

Seventeenth Coast Guard District(mor), telephone 907-463-2807.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background And Purpose

On November 27, 2002, the Coast Guard recertified the Cook Inlet Regional Citizen's Advisory Council (CIRCAC) through August 31, 2003. Under the Oil Terminal and Oil Tanker Environmental Oversight Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2732), the Coast Guard may certify, on an annual basis, an alternative voluntary advisory group in lieu of a regional citizens' advisory council for Cook Inlet, Alaska. This advisory group monitors the activities of terminal facilities and crude oil tankers under the Cook Inlet Program established by Congress, 33 U.S.C. 2732(b).

On September 16, 2002, the Coast Guard published a notice of policy on revised recertification procedures for alternative voluntary advisory groups in lieu of councils at Prince William Sound and Cook Inlet, AK (67 FR 58440, 58441). This revised policy indicated that applicants seeking recertification in 2002 need only submit a streamlined application and public comments would not be solicited prior to recertification.

Upon review of the information submitted by CIRCAC as part of the certification package, it was noted that in an audit of the CIRCAC's financial statements the auditor found that CIRCAC's by-laws and corporate structure aligned with the basic structure for non-profit corporations. The auditor also noted that CIRCAC has proper expenditure measures in place and employs contemporary information technology practices. The auditor performing the audit made a recommendation for improving the financial management of the organization. In particular, the auditor recommended that CIRCAC prepare formal, written policies and procedures for its accounting practices. The Coast Guard agrees and recommends that CIRCAC implement the auditor's recommendation.

Dated: February 6, 2003.

James W. Underwood,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Seventeenth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 03-4764 Filed 2-27-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Metropolitan Oakland International Airport, Oakland, CA

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use a PFC at Metropolitan Oakland International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Public Law 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 31, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261, or San Francisco Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210, Burlingame, CA 94010-1303. In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Tay Yoshitani, Executive Director, Port of Oakland, at the following address: 530 Water Street, Oakland, CA 94607. Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Port of Oakland under section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marlys Vandervelde, Airports Program Analyst, San Francisco Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210, Burlingame, CA 94010-1303, Telephone: (650) 876-2806. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Metropolitan Oakland International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Public Law 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158). On February 12, 2003, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use a PFC

submitted by the Port of Oakland was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than May 16, 2003.

The following is a brief overview of the application No. 03-12-C-00-OAK: *Level of proposed PFC:* \$4.50.

Proposed charge effective date: December 1, 2003.

Proposed charge expiration date: March 1, 2004.

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$7,600,000.

Brief Description of the proposed project: Additional Security Expenditures as a Result of September 11, 2001.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Nonscheduled/ On-Demand Air Carriers filing FAA form 1800-31 and Commuters or Small Certificated Air Carriers filing DOT form 298-C T1 or E1.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and the FAA Regional Airports Division located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application in person at the Port of Oakland.

Issued in Lawndale, California, on February 12, 2003.

Herman C. Bliss,

Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 03-4798 Filed 2-27-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at San Diego International Airport, San Diego, CA

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at San Diego International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L.

101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 31, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Ms. Thella Bowens, Interim Executive Director, San Diego County Regional Airport Authority at the following address: P.O. Box 82776, San Diego, CA 92138–2776. Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority under section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Milligan, Supervisor Standards Section, Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Room 3024, Lawndale, CA 90261, Telephone: (310) 725–3621. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at San Diego International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158). On February 18, 2003, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than June 10, 2003.

The following is a brief overview of the impose and use application No. 03–03–C–00–SAN:

Level of proposed PFC: \$4.50.

Proposed charge effective date: June 1, 2003.

Proposed charge expiration date: December 31, 2005.

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$83,975,730.

Brief description of the proposed project: Replace ARFF Vehicle, Taxiway Improvements, Runway Improvements, Commuter Terminal Apron Improvements, Noise Mitigation, Environmental Remediation, Environmental Studies, Airport Security

Improvements, Terminal Improvements, Airport Access Improvements, and Infrastructure Data Management System (Phase 3).

Class or classes of air carriers, which the public agency has requested, not be required to collect PFCs: Nonscheduled/on-demand air carriers filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and at the FAA Regional Airports Division located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority.

Issued in Lawndale, California, on February 18, 2003.

Ellsworth Chan,

Acting Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 03–4799 Filed 2–27–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From Requirements

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 235 and 49 U.S.C. 20502(a), the following railroad has petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR part 236 as detailed below.

Docket Number FRA–2002–14116

Applicant: Canadian National Railway, Mr. Kenneth J. Bagby, Signals Supervisor, 3460 Bristol Road, Flint, Michigan 48507.

The Canadian National Railway (CN) seeks relief from the requirements of the Rules, Standard and Instructions, Title 49 CFR, part 236, section 236.408, to the extent that route locking need not be provided for the “32nd Street Crossover” power-operated switches, at milepost 333.28 in the existing traffic control system, at Port Huron, Michigan, on the Flint Subdivision, Midwest Division.

Applicant’s justification for relief: The installation is not uncommon in the railroad industry and provides all of the

requisite components and safety features of a standard interlocking or an electric lock location that would be found in TCS territory; CN has three similar installations.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made, and contain a concise statement of the interest of the party in the proceeding. Additionally, one copy of the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

All communications concerning this proceeding should be identified by the docket number and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PI–401 (Plaza Level), 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001.

All Communications received within 45 days of the date of this notice will be considered by the FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.—5 p.m.) at the above facility. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the internet at the docket facility’s Web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

FRA expects to be able to determine these matters without an oral hearing. However, if a specific request for an oral hearing is accompanied by a showing that the party is unable to adequately present his or her position by written statements, an application may be set for public hearing.

Issued in Washington, DC on February 24, 2003.

Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development.

[FR Doc. 03–4766 Filed 2–27–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Research and Special Programs Administration

[Docket No. RSPA–2003–14424, Notice No. 03–2]

Hazardous Materials: Formal Interpretation of Regulations

AGENCY: Research and Special Programs Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Formal interpretation of regulations.

SUMMARY: The Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA) is