

disposed below the base"; (2) the ID's findings on induced and contributory infringement; (3) the ID's findings that the asserted claims are not invalid for a lack of written description, as anticipated by Beaulieu and the APA, or as obvious; and (4) the ID's findings on the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement. The Commission has determined not to review the remaining findings in the ID.

In connection with its review, the Commission is interested in briefing only on the following issue:

The Commission recently determined that the "knowledge of the patent" element for contributory infringement can be satisfied through service of a section 337 complaint. See Commission Opinion in *Certain Television Sets, Television Receives, Television Tuners, and Components Thereof*, Inv. No. 337-TA-910, at 41-43 (public version dated Oct. 30, 2015). Please explain how that determination impacts the issues of contributory and induced infringement in this investigation.

The parties have been invited to brief only the discrete issue described above, with reference to the applicable law and evidentiary record. The parties are not to brief other issues on review, which are adequately presented in the parties' existing filings.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may (1) issue an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) issue a cease and desist order that could result in the respondent being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or likely to do so. For background, see *Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines*, Inv. No. 337-TA-360, USITC Pub. No. 2843 (December 1994) (Commission Opinion).

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S.

economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the U.S. Trade Representative, as delegated by the President, has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. See Presidential Memorandum of July 21, 2005, 70 FR 43251 (July 26, 2005). During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed if a remedy is ordered.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation are requested to file written submissions on the issue identified in this notice. Parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the recommended determination by the ALJ on remedy and bonding. The complainants and the Commission Investigative Attorney are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. The complainants are additionally requested to state the date that the '320 patent expires, the HTSUS numbers under which the accused products are imported, and to supply a list of known importers of the products at issue. The entirety of the parties' written submissions must not exceed 50 pages, and must be filed no later than close of business on November 20, 2015. Reply submissions must not exceed 25 pages, and must be filed no later than the close of business on December 1, 2015. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to § 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the investigation number ("Inv. No. 337-TA-929") in a prominent place on the

cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. A redacted non-confidential version of the document must also be filed simultaneously with any confidential filing. All non-confidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 9, 2015.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2015-28893 Filed 11-13-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—High Density Packaging User Group International, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on October 15, 2015, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), High Density Packaging User Group International, Inc. ("HDPUG") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Hangzhou H3C Technologies Co., Ltd., Hangzhou City, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC

OF CHINA; Semi, San Jose, CA; UL LLC, San Jose, CA; I3 Electronics, Endicott, NY; and DuPont, Durham, NC, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Philips Medical, Murray Hill, NJ; Arlon LLC, Bear, DE; and Integral Technology, Lake Forrest, CA, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and HDPUG intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On September 14, 1994, HDPUG filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 23, 1995 (60 FR 15306).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 23, 2015. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on April 2, 2015 (80 FR 17785).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2015–29030 Filed 11–13–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—OpenDaylight Project, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on October 22, 2015 pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), OpenDaylight Project, Inc. (“OpenDaylight”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Transmode Systems AB, Stockholm, SWEDEN, has withdrawn as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and OpenDaylight intends to file additional written

notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On May 23, 2013, OpenDaylight filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on July 1, 2013 (78 FR 39326).

The last notification was filed with the Department on August 3, 2015. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on September 28, 2015 (80 FR 58298).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2015–29056 Filed 11–13–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—TeleManagement Forum

Notice is hereby given that, on October 8, 2015, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), TeleManagement Forum (“The Forum”) filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, the following parties have been added as members to this venture: Applied BSS, Ronneby, SWEDEN; Continental Automated Buildings Association, Ottawa, CANADA; Chinese Society For Urban Studies National Smart City Joint Lab, Beijing, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; ZhongXing (Yinchuan) Intellectual Industry Co. Ltd., Jinfeng District, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; GLOBEOSS, Shah Alam, MALAYSIA; Kavitha Shreedhar Ltd., Mount Pleasant, AUSTRALIA; Sinefa, Bulleen, AUSTRALIA; Grameenphone Ltd., Baridhara, BANGLADESH; Telenor Pakistan, Islamabad, PAKISTAN; Italtel S.p.A, Settimo Milane, ITALY; OpenLimits Business Solutions Lda, Coimbra, PORTUGAL; Orange Caraibe, Baie-Mahault, GUADELOUPE; Ultrafast Fibre Limited, Hamilton, NEW ZEALAND; Singer TC GmbH, Schwedeneck, GERMANY; Resolvetele

Ltd., Henley-on-Thames, UNITED KINGDOM; Sutherland Labs, London, UNITED KINGDOM; Retixa, Warsaw, POLAND; Polaris Consulting & Services Ltd., Piscataway, NJ; State Information Technology Agency (SITA), Erasmuskloof, SOUTH AFRICA; EXFO Inc., Chelmsford, MA; CommTel Network Solutions Pty Ltd., Keilor Park, AUSTRALIA; Jetsynthesys, Pune, INDIA; My Republic, Singapore, SINGAPORE; ISPIN AG, Bassersdorf, SWITZERLAND; Apttus Corporation, San Mateo, CA; Parkyeri, Istanbul, TURKEY; Blackbridge Associates, Dubai, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES; IPvideosys, Sunnyvale, CA; Windstream Communications, Little Rock, AR; T&BS SAS, Paris, FRANCE; Mind C.T.I. Ltd., Yoqneam Ilit, ISRAEL; Vision Consulting Turkey, Istanbul, TURKEY; Qualycloud, Paris, FRANCE; Etihad Atheeb Telecom Company, Riyadh, SAUDI ARABIA; Nethys SA—Betv/VOO, Liège, BELGIUM; Datalynx Holding AG, Basel, SWITZERLAND; Tacira Technologies, São Paulo, BRAZIL; and Master Merchant Systems, Dartmouth, CANADA.

Also, the following members have changed their names: TNBS.FR to T&BS SAS, Paris, FRANCE; Albanian Mobile Communications Sh. A. to Telekom Albania Sh.A., Laprake, ALBANIA; JDSU to Viavi Solutions, Muehleweg, GERMANY; Mobile Telecommunications Company K.S.C.P to Zain Group, Kuwait City, KUWAIT; Voo to Nethys SA—Betv/VOO, Liège, BELGIUM; and Quindell Telecoms to SMI Technologies, Portsmouth, UNITED KINGDOM.

In addition, the following parties have withdrawn as parties to this venture: 4STARS Ltd., Zagreb, CROATIA; Affinegy, Inc., Austin, TX; AIST Limited, Stanmore, UNITED KINGDOM; Alvenie Systems Ltd., Purley, UNITED KINGDOM; Aria Systems Ltd., Reading, UNITED KINGDOM; ARSAT, Buenos Aires, ARGENTINA; Beijing C-platform Digital Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; BNM Incorporated, Indialantic, FL; Boss Portal, Auckland, NEW ZEALAND; CableVision, SA, Buenos Aires, ARGENTINA; CalIT Consulting, Hurth, GERMANY; Cellex Networks Systems (2007) Ltd., Bne Beraq, ISRAEL; CIMI Corporation, Voorhees, NJ; CircuitVision, Tampa, FL; Clarebourne Consultancy Ltd., Farnham, UNITED KINGDOM; Conexion S.A., Asuncion, PARAGUAY; CSN Technology Pty Ltd., Eveleigh, AUSTRALIA; Cycle30, Seattle, WA; DAM Solutions, Mexico, MEXICO; DIRECTV, Inc., El Segundo, CA; EA Principals, Inc., Alexandria, VA; Ebizu Sdn. Bhd., Kuala Lumpur, MALAYSIA;