

* * * * *

United States (US) Footnotes

* * * * *

US74 In the bands 25.55–25.67, 73.0–74.6, 406.1–410.0, 608–614, 1400–1427, 1660.5–1670.0, 2690–2700, and 4990–5000 MHz and in the bands 10.68–10.7, 15.35–15.4, 23.6–24.0, 31.3–31.5, 86–92, 100–102, 109.5–111.8, 114.25–116, 148.5–151.5, 164–167, 200–209, and 250–252, the radio astronomy service shall be protected from extraband radiation only to the extent that such radiation exceeds the level which would be present if the offending station were operating in compliance with technical standards or criteria applicable to the service in which it operates. Radio astronomy observations in these bands are performed at the locations listed in US311.

* * * * *

US211 In the bands 1670–1690, 5000–5250 MHz and 10.7–11.7, 15.1365–15.35, 15.4–15.7, 22.5–22.55, 24–24.05, 31.0–31.3, 31.8–32.0, 40.5–42.5, 84–86, 123–130, 158.5–164, 167–168, 191.8–200, and 252–265 GHz, applicants for airborne or space station assignments are urged to take all practicable steps to protect radio astronomy observations in the adjacent bands from harmful interference; however, US74 applies.

* * * * *

US246 No station shall be authorized to transmit in the following bands: 608–614 MHz, except for medical telemetry equipment,⁷ 1400–1427 MHz, 1660.5–1668.4 MHz, 2690–2700 MHz, 4990–5000 MHz, 10.68–10.7 GHz, 15.35–15.4 GHz, 23.6–24 GHz, 31.3–31.8 GHz, 50.2–50.4 GHz, 52.6–54.25 GHz, 86–92 GHz, 100–102 GHz, 109.5–111.8 GHz, 114.25–116 GHz, 148.5–151.5 GHz, 164–167 GHz, 182–185 GHz, 190–191.8 GHz, 200–209 GHz, 226–231.5 GHz, 250–252 GHz,

* * * * *

US263 In the bands 21.2–21.4 GHz, 22.21–22.5 GHz, 36–37 GHz, and 56.26–58.2 GHz, the space research and Earth exploration-satellite services shall not receive protection from the fixed and mobile services operating in accordance with the Table of Frequency Allocations.

* * * * *

US342 In making assignments to stations of other services to which the bands: 13360–13410 kHz, 22.81–22.86 GHz, 136–148.5 GHz, 37.5–38.25 MHz, 23.07–23.12 GHz, 151.5–158.5 GHz,

322–328.6 MHz, 31.2–31.3 GHz, 209–226 GHz, 1330–1400 MHz, 36.43–36.5 GHz, 241–250 GHz, 1610.6–1613.8 MHz, 42.5–43.5 GHz, 252–275 GHz, 1660–1670 MHz, 48.94–49.04 GHz, 3260–3267 MHz, 76–81 GHz, 3332–3339 MHz, 95–100 GHz, 3345.8–3352.5 MHz, 102–109.5 GHz, 4825–4835 MHz, 111.8–114.25 GHz, 14.47–14.5 GHz, 128.33–128.59 GHz, 22.01–22.21 GHz, 129.23–129.49 GHz, 22.21–22.5 GHz, 130–134 GHz, are allocated, administrations are urged to take all practicable steps to protect the radio astronomy service from harmful interference. Emissions from spaceborne or airborne stations can be particularly serious sources of interference to the radio astronomy service (see Nos. 4.5 and 4.6 and Article 29 of the ITU Radio Regulations).

* * * * *

USxxx In the band 55.78–56.26 GHz, in order to protect stations in the Earth exploration-satellite service (passive), the maximum power density delivered by a transmitter to the antenna of a fixed service station is limited to -28.5 dB(W/MHz).

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 03–13780 Filed 6–2–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

48 CFR Part 206

[DFARS Case 2002–D023]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Follow-On Production Contracts for Products Developed Pursuant to Prototype Projects

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Proposed rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: DoD is proposing to amend the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to provide an exception from competition requirements to apply to contracts awarded under the authority of Section 822 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002. Section 822 provides for award of a follow-on production contract, without competition, to participants in an “other transaction” agreement for a prototype project, if the agreement was entered into through use of competitive procedures, provided for at least one-third non-Federal cost share, and meets certain other conditions of law.

DATES: Comments on the proposed rule should be submitted in writing to the address shown below on or before

August 4, 2003, to be considered in the formation of the final rule.

ADDRESSES: Respondents may submit comments directly on the World Wide Web at <http://emissary.acq.osd.mil/dar/dfars.nsf/pubcomm>. As an alternative, respondents may e-mail comments to: dfars@acq.osd.mil. Please cite DFARS Case 2002–D023 in the subject line of e-mailed comments.

Respondents that cannot submit comments using either of the above methods may submit comments to: Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, Attn: Ms. Susan L. Schneider, OUSD(AT&L)DPAP(DAR), IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062; facsimile (703) 602–0350. Please cite DFARS Case 2002–D023.

At the end of the comment period, interested parties may view public comments on the World Wide Web at <http://emissary.acq.osd.mil/dar/dfars.nsf>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Susan Schneider, (703) 602–0326.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

Section 845 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Pub. L. 103–160; 10 U.S.C. 2371 note) provides authority for DoD to enter into transactions other than contracts, grants, or cooperative agreements, in certain situations, for prototype projects that are directly relevant to weapons or weapon systems proposed to be acquired or developed by DoD. Such transactions are commonly referred to as “other transaction” (OT) agreements for prototype projects.

