

The Rival Company, 800 E. 101st Terrace, Kansas City, MO 64131.

(c) Thomas S. Fusco, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Delbert R. Terrill, Jr. is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint, the motion for temporary relief, and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 and 210.59 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13 and 210.59. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d), 210.13(a), and 210.59, such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 10 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint, the motion for temporary relief, and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting the responses to the complaint, motion for temporary relief, and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint, in the motion for temporary relief, and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint, the motion for temporary relief, and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint, the motion for temporary relief, and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or cease and desist order or both directed against such respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 12, 2003.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-21031 Filed 8-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated March 11, 2003, and published in the **Federal Register** on April 2, 2003, (68 FR 16091), Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Attn: Security Department, Building 103, Room 335, 59 Route 10, East Hanover, New Jersey 07936, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the basic class of controlled substance listed below:

Drug	Schedule
Methylphenidate (1724)	II

The firm plans to produce bulk product and finished dosage units for distribution to its customers.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in Title 21, United States Code, section 823(a) and determined that the registration of Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation to manufacture the listed controlled substance is consistent with the public interest at this time. DEA has investigated Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. This investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823 and 28 CFR 0.100 and 0.104, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, hereby orders that the application submitted by the above firm for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic class of controlled substance listed is granted.

Dated: July 30, 2003.

Laura M. Nagel,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-21044 Filed 8-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-52,198]

Agere Systems, Inc., Allentown, Pennsylvania; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on July 1, 2003 in response to a worker petition filed by the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW), Local 1522, on behalf of workers at Agere Systems, Inc., Allentown, Pennsylvania.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation would serve no purpose and the investigation is terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 5th day of August, 2003.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-21019 Filed 8-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, (19 U.S.C. 2273), the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of July and August 2003.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for directly-impacted (primary) worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. The sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

A. Increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles

produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

II. Section (a)(2)(B) both of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. There has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to a foreign country of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and

C. One of the following must be satisfied:

1. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

2. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles to a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preference Act, African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act; or

3. There has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with articles which are or were produced by such firm or subdivision.

Also, in order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as an adversely affected secondary group to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222(b) of the Act must be met.

(1) Significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) The workers' firm (or subdivision) is a supplier or downstream producer to a firm (or subdivision) that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance benefits and such supply or production is related to the article that was the basis for such certification; and

(3) Either—

(A) The workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied for the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; or

(B) A loss or business by the workers' firm with the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In the following case, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The investigation revealed that criterion (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (Increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B) (No shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W-52,259; *The Bindery, Inc.*,
Cambridge, MN

TA-W-52,284; *Fisher Pierce*,
Weymouth, MA

TA-W-52,123; *Honeywell International*,
Specialty Materials, Birmingham, AL

TA-W-51,536; *State of Alaska*
Commercial Fisheries Entry

Commission Permit #S04K6143901
TA-W-52,083; *Sweet Orr and Company*,
Madison, GA

TA-W-52,103; *MR Dowel, Inc.*,
Rumford, ME

TA-W-52,106; *Better Methods*
Alexander, Inc., a wholly owned
subsidiary of BMI Holdings, Inc.,
Paterson, NJ

TA-W-52,133; *Auburn Machinery, Inc.*,
Lewistown, ME

TA-W-52,148; *Coho Resources, Inc.*,
Dallas, TX

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-52,393; *Keane, Inc.*, Cypress, CA

TA-W-52,322; *deMarco California*
Fabrics, Inc., New York, NY

TA-W-52,313; *Convergys Customer*
Management Group, Inc., Orem, UT

TA-W-52,160; *AT&T Corporation*,
Pleasanton, CA

TA-W-52,082; *Computer Sciences*
Corporation, workers employed at
Pratt & Whitney, West Palm Beach, FL

TA-W-52,395; *Cross Consulting Group*,
Inc., d/b/a Cross USA, Watford City,
ND

TA-W-52,367; *Honeywell*, Millinocket,
ME

TA-W-52,352; *Computer Services*
Corporation, Financial Services
Group, Austin, TX

TA-W-52,164; *Castrol Industrial North*
America, Inc., Duluth, MN

TA-W-51,173; *Ericsson, Inc.*, Brea, CA

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.A) (no employment declines) have not been met.

TA-W-52,042; *Wheatland Tube Co.*,
Div. of The John Maneely Co., Sharon,
PA

TA-W-52,423; *Fishing Vessel (F/V)*
Kayla Marie C., Old Harbor, AK
TA-W-52,107; *Phillips Plastics Corp.*,
Medical Molding and Assembly,
Menomonee, WI, A; *Multi-Shot*, Eau
Claire, WI, B; *Precision Decorating*,
Medford, WI, C; *Short Run Solutions*,
New Richmond, WI, D; *Design*
Development Center, Hudson, WI, E;
Design Development Center—West,
Sunnyvale, CA, F; *Operations Center*,
Eau Claire, WI, G; *Technology Center*,
Prescott, WI, H; *Detroit Field Sales*,
Farmingham Hills, MI

TA-W-52,158; *CDI Corporation*
Northwest, employed at *Hewlett-*
Packard, Imaging and Printing Group,
Corvallis, OR

TA-W-52,233; *Fishing Vessel (F/V)*
Western Queen, Burlington, WA

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of (a)(2)(A) (increased imports) of section 222 have been met.

TA-W-52,426; *Gretag Imaging, Inc.*,
Englewood, CO: July 28, 2002.

TA-W-52,235; *Honeywell Nylon, Inc.*,
Anderson, SC: July 7, 2002.

