

Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 67, No. 73

Tuesday, April 16, 2002

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

[Docket No. 02–023–1]

Availability of Risk Management Analysis for the Importation of Clementines From Spain

AGENCY: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of availability and request for comments.

SUMMARY: We are advising the public that a risk management analysis has been prepared by the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service relative to a proposed rule currently under consideration that would allow the importation of clementines from Spain to resume. We are making this risk management analysis available to the public for review and comment.

DATES: We will consider all comments we receive that are postmarked, delivered, or e-mailed by May 16, 2002.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by postal mail/commercial delivery or by e-mail. If you use postal mail/commercial delivery, please send four copies of your comment (an original and three copies) to: Docket No. 02–023–1, Regulatory Analysis and Development, PPD, APHIS, Station 3C71, 4700 River Road Unit 118, Riverdale, MD 20737–1238. Please state that your comment refers to Docket No. 02–023–1. If you use e-mail, address your comment to regulations@aphis.usda.gov. Your comment must be contained in the body of your message; do not send attached files. Please include your name and address in your message and “Docket No. 02–023–1” on the subject line.

You may read any comments that we receive on the risk management analysis in our reading room. The reading room is located in room 1141 of the USDA South Building, 14th Street and

Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC. Normal reading room hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. To be sure someone is there to help you, please call (202) 690–2817 before coming.

APHIS documents published in the **Federal Register**, and related information, including the names of organizations and individuals who have commented on APHIS dockets, are available on the Internet at <http://www.aphis.usda.gov/ppd/rad/webrepor.html>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Ron A. Sequeira, Center for Plant Health Science and Technology, PPQ, APHIS, 1017 Main Campus Drive, Suite 2500, Raleigh, NC 27606–5202; (919) 513–2663.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) is considering amending the fruits and vegetables regulations to allow the importation of clementines from Spain to resume. Until recently, APHIS allowed the importation of clementines from Spain under permit, provided that they were cold treated for the Mediterranean fruit fly (*Ceratitis capitata*) (Medfly) in accordance with the Plant Protection and Quarantine (PPQ) Treatment Manual, which is incorporated by reference into the regulations at 7 CFR 300.1.

In December 2002, APHIS suspended the importation of clementines from Spain due to interceptions of live Medfly larvae in clementines imported from Spain. Since that time, APHIS has conducted reviews of the clementine import program and of our Medfly cold treatment protocol in general. APHIS believes, based on the available evidence, that there are two possible explanations for the survival of Medfly larvae in imported Spanish clementines during the 2001–2002 shipping season. One is that despite the assumed mortality rate of Medflies following the cold treatment (99.9968 percent), any small or partial failure in the application of the cold treatment could have allowed Medflies to survive in clementines imported from Spain due to the above average levels of Medfly infestation of fruits. Alternately, it is possible that the level of Medfly

infestation in imported clementines simply overwhelmed the capabilities of the cold treatment process, even if the treatment was properly applied.

At the request of the Government of Spain, APHIS has considered alternate strategies to mitigate the risk posed by Medflies imported in clementines from Spain. Our evaluation of proposed management measures is documented in a pest risk management analysis, “Risk mitigation for tephritid fruit flies with special emphasis on risk reduction for commercial imports of clementines (several varieties of *Citrus reticulata*) from Spain” (March 2002).

The risk management analysis uses an adaptation of a type of risk management approach used by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and U.S. Department of Agriculture’s Food Safety and Inspection Service, called a hazard analysis and critical control point (HACCP) analysis.

HACCP analyses have been found to provide an effective and rational means of assuring food safety from harvest to consumption. Preventing problems from occurring is the paramount goal underlying any HACCP system, and seven basic principles are employed in the development of HACCP plans that meet the stated goal. These principles include hazard analysis, critical control point identification, establishment of critical limits, procedures for monitoring, corrective actions, verification procedures, and recordkeeping and documentation. Using a HACCP approach, if a deviation occurs indicating that control has been lost, the deviation is detected and appropriate steps are taken to reestablish control in a timely manner to ensure that potentially hazardous products do not reach the consumer.

APHIS has adapted the HACCP approach to apply to the analysis of phytosanitary measures. To distinguish our adaptation from the guidelines applicable to food safety, we use the name phytosanitary hazard analysis and critical control point (PHAACP). Using the PHAACP approach, the risk management analysis evaluates the risk reduction potential of phytosanitary measures employed to reduce the risk that clementines imported from Spain could be infested with Medflies. The PHAACP approach is described in generic form in an appendix to the risk management analysis.

The risk management analysis and appendices are available in our reading room (information on the location and hours of the reading room is listed under the heading **ADDRESSES** at the beginning of this notice) and on the Internet at <http://www.aphis.usda.gov/oa/clementine/index.html>. You may also request a copy by contacting the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

This notice solicits public comments on the risk management analysis. We will also be making the risk management analysis available for public comment again during the comment period for any proposed rule related to the importation of clementines from Spain.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 166, 450, 7701-7772; 21 U.S.C. 136 and 136a; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.3.

