

seq.), (2) regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality for implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), (3) USDA regulations implementing NEPA (7 CFR part 1b), and (4) APHIS' NEPA Implementing Procedures (7 CFR part 372). Based on our EA, the response to public comments, and other pertinent scientific data, APHIS has reached a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) with regard to the preferred alternative identified in the EA (to make a determination of nonregulated status of MON 88702 cotton).

#### Determination

Based on APHIS' analysis of field and laboratory data submitted by Monsanto, references provided in the petition, peer-reviewed publications, information analyzed in the EA, the PPRA, the public comments, and information provided in APHIS' response to those public comments, APHIS has determined that MON 88702 cotton is unlikely to pose a plant pest risk and therefore is no longer subject to our regulations governing the introduction of certain organisms developed using genetic engineering.

Copies of the signed determination document, PPRA, final EA, and FONSI, as well as the previously published petition and supporting documents, are available as indicated under **ADDRESSES** and from the person listed under the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section in this notice.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 7701–7772 and 7781–7786; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.3.

Done in Washington, DC, this 12th day of January 2021.

**Michael Watson,**

*Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 2021–00956 Filed 1–15–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–34–P**

## COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

### Agenda and Notice of Public Meetings of the Nebraska Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Commission on Civil Rights.

**ACTION:** Announcement of public meetings.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission), and the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) that the Nebraska State Advisory Committee to the Commission will hold virtual meetings on Monday, February 22, 2021 from 10:00 a.m.–

11:00 a.m. (CT); Monday, March 1, 2021 from 9:00 a.m.–10:00 a.m. (CT); and Wednesday, March 10, 2021 from 10:00 a.m.–11:00 a.m. (CT). The purpose of these meetings is to review and approve the Committee's draft report to the Commission on the use of Native American symbols, names, and imagery in school mascots.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Melissa Wojnaroski at [mwojnaroski@usccr.gov](mailto:mwojnaroski@usccr.gov), or by phone at (202) 618–4158.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Meeting Access:** These meetings will be held on:

- Monday, February 22, 2021, at 10:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. (CT)
  - *Join online (audio/visual):* <https://tinyurl.com/y3h8xdo3>
  - *Join by phone (audio only):* 800–360–9505; Access code: 199 004 6752
- Monday, March 1, 2021, at 9:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. (CT)
  - *Join online (audio/visual):* <https://tinyurl.com/y6ctrto5>
  - *Join by phone (audio only):* 800–360–9505; Access code: 199 073 3890
- Wednesday, March 10, 2021 at 10:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. (CT)
  - *Join online (audio/visual):* <https://tinyurl.com/y6xucvps>
  - *Join by phone (audio only):* 800–360–9505; Access code: 199 518 6743

These meetings are available to the public through the registration links above. If joining only via phone, callers can expect to incur charges for calls they initiate over wireless lines, according to their wireless plans. The Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Individuals who are deaf, deafblind and hard of hearing may also follow the proceedings by first calling the Federal Relay Service at 1–800–877–8339 and providing the Service with the call-in number found through registering at the web link provided for each meeting.

Members of the public are entitled to make comments during the open period at the end of each meeting. Members of the public may also submit written comments; the comments must be received in the Regional Programs Unit within 30 days following the respective meeting. Written comments may be emailed to Melissa Wojnaroski at [mwojnaroski@usccr.gov](mailto:mwojnaroski@usccr.gov). Persons who desire additional information may contact the Regional Programs Unit at (202) 618–4158. Records and documents discussed during the meeting will be available for public viewing as they become available at

[www.facadatabase.gov](http://www.facadatabase.gov). Persons interested in the work of this advisory committee are advised to go to the Commission's website, [www.usccr.gov](http://www.usccr.gov), or to contact the Regional Programs Unit at the above phone number or email address.

**Agenda:** Monday, February 22, 2021 from 10:00 a.m.–11:00 a.m. (CT); Monday, March 1, 2021 from 9:00 a.m.–10:00 a.m.; (CT) and Wednesday, March 10, 2021 from 10:00 a.m.–11:00 a.m. (CT).

- I. Welcome and Roll Call
- II. Announcements and Updates
- III. Approval of Minutes
- IV. Discussion of draft report
- V. Public Comment
- VI. Next Steps
- VII. Adjournment

Dated: January 13, 2021.

**David Mussatt,**

*Supervisory Chief, Regional Programs Unit.*

[FR Doc. 2021–01057 Filed 1–15–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6335–01–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Office of the Under Secretary for Economic Affairs

#### Request for Comments for the Advisory Committee on Data for Evidence Building

**AGENCY:** Office of the Under Secretary for Economic Affairs, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Foundations for Evidence-Based Policymaking Act of 2018 requires federal agencies to modernize their data management practices to develop and support evidence-based policymaking. The Act requires the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), or the head of an agency designated by the Director, to establish the Advisory Committee on Data for Evidence Building (Advisory Committee). In a letter dated September 3, 2019, OMB delegated managerial and administrative responsibility for this Federal advisory committee to the Department of Commerce Office of Under Secretary for Economic Affairs (OUSEA).

