

of consenting to deportation carries with it unusual assistance to the administration of justice; the act of consenting to deportation, alone, would not constitute a circumstance that distinguishes a case as sufficiently atypical to warrant a downward departure), *with United States v. Smith*, 27 F.3d 649, 655 (D.C. Cir. 1994) (downward departure may be appropriate in a case in which the defendant's status as a deportable alien is likely to cause a fortuitous increase in the severity of his sentence).

(xi) Whether collateral consequences that a deportable alien may incur, such as likelihood of deportation, ineligibility for minimum security facilities and absence from family in Mexico, constitute a basis for downward departure. *Compare, e.g., United States v. Restrepo*, 999 F.2d 640, 647 (2d Cir. 1993) (erroneous to view deportation as so harsh as to warrant a reduction in the period of imprisonment prescribed by the Guidelines), *with United States v. Farouil*, 124 F.3d 838, 847 (7th Cir. 1997) (district court is free to consider whether status as a deportable alien has resulted in unusual or exceptional hardship in conditions of confinement).

(3) Criteria for Selecting Circuit Conflict Issues.—The Commission has developed the following set of criteria to guide its work in selecting, as policy priorities for any given amendment cycle, issues that involve conflicting interpretations of guideline language among the circuit courts:

#### Commission Policy Regarding Resolution of Guideline Circuit Conflicts

The United States Sentencing Commission will consider the following non-exhaustive list of factors in deciding whether a particular guideline circuit conflict warrants resolution by the Commission: Potential defendant impact; potential impact on sentencing disparity; number of court decisions involved in the conflict and variation in holdings; and ease of resolution, both as a discrete issue, and in the context of other agenda matters scheduled for consideration during the available amendment cycle.

#### Commentary

The Commission has the authority and responsibility periodically to amend previously issued guidelines, policy statements, or commentary for the purpose of addressing and resolving conflicting interpretations of *Guidelines Manual* language by the Federal courts, including conflicts among the courts of appeals. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 991(b)(1)(B), 994(o), (p); *Braxton v. United States*, 500 U.S. 344 (1991). The purposes of amendments of this nature include (1) promoting a more uniform body of guideline-related law, (2) reducing unwarranted sentencing disparity, and (3) in general, achieving more fully the purposes of

sentencing and the goals of the Sentencing Reform Act.

The Commission believes that resolution of outstanding circuit conflicts necessitates a balanced consideration of the factors set forth in this policy, along with other factors that may be relevant to a particular issue. In applying these criteria to particular issues, the Commission welcomes formal and informal communications from members of the criminal justice system and any other interested persons. Because of the press of other responsibilities, the Commission anticipates that, in any given year, it will be able to address successfully only a limited number of higher priority conflict issues."

The Commission invites public comment on these criteria, specifically regarding whether any additional criteria should be considered.

**Authority:** 28 U.S.C. § 994(a), (o), (p), (u); USSC Rules of Practice and Procedure 5.2.

**Diana E. Murphy,**  
*Chair.*

**Amendment:** Section 1B1.10(c) is amended by striking "and 516." and inserting "516, 591, 599, and 606."

**Reason for Amendment:** This amendment expands the listing in § 1B1.10(c) to implement the directive in 28 U.S.C. § 994(u) regarding guideline amendments that may be considered for retroactive application. Inclusion of an amendment in § 1B1.10(c) triggers a defendant's eligibility for consideration of a reduced sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), although such inclusion does not entitle a defendant to reduced sentence as a matter of right.

[FR Doc. 00-20780 Filed 8-15-00; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 2210-40-P

## DEPARTMENT OF STATE

### [Public Notice 3391]

#### Culturally Significant Objects Imported for Exhibition Determinations: "Faberge—Kremlin Objects"

**DEPARTMENT:** United States Department of State.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given of the following determinations: Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Act of October 19, 1965 (79 Stat. 985, 22 U.S.C. 2459), the Foreign Affairs Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998 (112 Stat. 2681, *et seq.*), Delegation of Authority No. 234 of October 1, 1999, and Delegation of Authority No. 236 of October 19, 1999, as amended, I hereby determine that the objects to be included in the exhibition "Faberge—Kremlin Objects," imported from abroad for the temporary exhibition without

profit within the United States, are of cultural significance. The objects are imported pursuant to a loan agreement with the foreign lender. I also determine that the exhibition or display of the exhibit objects at the Riverfront Arts Center in Wilmington, Delaware from on or about September 9, 2000 to on or about February 18, 2001, and possibly at an additional venue or venues yet to be determined is in the national interest. Public Notice of these Determinations is ordered to be published in the **Federal Register**.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information, including a list of the exhibit objects, contact Carol Epstein, Attorney-Adviser, Office of the Legal Adviser, U.S. Department of State (telephone: 202/619-6981). The address is U.S. Department of State, SA-44, 301 4th Street, SW., Room 700, Washington, DC 20547-0001.

Dated: August 8, 2000.

**William B. Bader,**

*Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State.*

[FR Doc. 00-20819 Filed 8-15-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-08-P

## DEPARTMENT OF STATE

### [Public Notice 3389]

#### Office of Defense Trade Controls; Notifications to the Congress of Proposed Commercial Export Licenses

**AGENCY:** Department of State.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the Department of State has forwarded the attached Notifications of Proposed Export Licenses to the Congress on the dates shown on the attachments pursuant to sections 36(c) and 36(d) and in compliance with section 36(e) of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2776).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** As shown on each of the 25 letters.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. William J. Lowell, Director, Office of Defense Trade Controls, Bureau of Political-Military Affairs, Department of State (202 663-2700).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 38(e) of the Arms Export Control Act mandates that notifications to the Congress pursuant to sections 36(c) and 36(d) must be published in the **Federal Register** when they are transmitted to Congress or as soon thereafter as practicable.