PIOC states that Nuevo requires a reliable and reasonably priced outlet for the gas produced at its Platform Habitat. PIOC submits that, now that Nuevo, a producer, owns the PIOC facilities, the primary function of the facilities is gathering. PIOC has submitted a primary function analysis supporting its claim that its facilities qualify as gathering facilities exempt from jurisdiction under Section 1(b) of the Natural Gas Act.

Any questions regarding the application should be directed to Fred Lindemann, Torch Operating Company, 1221 Lamar, Suite 1600, Houston, Texas 77010 at (713) 753–1368.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before September 8, 2000, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the National Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for PIOC to appear or be represented at the hearing.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–21601 Filed 8–23–00; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6717–01–M**

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. OR00-8-000]

Ultramar Diamond Shamrock Corporation and Ultramar Inc., Complainants v. SFPP, L.P., Respondent; Notice of Complaint

August 18, 2000.

Take notice that on August 17, 2000, pursuant to Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.206) and the Procedural Rules Applicable to Oil Pipeline Procedures (18 CFR 343.1(a)), Ultramar Diamond Shamrock Corporation and Ultramar Inc. (collectively referred to herein as Ultramar), tendered for filing a Complaint in the captioned proceeding. Ultramar alleges that SFPP, L.P. (SFPP) has violated and continues to violate the Interstate Commerce Act, 49 U.S.C. App 1 et seq. by charging unjust and unreasonable rates as it respects all of SFPP's jurisdictional interstate services associated with its East, West, North, and Oregon Lines as more fully set forth in the complaint.

Ultramar respectfully requests that the Commission: (1) Examine SFPP's challenged rates and charges for all its jurisdictional interstate services and declare that such rates and charges are unjust and unreasonable; (2) order refunds and/or reparations to Ultramar, including appropriate interest thereon, for the applicable refund and/or reparation periods to the extent the Commission finds that such rates and charges are unlawful; (3) determine just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory rates for all of SFPP's jurisdictional interstate services; (4) award Ultramar reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and (5) order such other relief as may be appropriate.

Ultramar states that it has served the Complaint on SFPP. Pursuant to Rule 343.4 of the Commission's Procedural Rules Applicable to Oil Pipeline Proceedings, SFPP's response to this Complaint is due within 30 days of the filing of the Complaint.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before September 7, 2000. Protests will be considered by the Commission to

determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance).

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.
[FR Doc. 00–21660 Filed 8–23–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EC00-124-000, et al.]

Hartford Power Sales, L.L.C., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

August 17, 2000.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Hartford Power Sales, L.L.C.

[Docket No. EC00-124-000]

Take notice that on August 14, 2000, Hartford Power Sales, L.L.C. filed an application for an order authorizing the proposed transfer of the Power Sales Agreement by and between HPS and The Connecticut Light and Power Company to Select Energy, Inc.

Comment date: September 13, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Casco Bay Energy Company, LLC, et al.

[Docket No. EC00-125-000]

Take notice that on August 14, 2000, Casco Bay Energy Company, LLC, Duke Energy Oakland, LLC, Duke Energy Trenton, LLC, Duke Energy South Bay, LLC, Duke Energy Morro Bay, LLC, and Duke Energy Moss Landing, LLC (collectively the Applicants), filed a request for approval of the disposition of jurisdictional assets that may result from the transfer of the Applicants' limited liability company membership interests among the Applicants' upstream affiliates.

Comment date: September 5, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.