

#### IV. Conclusions

In consideration of the above, the NRC staff finds that reasonable assurance exists that adequate funds will be available in the TMI-1 DTF to complete radiological decommissioning of the site and to terminate the TMI-1 license, with excess funding available to pay for spent fuel management (previously approved) and site restoration activities within the scope of the exemption request. There is no

decrease in safety associated with the DTF being used to fund activities associated with site restoration.

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a), the exemptions are authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and are consistent with the common defense and security. Also, special circumstances are present. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants CEG the exemptions from the

requirements of 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) and 10 CFR 50.75(h)(1)(iv) to allow CEG to use of a portion of the funds from the TMI-1 DTF for site restoration activities, without prior NRC notification.

The exemptions are effective upon issuance.

#### V. Availability of Documents

The documents identified in the following table are available to interested persons through ADAMS.

Document	ADAMS accession No.
Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1, Request for Exemption from 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) and 10 CFR 50.75(h)(1)(iv), dated 3/20/2021 .....	ML21140A311
Notification of Completion of License Transfer and Request to Continue Processing Pending NRC Actions Previously Requested by Exelon Generation Company, LLC, dated 2/1/2022 .....	ML22032A333
Three Mile Island, Unit 1, Certification of Permanent Cessation of Power Operations, dated 6/20/2017 .....	ML17171A151
Certification of Permanent Removal of Fuel from the Reactor Vessel for Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1, dated 9/26/2019 .....	ML19269E480
Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1—Post-Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report, dated 4/5/2019 .....	ML19095A041
Site-Specific Decommissioning Cost Estimate for Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1, dated 4/5/2019 .....	ML19095A010
Three Mile Island, Unit 1, Submittal of Spent Fuel Management Plan, dated 4/5/2019 .....	ML19095A009
Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1—Exemptions from the Requirements of 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) and 10 CFR 50.75(h)(1)(iv) (EPID L-2019-LLE-0009), dated 10/16/2019 .....	ML19259A175
Site-Specific Decommissioning Cost Estimate for Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1, dated 4/5/2019 .....	ML19095A010
Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1; Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Unit 1; and Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1—Report on Status of Decommissioning Funding for Shutdown Reactors, dated 3/23/22 .....	ML22082A227
Exelon Generation Co, LLC—Report on Status of Decommissioning Funding for Reactors and Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installations, dated 2/24/2021 .....	ML21055A776

Dated: June 9, 2022.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Ashley B. Roberts,**

Deputy Director, Division of  
Decommissioning, Uranium Recovery, and  
Waste Programs, Office of Nuclear Material  
Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2022-12863 Filed 6-14-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

filed with the Postal Regulatory  
Commission a *USPS Request to Add  
Priority Mail Contract 744 to  
Competitive Product List*. Documents  
are available at [www.prc.gov](http://www.prc.gov), Docket  
Nos. MC2022-64, CP2022-70.

**Sean Robinson,**

Attorney, Corporate and Postal Business Law.

[FR Doc. 2022-12913 Filed 6-14-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-12-P**

3642 and 3632(b)(3), on June 8, 2022, it  
filed with the Postal Regulatory  
Commission a *USPS Request to Add  
Priority Mail Contract 745 to  
Competitive Product List*. Documents  
are available at [www.prc.gov](http://www.prc.gov), Docket  
Nos. MC2022-65, CP2022-71.

**Sean Robinson,**

Attorney, Corporate and Postal Business Law.

[FR Doc. 2022-12912 Filed 6-14-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-12-P**

#### POSTAL SERVICE

##### Product Change—Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement

**AGENCY:** Postal Service™.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List.

**DATES:** *Date of required notice:* June 15, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Sean Robinson, 202-268-8405.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on June 7, 2022, it

#### POSTAL SERVICE

##### Product Change—Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement

**AGENCY:** Postal Service™.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List.

**DATES:** *Date of required notice:* June 15, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Sean Robinson, 202-268-8405.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C.

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-95018; File No. SR-FINRA-2021-024]

**Self-Regulatory Organizations;  
Financial Industry Regulatory  
Authority, Inc.; Order Approving a  
Proposed Rule Change To Amend  
FINRA Rule 2231 (Customer Account  
Statements), as Modified by  
Amendment No. 1**

##### Correction

In notice document 2022-12169, appearing on pages 34728-34736, in the issue of Tuesday, June 7, 2022, make the following correction:

On page 34728, in the first column, in the standard document heading, the

Agency document identification number that reads “[Release No. 34–95018; File No. SR–FINRA–2021–02]” is corrected to read “[Release No. 34–95018; File No. SR–FINRA–2021–024]”.

