during the POR. We also stated in the *Preliminary Results* that consistent with Commerce's practice, it was not appropriate to preliminarily rescind the review, but rather to complete the review and issue appropriate instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) based on the final results.<sup>4</sup>

We received no information that contradicted our findings in the *Preliminary Results*, and no interested party commented on the *Preliminary Results*. Therefore, for these final results, we continue to find that JSL made no shipments of OCTG from India during the POR.

#### Assessment Rates

Commerce determines, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with these final results of review.<sup>5</sup> Consistent with Commerce's clarification to its assessment practice, because we determined that JSL had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by JSL, for which this company did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate any entries at the all-others rate (*i.e.*, zero percent)<sup>6</sup> if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.<sup>7</sup>

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for JSL will remain unchanged from the rate assigned to them in the most recently completed segment for the company;<sup>8</sup> (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently-completed segment; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in a prior review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be zero percent, the all-others cash deposit rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.<sup>9</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

<sup>5</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b).

<sup>6</sup> See *Order*, 79 FR at 53694 n.17.

<sup>7</sup> For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

<sup>8</sup> See *Order*, 79 FR at 53694 n.17.

<sup>9</sup> *Id.*

#### Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: September 4, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2020–20072 Filed 9–10–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A–570–924]

#### Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on polyethylene terephthalate (PET) film from the People's Republic of China (China) for the period of review (POR)

<sup>4</sup> See *Preliminary Results*, 85 FR at 44280; see also *Certain Frozen Warm water Shrimp from Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Review, Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2012–2013*, 79 FR 15951, 15952 (March 24, 2014), unchanged in *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Final Determination of No Shipments, and Partial Rescission of Review; 2012–2013*, 79 FR 51306 (August 28, 2014).

November 1, 2018 through October 31, 2019, based on the timely withdrawal of the request for review.

**DATES:** Applicable September 11, 2020.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Paola Aleman Ordaz, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4031.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On November 1, 2019, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the *Order* for the POR.<sup>1</sup> In accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213(b), on November 27, 2019, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc. and SKC, Inc. (collectively, petitioners) timely requested a review of the *Order* with respect to four companies.<sup>2</sup> On January 17, 2020, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), Commerce initiated an administrative review of the *Order* with respect to the four companies named by the petitioners.<sup>3</sup> On February 10, 2020, the petitioners timely withdrew their November 27, 2019 review request for all four companies.<sup>4</sup>

**Rescission of Review**

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The petitioners withdrew their requests for review within the 90-day deadline. Because Commerce received

no other requests for review, we are rescinding the administrative review of the *Order* on PET film from China covering the November 1, 2018 through October 31, 2019 POR, in full, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

**Assessment**

Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess AD duties on all appropriate entries of PET film from China during the period November 1, 2018, through October 31, 2019, at rates equal to the cash deposit rate for estimated AD duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as the only reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of AD duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of AD duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled AD duties.

**Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order**

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: August 3, 2020.

**James Maeder,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2020-20075 Filed 9-10-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-010, A-583-853, C-570-011]

**Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Products From the People's Republic of China and Taiwan: Continuation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders on China and the Antidumping Duty Order on Taiwan**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of determinations by the Department of Commerce (Commerce) and the International Trade Commission (ITC) that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) and countervailing duty (CVD) orders on crystalline silicon photovoltaic products from the People's Republic of China (China) and revocation of the AD order on crystalline silicon photovoltaic products from Taiwan would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and countervailable subsidies, as applicable, and material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time, Commerce is publishing a notice of continuation of these AD and CVD orders.

**DATES:** Applicable September 11, 2020.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Abdul Alnoor or Eva Kim, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4554 or (202) 482-8283, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On February 18, 2015, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the AD and CVD orders on crystalline silicon photovoltaic products from China and the AD order on crystalline silicon photovoltaic products from Taiwan.<sup>1</sup> On January 2, 2020, the ITC instituted and Commerce initiated the first sunset reviews of the *Orders* pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Products from the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Order; and Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Countervailing Duty Order*, 80 FR 8592 (February 18, 2015); and *Certain Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Products from Taiwan: Antidumping Duty Order*, 80 FR 8596 (February 18, 2015) (collectively, *Orders*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 85 FR 67 (January 2, 2020); see also *Certain Crystalline*

Continued

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 84 FR 58690 (November 1, 2019); and *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from Brazil, the People's Republic of China and the United Arab Emirates: Antidumping Duty Orders and Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value for the United Arab Emirates*, 73 FR 66595 (November 10, 2008) (*Order*).

<sup>2</sup> See Petitioners' Letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the People's Republic of China: Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated November 27, 2019.

<sup>3</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 85 FR 3014 (January 17, 2020).

<sup>4</sup> See Petitioners' Letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the People's Republic of China: Withdrawal of Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated February 10, 2020.