

consistent national implementation. The LCRMR do not affect the lead or copper rule maximum contaminant level goals, action levels, or the basic regulatory requirements.

Monitoring, reporting and record keeping are required at both the system and State levels under the National Primary Drinking Water Regulations (NPDWRs). EPA has chosen to require the least frequent collection that remains consistent with overall public health preservation objectives. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

**Burden Statement:** The estimated annual burden hours for the LCR amendment to the Disinfectants/Disinfection Byproducts, Chemical, and Radionuclides Rules ICR are 2,431,728 hours. The estimated average burden hours per response is 0.3 hours. The estimated average number of responses per respondent is 2.1. The estimated number of likely respondents annually is 76,001. The estimated annual cost is \$14 million which represents O&M costs only. The estimated annual burden hours and costs for the LCR amendment will be additive to the current OMB inventory for the The Disinfectants/Disinfection Byproducts, Chemical, and Radionuclides Rules ICR. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and

systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: April 2, 2002.

**Evelyn Washington,**

*Acting Director, Office of Ground Water Drinking Water.*

[FR Doc. 02-8535 Filed 4-8-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The application also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center website at [www.ffiec.gov/nic/](http://www.ffiec.gov/nic/).

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than May 3, 2002.

**A. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City** (Susan Zubradt, Assistant Vice

President) 925 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Missouri 64198-0001:

1. *First York Ban Corp.*, York, Nebraska; to increase its ownership from 20.13 percent to 21.88 percent, of the voting shares of NebraskaLand Financial Services, Inc., York, Nebraska, and thereby acquire additional voting shares of NebraskaLand National Bank, North Platte, Nebraska.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, April 4, 2002.

**Robert deV. Frierson,**

*Deputy Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 02-8542 Filed 4-8-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6210-01-S**

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 012 3060]

### Kryton Coatings International, Inc., et al.; Analysis To Aid Public Comment

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed consent agreement.

**SUMMARY:** The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint that accompanies the consent agreement and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before May 1, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments filed in paper form should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. Comments filed in electronic form should be directed to: [consentagreement@ftc.gov](mailto:consentagreement@ftc.gov) as prescribed below.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Hampton Newsome or Joni Lupovitz, Bureau of Consumer Protection, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. 20580, (202) 326-2889 or 326-3743.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and Section 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30)

days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for April 3, 2002), on the World Wide Web, at "http://www.ftc.gov/os/2002/04/index.htm." A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room 130-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-2222.

Public comments are invited, and may be filed with the Commission in either paper or electronic form. Comments filed in paper form should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. If a comment contains nonpublic information, it must be filed in paper form, and the first page of the document must be clearly labeled "confidential." Comments that do not contain any nonpublic information may instead be filed in electronic form (in ASCII format, WordPerfect, or Microsoft Word) as part of or as an attachment to email messages directed to the following email box: [consentagreement@ftc.gov](mailto:consentagreement@ftc.gov). Such comments will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with Section 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii).

#### **Analysis of Proposed Consent Order to Aid Public Comment**

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted, subject to final approval, an agreement for entry of a proposed consent order from Kryton Coatings International, Inc. and Procraft, Inc. ("respondents"). The agreement would settle a proposed complaint by the Federal Trade Commission that respondents engaged in unfair or deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for reception of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement or make final the agreement's proposed order.

This matter concerns advertising representations made about "Multi-Gard" (also known as Liquid Siding, Liquid Vinyl, or Multi-Gard R-20), a residential coating product. The

proposed administrative complaint alleges that respondents violated the FTC Act by disseminating ads that made unsubstantiated performance claims about Multi-Gard. The proposed complaint further alleges that respondents represented that Multi-Gard: (1) Provides insulation equivalent to seven inches of fiberglass batting; (2) provides an insulation value of R-20; (3) reduces energy loss, energy costs or utility bills by up to 40%; and (4) performs the same insulation function as the ultra-thin ceramic technology on the space shuttle. The proposed complaint alleges that respondents represented that they had a reasonable basis for these claims. The proposed complaint further alleges that, although the use of Multi-Gard and caulking (which is provided as part of the application service for Multi-Gard) may seal air leaks and cracks in buildings and, as a result, may reduce energy costs in some cases, respondents did not possess and rely upon a reasonable basis that substantiated their claims.

The proposed consent order contains provisions designed to prevent respondents from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future. Part I of the order prohibits respondents from making any representation about the benefits, performance or efficacy of any Liquid Siding, Multi-Gard, Multi-Gard R-20, Liquid Vinyl, or any other liquid siding or coating product, including: that such product reduces energy loss, energy costs, energy consumption, or utility bills; any R-value associated with such product; or such product's insulation qualities as compared to any other materials, including insulation materials, unless, at the time of making such representation, respondents possess and rely upon competent and reliable scientific evidence that substantiates the representation.

Part IV requires respondents to notify Multi-Gard distributors and wholesalers about this action and send them a copy of the consent order. The form of the notice is provided in Attachment A to the order. The remainder of the proposed order contains provisions regarding record-keeping, distribution of the order, notification of changes in corporate status, the filing of a compliance report, and termination of the order.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and the proposed order or to modify their terms in any way.

By direction of the Commission.

**Donald S. Clark,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 02-8492 Filed 4-8-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

### **Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services**

#### **Notice of Hearing: Reconsideration of Disapproval of Arizona State Plan Amendment 01-013.**

**AGENCY:** Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of hearing.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces an administrative hearing on May 17, 2002, at 10 a.m., Conference Rooms 615A & 615B; 75 Hawthorne Street; San Francisco, California 94105-3901 to reconsider our decision to disapprove Arizona State Plan Amendment (SPA) 01-013.

**CLOSING DATE:** Requests to participate in the hearing as a party must be received by the presiding officer by (April 24, 2002).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kathleen Scully-Hayes, Presiding Officer, CMS, C1-09-13, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21244, Telephone: (410) 786-2055.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice announces an administrative hearing to reconsider our decision to disapprove Arizona's State Plan Amendment (SPA) 01-013.

Arizona submitted SPA 01-013, on October 21, 2001. The issue is whether the state can provide retroactive payments to June 4, 1997, for school-based providers for services to children eligible under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. For the reasons stated below, the Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) was unable to approve this amendment.

Standard appropriations language authorizes the Secretary to make payments only "for any quarter with respect to a state plan amendment in effect during such quarter, if submitted in or prior to such quarter and approved in that or any subsequent quarter." Under this language, the Secretary is not authorized to make payments for a quarter based on a SPA submitted in a later quarter.

This statutory provision is implemented by regulations at 42 CFR 430.20 (b)(1) and (2). The regulation precludes CMS from approving an effective date prior to