

subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)) and that imports from France, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, and Korea were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of September 15, 1999 (64 FR 50104). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on December 14, 1999, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on February 1, 2000. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3273 (January 2000), entitled *Certain Cut-to-length Steel Plate from France, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, and Korea: Investigations Nos. 701-TA-387-391 and 731-TA-816-821 (Final)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 2, 2000.

Donna R. Koehnke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-3016 Filed 2-9-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-419]

Certain Excimer Laser Systems for Vision Correction Surgery and Components Thereof and Methods for Performing Such Surgery; Notice of Commission Decision To Review Portions of an Initial Determination

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review-in-part the final initial determination ("ID") issued on December 6, 1999, by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") in the above-captioned investigation finding that there was no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Timothy P. Monaghan, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W.,

Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-3152. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on March 1, 1999, based on a complaint by VISX, Inc. ("VISX"), 64 Fed. Reg. 10016-17. The respondents named in the investigation are Nidek Co., Ltd., Nidek Inc., and Nidek Technologies, Inc. Complainant alleges importation and sale of certain excimer laser systems for vision correction surgery that infringe claims of U.S. Letters Patent Nos. 4,718,418 ("the '418 patent") and 5,711,762 ("the '762 patent"). An evidentiary hearing was held from August 18, 1999 to August 27, 1999.

On December 6, 1999, the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued her final ID finding that complainant VISX failed to establish the required domestic industry, that there was no infringement of any claim at issue, and that the '762 patent was invalid and unenforceable.

Having examined the record in this investigation, the final ID, the petitions for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined not to review the ID's findings with respect to the '418 patent. The Commission has determined to review the ID's findings with respect to the '762 patent. Review questions and a briefing schedule will be issued following the issuance of this notice.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and sections 210.45-210.51 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.45-210.51.

Copies of the public versions of the subject IDs, and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation, are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000.

Issued: February 2, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-3019 Filed 2-9-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-00-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-419]

Certain Excimer Laser Systems for Vision Correction Surgery and Components Thereof and Methods for Performing Such Surgery; Schedule for the Filing of Written Submissions on the Issues Under Review and on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding; Briefing Questions

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the schedule for filing written submissions on the issues under review in the above-captioned investigation in connection with the Commission's review-in-part of the final initial determination ("ID") issued on December 6, 1999, by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Timothy P. Monaghan, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3152. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on March 1, 1999, based on a complaint by VISX, Inc. ("VISX"), 64 FR 10016-17. The respondents named in the investigation are Nidek Co., Ltd., Nidek Inc., and Nidek Technologies, Inc. Complainant alleges importation and sale of certain excimer laser systems for vision correction surgery that infringe claims of U.S. Letters Patent Nos. 4,718,418 ("the '418 patent") and 5,711,762 ("the '762 patent"). An evidentiary hearing was held from August 18, 1999, to August 27, 1999.

On December 6, 1999, the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued her final ID finding that complainant VISX failed to establish the required domestic industry, that there was no infringement of any claim at issue, and that the '762 patent was invalid and unenforceable.

On February 2, 2000, the Commission determined not to review the ID's findings with respect to the '418 patent and determined to review all the ID's