**DATES:** Comments must be received by Tuesday, February 9, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). Docket ID—EAB–2021–0001–0001.
- By email directly to [Evidence@bea.gov](mailto:Evidence@bea.gov). Begin with the phrase

“Comments for the Advisory Committee on Data for Evidence Building;” and indicate which numbered questions described in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** of this notice your comments address. Comments by fax or paper delivery will not be accepted.

**Privacy Note:** Comments submitted in response to this notice may be made available to the public through relevant websites. Therefore, commenters should only include information they wish to make publicly available on the internet. Do not submit confidential business information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

Please note the confidentiality of routine communication and responses to this public comment request are treated as public comments and may therefore be made publicly available, notwithstanding the inclusion of the routine notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Lucas Hitt, Designated Federal Official, Advisory Committee on Data for Evidence Building, 4600 Silver Hill Road, Washington, DC 20233 by email Gianna Marrone ([gianna.marrone@bea.gov](mailto:gianna.marrone@bea.gov)) or by phone (301) 278-9282.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Request for Comments**

The Advisory Committee will review, analyze, and make recommendations on how to promote the use of data for evidence building. The Advisory Committee will evaluate and provide recommendations to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget on how to facilitate data sharing, data linkage, and privacy enhancing techniques in support of evidence building. As part of its evaluation, the Advisory Committee may consider best practices to improve the safe and appropriate access to data. The Advisory Committee will consider the coordination of data sharing and availability of data for evidence building across all agencies and levels of government. The FRN commentators may respond to any question and do not need to respond to all questions.

This request for comments offers researchers, evaluators, contractors, government entities, and other interested parties the opportunity to inform the Committee’s work. This is a general solicitation of comments from the public. The Advisory Committee will consider all feedback and recommendations on core topics and central issues such as:

- Capacity needs for secure data access and record linkage.

- Areas for research and development on state-of-the-art data access and data protection methods.
  - How to protect privacy when using personally identifiable information or confidential business information in support of evidence building.
  - How to promote transparency and facilitate public engagement with the evidence building process.
  - Agency needs for data management and data stewardship services.
  - How to best facilitate the needs of researchers, evaluators, and other evidence builders through a national data service or similar approach.
- Please clearly indicate which question(s) you address in your response and any evidence to support assertions, where practicable.

**Round 1**

**Central Questions—**

1. What are the main challenges faced by national, state/provincial, or local governments that are trying to build a basis for evidence-based policy? Briefly describe the bottlenecks and pain-points they face in the evidence-based decision-making process.

2. What are examples of high-impact data uses for evidence-based policy making that successfully effected change, reduced costs, or improved the welfare of citizens?

3. Which frameworks, policies, practices, or methods show promise in overcoming challenges experienced by governments in their evidence building?

4. The Commission on Evidence-Based Policymaking (See: [www.cep.gov](http://www.cep.gov)) recommended the creation of a National Secure Data Service (See Commission Report at [www.cep.gov](http://www.cep.gov)). Do you agree with this recommendation, and if so, what should be the essential features of a National Secure Data Service?

5. How can federal agencies protect individual and organizational privacy when using data for evidence building? Recommend specific actions the Office of Management and Budget and/or other federal agencies can take when using data for evidence building, as well as suggested changes to federal laws, policies, and procedures.

**Secure Data Access—**

6. If created, how should a data service be structured to best facilitate (1) research and development of secure data access and confidentiality technologies and methods, (2) and agency adoption of those technologies and techniques?

7. Government agencies have argued that secure data access has value because it (1) improves service delivery, (2) improves efficiency (lowers costs), (3) produces metrics for performance

measurement, and (4) produces new learnings/insights from the data. Which of these propositions do you agree holds value and why? Do you have examples that demonstrate these benefits? Do you have other examples of the value of secure data access?

**Data Services to Federal, State, Local Agencies and the Public—**

8. What are the most pressing data needs of state and local decision makers and how would making data accessible from federal agencies help meet those needs? To share data, what guarantees do data owners (or data controllers) need regarding privacy, data stewardship, and retention?

9. What are the key problems and use cases where collaborative work between federal, state, and local authorities’ data analysis can inform decisions? What are key decision support tools? How would greater communication about data and tools benefit expanded evidence building?

**Infrastructure for Meeting Public and Evidence Building Needs—**

10. What basic public data services are essential for a data service to address existing capacity gaps and needs? What infrastructure or incentives can the federal government create that locals and states cannot?

Dated: December 9, 2020.

**Gianna Marrone,**

*Assistant Designated Federal Official, Advisory Committee on Data for Evidence Building.*

[FR Doc. 2021-01092 Filed 1-15-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-MN-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**[A-533-843]**

**Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain lined paper products from India, covering the period of review (POR), September 1, 2018 through August 31, 2019. We preliminarily find that Navneet Education Ltd. (Navneet) and Super Impex did not make sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the POR. We invite interested