Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1398 or (202) 482–0780, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On August 29, 2005, in response to timely requests from Dupont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film of America, and Toray Plastics (America), Inc., (collectively, Petitioners), Jindal Poly Films Limited (Jindal), and Garware Polyester Limited (Garware), the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on polyethylene terephthalate (PET) film from India with respect to Jindal, Garware, and Polyplex Corporation Limited. See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 70 FR 51009 (August 29, 2005). The period of review is January 1, 2004 through December 31,

# Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Section 351.213(h)(1) of the Department's regulations requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of a review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the order or suspension agreement for which the administrative review was requested, and final results of the review within 120 days after the date on which notice of the preliminary results are published in the **Federal Register**. However, if the Department determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the aforementioned specified time limits, section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations allows the Department to extend the 245-day period to 365 days and to extend the 120-day period to 180 days. We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit because, due to the large number of programs under review, the Department needs additional time to analyze the questionnaire responses and issue appropriate supplemental questionnaires. Therefore, the Department is extending the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on PET

film from India until no later than July 31, 2006.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: February 14, 2006.

#### Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretaryfor Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–2420 Filed 2–17–06; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## **International Trade Administration**

(A-351-840)

### Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Orange Juice from Brazil

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 21, 2006. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth Eastwood or Jill Pollack, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3874 or (202) 482–4593, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Amendment to Final Determination

In accordance with sections 735(a) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (the Act), on January 13, 2006, the Department published its notice of final determination of sales at less than fair value (LTFV) in the investigation of certain orange juice from Brazil. See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Affirmative Final Determination of Critical Circumstances: Certain Orange Juice from Brazil, 71 FR 2183 (Jan. 13, 2006). On January 17, 2006, we received an allegation, timely filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(c)(2), from the petitioners (i.e., Florida Citrus Mutual, A. Duda & Sons, Inc. (doing business as Citrus Belle), Citrus World, Inc., and Southern Garden Citrus Processing Corporation (doing business as Southern Gardens)) that the Department made ministerial errors with respect to its final determination dumping margin calculations.

After analyzing the petitioners' submission, we have determined, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), that we made the following ministerial errors in the final determination:

- we inadvertently treated Fischer S/A Agroindustria (Fischer) and an affiliated orange juice producer as separate entities for purposes of the cost test and product concordance, even though we had determined that it was appropriate to collapse them pursuant to 19 CFR 351.401(f), which resulted in constructed value incorrectly being used as the basis for normal value in Fischer's final margin calculations;
- we erred in the placement of programming language related to the application of adverse facts available to certain of Fischer's U.S. sales in the dumping margin program; and
- we mischaracterized the calculation of per-unit net U.S. customs duty expenses for Sucocitrico Cutrale Ltda.'s (Cutrale's) U.S. sales of frozen concentrated orange juice for manufacture (FCOJM) in our final determination. Specifically, we incorrectly stated that we recalculated Cutrale's per-unit net U.S. duties by allocating them only over Cutrale's period of investigation U.S. sales of FCOJM, rather than Cutrale's U.S. sales of both FCOJM and Not-From-Concentrate orange juice. However, the calculation of these customs duties itself, as well as their application to only FCOJM, was correct.

Correcting these errors results in a revised margin for Fischer. In addition, we have revised the calculation of the "All Others" rate accordingly.

For a detailed discussion of all ministerial errors alleged by the petitioners as well as the Department's analysis, see the February 8, 2006, memorandum from the team to Irene Darzenta Tzafolias entitled, "Ministerial Error Allegations in the Final Determination of the Antidumping Duty Investigation on Certain Orange Juice from Brazil."

Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the final determination of sales at LTFV in the antidumping duty investigation of certain orange juice from Brazil. The revised weighted—average dumping margins are as follows:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Final Determination Weighted– Average Margin Percentage	Amended Weighted-Average Margin Percentage
Fischer S/A - Agroindustria	9.73	12.46

Manufacturer/Exporter	Final Determination Weighted– Average Margin Percentage	Amended Weighted-Average Margin Percentage
Montecitrus Trading S.A.	60.29	60.29
Sucocitrico Cutrale, S.A.	19.19	19.19
All Others	15.42	16.51

## Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, we are directing U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to continue to suspend liquidation of all entries of certain orange juice from Brazil. CBP shall require a cash deposit equal to the estimated amount by which the normal value exceeds the U.S. price as indicated in the chart above. These instructions suspending liquidation will remain in effect until further notice.

This amended determination is issued and published pursuant to section 735(e) of the Act.

Dated: February 9, 2006.

#### David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–2418 Filed 2–17–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

[A-583-828]

## Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Taiwan: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** In response to a request from Carpenter Technology Corporation, Dunkirk Specialty Steel, LLC (a subsidiary of Universal Stainless & Alloy Products) and North American Stainless (the "Domestic Interested Parties"), domestic producers of stainless steel wire rod, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod from Taiwan. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 70 FR 61601 (October 25, 2005) ("Initiation Notice"). The period of review ("POR") is September 1, 2004, through August 31, 2005. The Department is now rescinding this review because the Domestic Interested Parties have withdrawn their request.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 21, 2006.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Malcolm A. Burke or Howard Smith at (202) 482–3584 or (202) 482–5193, respectively; AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14<sup>th</sup> Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

On September 1, 2005, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod from Taiwan. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 70 FR 52072 (September 1, 2005). On September 30, 2005, the Department received a timely request from the Domestic Interested Parties to conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod from Taiwan with respect to Walsin Lihwa Corporation and any of its affiliates for the POR. On October 25, 2005, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod from Taiwan for the POR, and published a notice of initiation in the **Federal Register**. See Initiation Notice. On December 13, 2005, the Domestic Interested Parties withdrew their request for an administrative review.

## **Rescission of Review**

Pursuant to 19 CFR §351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review if a party that requested a review withdraws its request within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation thereof. Because the Domestic Interested Parties withdrew their review request within the 90-day time limit and no other party requested a review, the Department is rescinding this review. The Department will issue appropriate instructions directly to U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

### **Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility, under 19 CFR §351.402(f)(2), to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement

of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

#### **Notification to Interested Parties**

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR §351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR §351.213(d)(4).

Dated: February 14, 2006.

#### Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–2419 Filed 2–17–06; 8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Socioeconomic Monitoring Program for the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), DOC.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.