[FR Doc. C1–2022–12169 Filed 6–14–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 0099–10–D

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–95078; File No. SR–NSCC–2022–006]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; National Securities Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Stress Testing Framework and Liquidity Risk Management Framework

June 10, 2022.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on May 26, 2022, National Securities Clearing Corporation (“NSCC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the clearing agency. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change consists of amendments to the Clearing Agency Stress Testing Framework (Market Risk) (“ST Framework”) and the Clearing Agency Liquidity Risk Management Framework (“LRM Framework,” and together with the ST Framework, the “Frameworks”) of NSCC and its affiliates, The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”) and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation (“FICC,” and together with NSCC and DTC, the “Clearing Agencies”), as described below.

First, the proposed changes would amend both the ST Framework and the LRM Framework to move descriptions of the Clearing Agencies’ liquidity stress testing activities from the LRM Framework to the ST Framework. In connection with this proposed change, the Clearing Agencies are also proposing to recategorize the stress scenarios used for liquidity risk management, such that all such stress scenarios are described as

either regulatory or informational scenarios.

Second, the proposed changes would amend the ST Framework to (1) enhance stress testing for the Government Securities Division of FICC (“GSD”) to obtain certain data utilized in stress testing from external vendors and implement a back-up stress testing calculation that would be utilized in the event such data is not supplied by its vendors, and amend the ST Framework to reflect these practices for both GSD and the Mortgage-Backed Securities Division of FICC (“MBSD”); (2) reflect that a stress testing team is primarily responsible for the actions described in the ST Framework, and (3) make other revisions to update and clarify the statements in the ST Framework, as further described below.

Third, the proposed changes would amend the LRM Framework to update and clarify the statements in the LRM Framework, as further described below.

#### II. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the clearing agency included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The clearing agency has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

##### (A) Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

The Clearing Agencies adopted the ST Framework to set forth the manner in which they identify, measure, monitor, and manage their respective credit exposures to participants and those arising from their respective payment, clearing, and settlement processes by, for example, maintaining sufficient prefunded financial resources to cover its credit exposures to each participant fully with a high degree of confidence and testing the sufficiency of those prefunded financial resources through stress testing.<sup>3</sup> In this way, the ST Framework describes the stress testing activities of each of the Clearing Agencies and how the Clearing

Agencies meet the applicable requirements of Rule 17Ad–22(e)(4).<sup>4</sup>

The Clearing Agencies adopted the LRM Framework to set forth the manner in which they measure, monitor and manage the liquidity risks that arise in or are borne by each of the Clearing Agencies by, for example, (1) maintaining sufficient liquid resources to effect same-day settlement of payment obligations with a high degree of confidence under a wide range of foreseeable stress scenarios that includes, but is not limited to, the default of the participant family that would generate the largest aggregate payment obligation for the Clearing Agency in extreme but plausible market conditions, and (2) determining the amount and regularly testing the sufficiency of qualifying liquid resources by conducting stress testing of those resources.<sup>5</sup> In this way, the LRM Framework describes the liquidity risk management activities of each of the Clearing Agencies and how the Clearing Agencies meet the applicable requirements of Rule 17Ad–22(e)(7).<sup>6</sup>

The Clearing Agencies currently utilize vendor-supplied data in various aspects of the stress testing program for DTC, NSCC and MBSD. In 2020, in connection with enhancing stress testing for MBSD to utilize vendor-supplied data, FICC adopted changes to the MBSD Clearing Rules to describe the key components of the stress testing program.<sup>7</sup> These disclosures are redundant of the descriptions of stress testing in the ST Framework and create a potential risk of having inconsistent statements regarding the Clearing Agencies’ stress testing program.

The Clearing Agencies are proposing changes to the Frameworks, described below, that would (1) enhance GSD stress testing, (2) reorganize, update and clarify the statements and descriptions already set forth in the Frameworks and (3) move all descriptions of stress testing to the ST Framework. While the proposal would include certain enhancements to the GSD stress testing, the Clearing Agencies are not proposing any material changes to how they conduct stress testing, manage credit exposures and liquidity risks, or

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 240.17Ad–22(e)(4).

<sup>5</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 82377 (December 21, 2017), 82 FR 61617 (December 28, 2017) (File Nos. SR–DTC–2017–004; SR–FICC–2017–008; SR–NSCC–2017–005) (“Initial LRM Framework Filing”).

<sup>6</sup> 17 CFR 240.17Ad–22(e)(7).

<sup>7</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88382 (March 13, 2020), 85 FR 15830 (March 19, 2020) (SR–FICC–2020–801) (“MBSD Stress Testing Filing”).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

<sup>3</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 82368 (December 19, 2017), 82 FR 61082 (December 26, 2017) (SR–DTC–2017–005; SR–FICC–2017–009; SR–NSCC–2017–006) (“Initial ST Framework Filing”).