

CSB Investigators have determined that nineteen Chevron employees were engulfed in a vapor cloud formed by the hydrocarbon release. Eighteen employees escaped before the fire started, and one employee escaped without injury after the fire began. Six employees suffered minor injuries. More than 15,000 residents in the surrounding area sought treatment at area medical facilities as a result of the incident. Production at the Chevron facility was suspended for months following the accident.

Following the staff presentation the Board will hear brief comments from the public.

Following the conclusion of the public comment period, the Board will consider and may vote to approve the proposed interim report and safety recommendations. All staff presentations are preliminary and are intended solely to allow the Board to consider in a public forum the issues and factors involved in this case. No proposed factual findings, analyses, or recommendations presented by staff should be considered final until the Board has voted to approve them. The meeting will be free and open to the public. If you require a translator or interpreter, please notify the individual listed below as the "Contact Person for Further Information," at least five business days prior to the meeting.

The CSB is an independent Federal agency charged with investigating industrial accidents that result in the release of extremely hazardous substances. The agency's Board Members are appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate. CSB investigations look into all aspects of accidents, including physical causes such as equipment failure, as well as inadequacies in regulations, industry standards, and safety management systems.

CONTACT PERSON FOR FURTHER

INFORMATION: Hillary J. Cohen, Communications Manager, hillary.cohen@csb.gov or 202-261-7600. General information about the CSB can be found on the agency Web site at: www.csb.gov.

Dated: April 1, 2013.

Daniel Horowitz,
Managing Director.

[FR Doc. 2013-07896 Filed 4-1-13; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 6350-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1892]

Reorganization of Foreign-Trade Zone 133 Under Alternative Site Framework; Quad-Cities, Iowa/Illinois

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) (15 CFR 400.2(c)) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of zones;

Whereas, the Quad-City Foreign-Trade Zone, Inc., grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 133, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket B-63-2012, filed 08/08/2012) for authority to reorganize under the ASF with a service area of Henderson, Henry, Mercer, Rock Island and Warren Counties, Illinois and Cedar, Clinton, Des Moines, Dubuque, Henry, Jackson, Johnson, Jones, Lee, Louisa, Muscatine, Scott and Washington Counties, Iowa, within and adjacent to the Davenport, Iowa-Moline and Rock Island, Illinois Customs and Border Protection port of entry, and FTZ 133's existing Sites 1 through 5 would be categorized as magnet sites;

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (77 FR 48959-48960, 8/15/2012) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 133 under the ASF is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.13, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the zone and to a five-year ASF sunset provision for magnet sites that would terminate authority for Sites 1 through 5 if not activated by March 31, 2018.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of March 2013.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST:

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-07727 Filed 4-2-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1886]

Grant of Authority; Establishment of a Foreign-Trade Zone Under the Alternative Site Framework Chenango County, New York

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Foreign-Trade Zones Act provides for " * * * the establishment * * * of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes," and authorizes the Foreign-Trade Zones Board to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs and Border Protection ports of entry;

Whereas, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) (15 CFR 400.2(c)) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of zones;

Whereas, Chenango County, New York (the Grantee) has made application to the Board (B-56-2012, docketed 7/30/2012), requesting the establishment of a foreign-trade zone under the ASF with a service area of Chenango County, New York, adjacent to the Syracuse Customs and Border Protection port of entry, and including proposed Sites 1 and 2, which would be categorized as usage-driven sites;

Whereas, notice inviting public comment has been given in the **Federal Register** (77 FR 46023-46024, 8/02/2012) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby grants to the Grantee the privilege of

establishing a foreign-trade zone, designated on the records as Foreign-Trade Zone No. 285, as described in the application, and subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.13, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit, and to a three-year ASF sunset provision for usage-driven sites that would terminate authority for Sites 1 and 2 if no foreign-status merchandise is admitted for a *bona fide* customs purpose by March 31, 2016.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 25th day of March 2013.

Rebecca Blank,

Deputy Secretary of Commerce, Chairman and Executive Officer, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST:

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-07726 Filed 4-2-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B-90-2012]

Foreign-Trade Zone 26—Atlanta, Georgia, Authorization of Production Activity, Perkins Shibaura Engines, LLC (Diesel Engines), Griffin, Georgia

On November 29, 2012, Georgia Foreign-Trade Zone, Inc., grantee of FTZ 26, submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board on behalf of Perkins Shibaura Engines, LLC, submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board for its facility within FTZ 26—Site 6, in Griffin, Georgia.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (77 FR 75406-75407, 12-20-2012). The FTZ Board has determined that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification is authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.14.

Dated: March 29, 2013.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-07740 Filed 4-2-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-818]

Certain Pasta From Italy: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 3, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephanie Moore or George McMahon AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3692 or (202) 482-1167, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 2, 2012, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy.¹ Pursuant to requests from interested parties, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review with respect to the following companies for the period July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012: Alberto Poiatti S.p.A (Poiatti), Delverde Industrie Alimentari S.p.A. (Delverde), Industria Alimentare Colavita, S.p.A. (Indalco), Pasta Lensi S.r.L. (Lensi), Pastificio Attilio Mastromauro-Pasta Granoro S.r.L. (Granoro), Pastificio Gallo Natale & F. Ili S.r.L. (Gallo), Fiamma Vesuviana S.r.L. (Fiamma), Pastificio Zaffiri S.r.L. (Zaffiri), Rummo S.p.A. Molino e Pastificio (Rummo), Tandoi Filippo e Adalberto Fratelli S.p.A. (Tandoi), and Valdigrano di Flavio Pagani S.r.L. (Valdigrano).²

On August 31, 2012 the Department announced its intention to select mandatory respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data.³ On September 24, 2012, the

Department selected Indalco and Rummo as mandatory respondents.⁴

On November 30, 2012, Indalco and Lensi timely withdrew their respective requests for a review. Thus, on December 11, 2012, the Department selected Gallo and Granoro as additional mandatory respondents.

On February 8, 2013, the Department published a notice revoking Granoro from the antidumping duty order.⁵ The effective date of Granoro's revocation from the antidumping duty order is July 1, 2011.⁶

Partial Rescission of the 2011-2012 Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The instant review was initiated on August 30, 2012. *See Initiation.* Indalco and Lensi both withdrew their requests for a review on November 30, 2012, which is within the 90-day deadline. No other party requested an administrative review of these particular companies. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), and consistent with our practice, we are rescinding this review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy, in part, with respect to Indalco and Lensi.⁷ Additionally, we are rescinding this review with respect to Granoro because this company has been revoked from the antidumping duty order.⁸ The instant review will continue with respect to Poiatti, Delverde,⁹ Gallo, Fiamma,

⁴ See Memorandum from George McMahon through James Terpstra to Melissa Skinner titled, "Selection of Respondents for Individual Review," dated September 24, 2012.

⁵ See *Certain Pasta From Italy: Notice of Final Results of 15th Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Final No Shipment Determination and Revocation of Order, in Part; 2010-2011*, 78 FR 9364 (February 8, 2013) (*Final Results*), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum for additional details.

⁶ See *id.* See also CBP Public Message Number: 3057301, dated February 26, 2013.

⁷ See, e.g., *Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 21781 (May 11, 2009); see also *Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Thailand: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 7218 (February 13, 2009).

⁸ See *Final Results*.

⁹ On September 25, 2012, Delverde submitted a "qualified no-shipment letter" in which Delverde declared that "it made no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR, because it was excluded from the antidumping duty order in the original investigation." We are currently conducting

Continued