Section 822 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Pub. L. 107–107) permits award of a follow-on production contract, without competition, to participants in an OT agreement for a prototype project if—

- (1) The OT agreement provided for a follow-on production contract;
- (2) The OT agreement provided for at least one-third non-Federal cost share for the prototype project;
- (3) Competitive procedures were used for the selection of parties for participation in the OT agreement;
- (4) The participants in the OT agreement successfully completed the prototype project;
- (5) The number of units provided for in the follow-on production contract does not exceed the number of units specified in the OT agreement for such a follow-on production contract; and
- (6) The prices established in the follow-on production contract do not exceed the target prices specified in the OT agreement for such a follow-on production contract.

⁷ Medical telemetry equipment shall not cause harmful interference to radio astronomy operations in the band 608–614 MHz and shall be coordinated under the requirements found in 47 CFR 95.1119.

DoD published proposed amendments to the "Other Transactions" regulations at 32 CFR part 3 on May 20, 2003 (68 FR 27497), to implement Section 822. This proposed DFARS rule provides the corresponding exemption from competition requirements for follow-on production contracts awarded under the authority of Section 822.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

DoD does not expect this rule to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*, because the rule applies only to production contracts for DoD weapons and weapon systems. Such contracts typically are not awarded to small business concerns. Therefore, DoD has not performed an initial regulatory flexibility analysis. DoD invites comments from small businesses and other interested parties. DoD also will consider comments from small entities concerning the affected DFARS subpart in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Such comments should be submitted separately and should cite DFARS Case 2002-D023.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the rule does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 206

Government procurement.

Michele P. Peterson,

Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

Therefore, DoD proposes to amend 48 CFR part 206 as follows:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR part 206 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 41 U.S.C. 421 and 48 CFR Chapter 1.

PART 206—COMPETITION REQUIREMENTS

2. Section 206.001 is amended by adding, after paragraph (b), a new paragraph (S-70) to read as follows:

206.001 Applicability.

* * * * *

(S-70) Also excepted from this part are follow-on production contracts for products developed pursuant to the "other transactions" authority of 10

U.S.C. 2371 for prototype projects when—

(1) The other transaction agreement includes provisions for a follow-on production contract;

(2) The contracting officer receives sufficient information from the agreements officer and the project manager for the prototype other transaction agreement, which documents that the conditions set forth in 10 U.S.C. 2371 note, subsections (f)(2)(A) and (B) (see 32 CFR 3.9(c)), have been met; and

(3) The contracting officer establishes quantities and prices for the follow-on production contract that do not exceed the quantities and target prices established in the other transaction agreement.

[FR Doc. 03-13536 Filed 6-2-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

RIN 1018-A174

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Designation of Critical Habitat for *Arabis perstellata* (Braun's Rock-cress)

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule and notice of document availability.

SUMMARY: We, the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), determine that critical habitat is prudent and propose to designate critical habitat for the *Arabis perstellata* (Braun's rock-cress), an endangered species listed under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). We propose 20 specific geographic areas (units) in Kentucky (17 units) and Tennessee (3 units) as critical habitat for *Arabis perstellata*. These units encompass approximately 408 hectares (ha) (1,008 acres (ac)). Kentucky has approximately 328 ha (810 ac) and Tennessee has approximately 80 ha (198 ac) proposed as critical habitat for *Arabis perstellata*.

Critical habitat identifies specific areas that are essential to the conservation of a listed species, and that may require special management considerations or protection. If this proposal is made final, section 7(a)(2) of the Act requires that Federal agencies ensure that actions they fund, permit, or carry out are not likely to result in the destruction or adverse modification of

critical habitat. The regulatory effect of the critical habitat designation does not extend beyond those activities funded, permitted, or carried out by Federal agencies. State or private actions with no Federal involvement are not affected.

Section 4 of the Act requires us to consider the economic and other relevant impacts of specifying any area as critical habitat. We hereby solicit data and comments from the public on all aspects of this proposal, including data on the economic and other impacts of the designation. We have conducted an analysis of the economic impacts of designating these areas as critical habitat and are announcing its availability for public review. That economic analysis has been conducted in a manner that is consistent with the ruling of the 10th Circuit Court of Appeals in *N.M. Cattle Growers Ass'n v. USFWS*.

DATES: We will consider comments received by August 4, 2003. We must receive requests for public hearings, in writing, at the address shown in the **ADDRESSES** section by July 18, 2003.

ADDRESSES: If you wish to comment on this proposed rule and/or the draft economic analysis, you may submit your comments by any one of several methods:

1. You may submit written comments and information to the Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 446 Neal Street, Cookeville, TN 38501.

2. You may hand-deliver written comments to our Tennessee Field Office at the above address or fax your comments to 931/528-7075.

3. You may send comments by electronic mail (e-mail) to timothy_merritt@fws.gov. For directions on how to submit electronic filing of comments, see the "Public Comments Solicited" section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Timothy Merritt at the above address (telephone 931/528-6481, extension 211; facsimile 931/528-7075).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Public Comments Solicited

We intend that any final action resulting from this proposal be as accurate and as effective as possible. Therefore, we solicit comments or suggestions from the public, other concerned governmental agencies, the scientific community, industry, or any other interested party concerning this proposed rule and its associated draft economic analysis. We are particularly interested in comments concerning:

(1) The reasons why any habitat should or should not be determined to be critical habitat as provided by section