

compounds, methods of making and purifying 2-guanidinylimidazolinodione compounds, and methods of using the 2-guanidinylimidazolinodione compounds to prevent, treat, or inhibit malaria in a subject.

**Luz D. Ortiz,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 04-4380 Filed 2-26-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

#### Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement/ Environmental Impact Report for the Santa Ana River Interceptor Protection/ Relocation Project, Reach 9, Orange County, Riverside County and San Bernardino County, CA

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The project area, Reach 9 of the Santa Ana River, includes the approximate 12 kilometer (7.4 mile) section of the River immediately downstream of Prado Dam ending at Weir Canyon Road in the City of Anaheim. The portion of the Santa Ana River Interceptor (SARI) sewage line that extends through this area is in potential jeopardy due to planned increases in flows from the Prado Dam. This segment will either need to be relocated out of the floodplain, or protected in place. Four general alternatives are being considered: (1) Relocate the pipeline to the North; (2) Relocate the pipeline to the South; (3) Protect the pipeline in place; or (4) No action. A combination of alternatives may also be considered.

**ADDRESSES:** Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, Ecosystem Planning Section, CESPL-PD-RN, P.O. Box 532711, Los Angeles, CA 90053-2325.

**DATES:** A public scoping meeting is scheduled for March 10, 2004 at 7 p.m. at the Yorba Linda Community Center, 4501 Casa Loma Ave., Yorba Linda, CA 92886.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John J. Killeen, Environmental Studies Manager, (213) 452-3861.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. *Authorization.* The study of potential flood control measures for the Santa Ana River Interceptor Relocation/ Protection Project is a part of the Santa Ana River Mainstream Project which

was initially authorized by Pub. L. 738, 74th Congress, June 22, 1936. Authorization of the recommended plan for the Santa Ana River Mainstream Project was the Water Resources Development Act of 1986.

2. *Background.* The Santa Ana River flows for more than 60 miles through San Bernardino and Riverside Counties which are undergoing extreme urbanization and continues into already heavily urbanized Orange County. The Prado Dam which was designed to protect Orange County does not currently provide sufficient flood protection because of the continual development in the upstream watershed, reduction of the basin storage capacity due to sediment deposition, and other factors. Ongoing improvements and modifications by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) to Prado Dam will result in a potential three-fold increase in outflow. The SARI project was constructed as a joint effort of the Orange County Sanitation District and the Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority (SAWPA) in 1973. The Reach 9 segment of the SARI Line (the subject portion of the Santa Ana River), originally deeply buried, is now threatened with structural damage because of exposure of the pipe caused by erosion. The ongoing erosion will be greatly exacerbated by the Prado Dam improvement Project. If the pipe is damaged, the untreated wastewater would be introduced into the Santa Ana River and ultimately onto the beaches and into the coastal waters. Downstream Treatment Plants No. 1 and No. 2 could possibly be damaged by sand and debris that would likely be introduced into the broken pipe.

3. *Proposed Action.* Protect in place or relocate the SARI line outside of the floodplain prior to completion of the Corps' Santa Ana River (Prado Dam) flood control project to prevent damages that would likely occur as a result of scouring by the water releases from Prado Dam.

#### 4. Alternatives.

a. *Alternative 1, No Action/No Project:* without the project, the SARI Line (the subject portion in Reach 9 of the Santa Ana River), originally deeply buried, will be threatened with structural damage because of exposure of the pipe caused by erosion.

b. *Alternative 2, Protect in Place:* Keep the existing SARI Line in use. Protect the existing line by the addition of grade stabilizers to control bed erosion and additional improvements to protect existing manholes. Project will include additional features as required to mitigate habitat and other environmental impacts. Maintenance

would be via access roads in the flood plain which were constructed in 2001. The access roads will also need occasional maintenance.

c. *Alternative 3, Relocation North of the River:* Replace the existing SARI Line between Weir Canyon Road and the Orange/Riverside County Lines with a pipeline on the north side of the River outside of the floodplain. Maintenance of the new portion of the SARI Line will be by way of the bike path beside La Palma Avenue and Yorba Linda and new Anaheim streets. The segment of pipeline within Riverside County would be protected in place.

d. *Alternative 4, Relocation to Edge of South Floodplain-One Yorba Linda Crossing:* Replace the existing SARI Line between the control gate structure east of the SAVI Ranch Development and the Riverside County Line with a new pipeline on the south side of the River. Build a new system to connect Yorba Linda flows to the SARI Line via a pipeline in the bike path beside La Palma Avenue and a siphon under the River near SAVI Ranch to the new SARI Line near the existing control gate structure. Maintenance of the relocated portion of the SARI Line will be by way of the bike path beside Highway 91 and Anaheim Streets. The segment of pipeline within Riverside County would be protected in place.