Done in Washington, DC, this 11th day of April, 2002.

W. Ron DeHaven,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 02-9212 Filed 4-15-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Sunken Moose Project; Chequamegon/Nicolet National Forest, Bayfield County, WI

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA

ACTION: Revised notice, intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement

SUMMARY: The Sunken Moose Analysis was originally published in the **Federal Register** on April 24, 2001 (Vol. 66, No. 79 page 20622, Tuesday, April 24, 2001/Notices). The Forest Service has decided to revise the proposed action for several reasons. The purpose and need for action has been changed as a result of the emerging concerns about the potential spread of an exotic insect pest, the gypsy moth, and new information provided by watershed and roads analysis for the Sunken Moose Project. In addition, the Responsible Official identified a number of the originally proposed components as not connected to the primary activities, and, decided to remove these dissimilar actions from consideration (40 CFR 1508.25). Activities removed from the original proposal include, erosion control projects, access control projects, prescribed burning for natural fuels reduction and wildlife habitat improvements, and installation of dry hydrants. These potential projects will

be undertaken in separate NEPA analyses.

This action would occur entirely on National Forest System lands within the Northwest Bayfield Peninsula and Southeast Bayfield Peninsula watersheds approximately six miles east of Washburn, Wisconsin in T.48N, R.5W, Section 6; T.48N, R.6W, Sections 1-24, 26-35; T.48N, R.7W, Sections 1-3, 11-13, 25-26, 36; T.49N, R.5W, Sections 6-7, 18-19, 30-31; T.49N, R.6W; T.49N, R.7W, Sections 1, 11-17, 20-29, 32-36.

The Forest Service will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) to analyze and disclose the potential environmental effects of the project.

The purpose of the Sunken Moose project is to implement land management activities that are consistent with direction in the Chequamegon National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (Forest Plan, 1986) and to respond to specific needs and/or problems, identified during watershed and roads analysis. The purpose and need for this proposal are:

1. Restoring and maintaining red and white pine communities at levels that are consistent with providing the desired habitat diversity goals of the Forest Plan (pp. IV-52 through IV-55, IV-59 through IV-60);
2. Maintaining birch woodlands at levels that are consistent with providing habitat diversity goals consistent with the Forest Plan (IV-43 through IV-44);
3. Improving the vigor of oak communities to minimize mortality and growth loss as a result of the expanding infestations of gypsy moth, a non-native, introduced pest of forest stands (Forest Plan, pp. IV-23, IV-52); and
4. Providing saw timber and other wood related commodities for local industries and communities (Forest Plan p. IV-39).

Proposed Action: The Forest Service proposes to implement the following activities on 13,800 to 15,200 acres utilizing a variety of silvicultural systems: shelterwood (23%), commercial thinning (77%). The timber produced as a result of these activities would be yarded by conventional ground-based logging systems (e.g. tractor/jammer, forwarders etc.). In addition, approximately 3,100 acres of timber stand improvement of existing red pine plantations would be undertaken.

Post-harvest activities would include the following: prescribed burning for activity fuel abatement and site preparation and mechanical preparation for reforestation, and tree planting.

In order to facilitate log and/or wood product haul and minimize sedimentation approximately 3.5 miles of permanent road and 9.0 miles of temporary road would be constructed. Approximately 1/2 mile of Forest Road 697 would be re-constructed to reduce run-off into Four Mile Creek and approximately 1/4 mile of Forest Road 433 would be re-located to improve the stream crossing on Lenawee Creek. Finally, about 4 miles of classified roads and 13.5 miles of un-classified roads not needed for management activities would be decommissioned.

DATES: Comments concerning the scope of the analysis should be received within 30 days following publication of this notice to receive timely consideration in the preparation of the draft EIS.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments and suggestions on the proposed action, or requests to be placed on the project mailing list, to: Chris Worth, District Ranger, Washburn Ranger District, P.O. Box 578, 113 East Bayfield St., Washburn, WI 54891. E-mail comments should have a subject line that reads "NEPA Washburn—Sunken Moose" and be sent to rkiewit@fs.fed.us.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ray Kiewit, Interdisciplinary Team Leader, Washburn Ranger District, P.O. Box 578, 113 East Bayfield St., Washburn, WI 54891, phone (715) 373-2667, or email at rkiewit@fs.fed.us.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The information presented in this notice is included to help the reviewer determine if they are interested in or are potentially affected by proposed management activities. Those who wish to provide comments, or are otherwise interested in or affected by the project, are encouraged to obtain additional information from the contact identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this Notice.

Responsible Official—The District Ranger of the Washburn Ranger District, Chris Worth, is the Responsible Official for making project-level decisions, within the project area.

Preliminary Concerns—Scoping conducted in April, 2001 resulted in 54 responses. The Interdisciplinary Team examined letters, e-mails and telephone conversations that were received by the Responsible Official. The Team identified two significant issues: (1) Timber harvest could fragment the forested landscape, resulting in degradation of habitat for interior forest species; and (2) proposed silvicultural prescriptions would not change the current plant communities towards early succession pioneering species