TA-W-52,112; *Hooker Furniture Corp.*,
Kernersville, NC: June 20, 2002.

TA-W-52,100; *Magneti Marelli*,
Kingsport, TN: June 19, 2002.

TA-W-52,346; *George F. Adams Co.*,
Inc., Moscow, VT: July 18, 2002.

TA-W-52,337; *Kaba High Security*
Locks, a subsidiary of *Kaba Corp.*,
including leased workers from *The*
Agency and MJ Barlow Staffing
Agencies, Southington, CT: July 16,
2002.

TA-W-52,257; *Stoneville Furniture*
Acquisition, Inc., a/k/a *Stoneville*
Furniture Co., Inc., including leased
workers of *AY Staffing*, Stoneville,
NC: July 9, 2002.

TA-W-52,231; *Salisbury Sportswear*,
Inc., Salisbury, PA: July 2, 2002.

TA-W-52,136; *Fairchild Semiconductor*
Corp., a subsidiary of *Fairchild*
Semiconductor Int'l, Inc., South
Portland, ME: June 9, 2002.

TA-W-52,029; *Medway Plastics Corp.*,
including leased workers of *Stratus*
Personnel and *Personnel Plus*, Long
Beach, CA: May 14, 2002.

TA-W-51,962; *Vibratech, Inc.*, Alden,
NY: June 3, 2002.

TA-W-51,840; *Mastergear*, South Beloit,
IL: May 20, 2002.

TA-W-51,616; *Chandler's*, Portland,
ME: April 14, 2002.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of (a)(2)(B) (shift in production) of Section 222 have been met.

TA-W-52,413; Honeywell International, Inc., Automation and Control Solutions-Sensing & Control, including leased workers of Manpower, Inc., Mars Hill, NC: July 21, 2002.

TA-W-52,368; Fasco Motors, Automotive Div., Hillsdale, MI: July 16, 2002.

TA-W-52,354 & A; Molex, Inc., Fiber Optics Div., Downers Grove, IL and Bolingbrook, IL: July 21, 2002.

TA-W-52,280; Stone County Ironworks, a/k/a Metal Arts, Inc., Mountain View, AR: May 6, 2002.

TA-W-52,461; Fishing Vessel (F/V) Alert, Veronia, OR: July 22, 2002.

TA-W-52,366; Marge Carson, Rosemead, CA: July 21, 2002.

TA-W-52,360; Coats North America, Coats American Sylvan Plant Div., Rosman, NC: July 18, 2002.

TA-W-52,351; Waterbury Companies, Inc., Randolph, VT: July 18, 2002.

TA-W-52,315; Murphy's Custom Canvas, Central Point, OR: July 14, 2002.

TA-W-52,312; Rotarex, Inc., North America, including Stopfill, Inc., Div. and Ceodux, Inc., Div. and including leased workers of Sperion (Ruggieri Enterprises), Manpower, Carol Harris Agency, and Select Personnel, Mt. Pleasant, PA: July 2, 2002.

TA-W-52,309; B.A.G. Corporation, Pennington Gap, VA: July 10, 2002.

TA-W-52,234; Kellwood Co., Menswear-Midwestern Div., Calhoun City, MS: June 26, 2002.

TA-W-52,219; Geo-Form, Inc., Girard, PA: June 20, 2002.

TA-W-52,170; Hill-Rom Co., Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Hill-Rom, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Hillenbrand Industries, Batesville, IN: July 18, 2002.

TA-W-52,162; The Oilgear Co., Longview Div., Longview, TX: June 26, 2002.

TA-W-52,114; Kalpak USA, Hillside, NJ: May 23, 2002.

TA-W-52,011; Fishing Vessel (F/V) Nanesse, Skagway, AK: June 11, 2002.

The following certification has been issued. The requirement of upstream supplier to a trade certified primary firm has been met.

TA-W-52,317; Onamac Industries, Inc., Everett, WA: July 14, 2002.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were

issued during the months of July and August. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: August 8, 2003.

Linda G. Poole,

Acting Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-21017 Filed 8-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-51,883]

Culp, Inc; Rossville Division, Chattanooga, TN; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on May 28, 2003, in response to a worker petition filed on behalf of workers at Culp Inc., Rossville Division, Chattanooga, Tennessee.

The petition is a copy of petition number TA-W-51,355. That petition resulted in a negative determination issued on April 28, 2003. Since this petition is a duplicate, further investigation would serve no purpose and the investigation is terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 5th day of August 2003.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-21020 Filed 8-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,838]

Fishing Vessel (F/V) Windy Sea, Kodiak, AK; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated May 9, 2003, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm.

The denial notice was signed March 27, 2003, and published in the **Federal Register** on April 11, 2003 (68 FR 17831).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition was filed by the company official for workers producing salmon. The denial of TAA for the workers of F/V Windy Sea, Kodiak, Alaska, was based on the finding that the subject firm did not fish for salmon during 2002.

The petitioner, in the request for reconsideration, states that the worker group did not fish for salmon in 2002 because of the possibility of losing money due to intense foreign competition. As vessel owner, the petitioner explains that he and the crew would have lost money. The subject firm instead fished for halibut. The petitioner also provided information regarding his adjusted gross income, which included fishing halibut only in 2002, adding that fishing salmon in that year would not have increased income.

Since the petition was filed on behalf of workers producing salmon, and the workers did not fish for salmon during the relevant time period, the petition was denied.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 5th day of August, 2003.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-21021 Filed 8-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-13-P