#### 5. Scoping Process.

a. Potential impacts associated with the proposed action will be evaluated. Resource categories that will be analyzed are: land use, physical environment, geology, biological resources, agricultural resources, air quality, ground water, recreational usage, aesthetics, cultural resources, transportation/communications, hazardous waste, socioeconomics and safety.

b. Participation of affected Federal, State and local resource agencies, native American groups and concerned interest groups/individuals is encouraged in the scoping process. Time and location of the Public Scoping meeting will also be announced by means of a letter, public announcements and news releases. Public participation will be especially important in defining the scope of analysis in the Environmental Impact Statement/Environment Impact Report (EIS/EIR), identifying significant environmental issues and impact analysis in the EIS/EIR and providing useful information such as published and unpublished data, personal knowledge of relevant issues and recommending mitigative measures associated with the proposed action. Those interested in providing information or data relevant to the

environmental or social impacts that should be included or considered in the environmental analysis can furnish this information by writing to the points of contact indicated above or by attending the public scoping meeting. A mailing list will also be established so pertinent data may be distributed to interested parties.

**Luz D. Ortiz,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 04-4382 Filed 2-26-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-KF-M

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed information collection requests.

**SUMMARY:** The Leader, Regulatory Information Management, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** An emergency review has been requested in accordance with the Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 3507(j)), since public harm is reasonably likely to result if normal clearance procedures are followed. Approval by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has been requested by February 24, 2004. A regular clearance process is also beginning. Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before April 27, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments regarding the emergency review should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Melanie Kadlic, Desk Officer: Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget; 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or should be electronically mailed to the Internet address [Melanie\\_Kadlic@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Melanie_Kadlic@omb.eop.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Director of OMB provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. The Office of management and Budget (OMB) may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or

substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes this notice containing proposed information collection requests at the beginning of the Departmental review of the information collection. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g., new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. ED invites public comment. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of this information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: February 23, 2004.

**Angela C Arrington,**

*Leader, Regulatory Information Management, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

### Office of Innovation and Improvement

*Type of Review:* New.

*Title:* DC School Choice Incentive Program.

*Abstract:* This Program provides low-income parents that reside in DC with expanded options for acquiring a high quality education for their children. To be eligible for scholarships, participating students are DC residents and their household income does not exceed 185% of the poverty line.

*Additional Information:* This request for an emergency clearance is to collect preliminary and time critical information from parents who may be interested in participating in the vitally important new initiative, the DC School Choice Incentive Program. This Program will give low-income parents in the District of Columbia more options for the education of their children and will provide the Nation with a unique opportunity to test and evaluate the impact of enhanced education choices. The statute calls for the Secretary of Education to carry out the Program in cooperation with the Mayor of the District of Columbia. The President and

Secretary of Education have identified this initiative as a top education priority. Both kicked off the Program at an event at Archbishop Carroll High School on Friday, February 13 when they described the potential positive impact of increasing parental choices for improved educational opportunities for their children.

Because of program was just enacted month, the Department of Education is conducting an expedited application process to award a grant by the end of March to an organization that will actually administer the scholarship program; however, the collection of certain eligibility and preference information (see attachment 1) now is critical to supporting the future grantee's work. The DC Mayor's Office will provide for the collection of this information and will make it available to the grantee as soon as the award is made. The grantee must confirm the eligibility of students, establish and conduct a lottery, and select and award the scholarships by June. All these are complex and time-consuming activities that will be greatly facilitated if we can collect the proposed information prior to the grant award. Because of the urgency of distributing this form and collecting information from interested participants, we are requesting OMB approval by February 24, 2004.

*Frequency:* Annually.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or household.

*Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:*

*Responses:* 3,000.

*Burden Hours:* 450.

Request for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 2466. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to Vivian Reese, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Room 4050, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202-4651 or in the e-mail address [vivan.reese@ed.gov](mailto:vivan.reese@ed.gov). Requests may also be electronically mailed to the internet address [OCIO\\_RIMG@ed.gov](mailto:OCIO_RIMG@ed.gov) or faxed to 202-708-9346. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements, contact Kathy Axt at her e-mail address: [Kathy.Axt@ed.gov](mailto:Kathy.Axt@ed.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal