

preclude reliance on this exclusion. The NRC staff determined, in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(vi)(E), that the requirements from which the exemption is sought involve education, training, experience, qualification, requalification, or other employment suitability requirements. The NRC staff also determined that granting the requested exemption involves no significant hazards consideration because it does not authorize any physical changes to the facility or any of its safety systems or change any of the assumptions or limits used in the facility licensee's safety analyses or introduce any new failure modes; there is no significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite because the exemption does not affect any effluent release limits as provided in the facility licensee's technical specifications or by 10 CFR part 20; there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative public or occupational radiation exposure because the exemption does not affect the limits on the release of any radioactive material or the limits provided in 10 CFR part 20 for radiation exposure to workers or members of the public; there is no significant

construction impact because the exemption does not involve any changes to a construction permit; and there is no significant increase in the potential for or consequences from radiological accidents because the exemption does not alter any of the assumptions or limits in the facility licensee's safety analyses. In addition, the NRC staff determined that there would be no significant impacts to biota, water resources, historic properties, cultural resources, or socioeconomic conditions in the region. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need to be prepared in connection with the granting of the exemption.

IV. Conclusion

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 55.11, the exemption is authorized by law, will not endanger life or property, and is otherwise in the public interest. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants, with respect to the three senior operators named in the exemption request, an exemption from the NRC's requalification requirements at 10 CFR 55.53(h) and 10 CFR 55.59(a) and the NRC's active performance requirements at 10 CFR 55.53(e) and (f) to continue

to perform the functions of a senior operator to support the resumption of fuel maintenance activities and the return of the facility to normal operations. Although fuel maintenance activities may be resumed upon the issuance of this exemption, pursuant to 10 CFR 55.59(b), the senior operators must complete the additional training discussed above and submit evidence to the Commission of the successful completion of this training before returning the facility to normal operations. This exemption expires the sooner of (1) 120 days after issuance or (2) 30 days following the cancellation of the facility temporary administrative limit/special condition for operation stipulating in the entry on November 22, 2021, of Attachment 1, "Condition/Limit Log," in "SOM1 Operational Limits and Special Administrative Controls" that "No operation until fuel element findings resolved."

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

V. Availability of Documents

The documents identified in the following table are related to the requested exemption and available to interested persons through the NRC's ADAMS at <https://adams.nrc.gov/wba/>.

Document	ADAMS accession No.
KSU letter, "License Requirements Exemption Request," dated March 7, 2024.	ML24085A808
KSU letter, "License Requirements Exemption Request Supplemental Information," dated June 11, 2024.	ML24164A092
KSU letter, "License Requirements Exemption Request Additional Supplemental Information," dated October 4, 2024.	ML24281A032
KSU letter, "License Requirements Exemption Request Further Supplemental Information," dated November 20, 2024.	ML24326A142

Dated: December 05, 2024.
/RA/
Jeremy Bowen, Director,
Division of Advanced Reactors and Non-Power Production and Utilization Facilities,
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.
[FR Doc. 2024-29695 Filed 12-16-24; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD
Notice of Members of Senior Executive Service Performance Review Board
This notice announces the membership of the U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB) Senior Executive Service (SES) Performance Review Board (PRB).
DATES: Effective immediately and until December 10, 2025.
ADDRESSES: Send comments concerning this notice to: U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, Clarendon

Blvd., Suite 1300, Arlington, VA 22201-3367.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kimberly Brown, Human Resources Specialist, brown@nwtrb.gov, 703-235-4473.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4314(c)(1) through (5) of Title 5 of the United States Code, requires each agency to establish, in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Office of Personnel Management, one or more SES Performance Review Boards. Section 4314(c)(4) of Title 5 requires that notice of appointment of board members be published in the **Federal Register**.
The following executives have been designated as members of the Performance Review Board for the U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board:
R. Todd Davis, Associate Technical Director, Nuclear Programs and Analysis, Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

Michael A. Mikolanis, Manager, Savannah River Field Office, National Nuclear Security Administration
Mark T. Sautman, Acting Deputy Technical Director, Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board
Gregory Sosson, Associate Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary Field Operations, Office of Environmental Management, U.S. Department of Energy
Christopher Roscetti, Deputy Director for Environment, Health, and Safety, U.S. Department of Energy
Dated: December 10, 2024.
Neysa M. Slater-Chandler,
Director of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board.
[FR Doc. 2024-29671 Filed 12-16-24; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6820-AM-P