

A Determination of Need is a logical first step in identifying general marketplace conditions. However, any MMS decision to conduct additional RIK eligible refiner sales will necessarily be predicated on the regulatory criteria of "access" and "equity," *i.e.*, whether a significant number of refiners have limited or no access to the marketplace and/or have experienced difficulty in negotiating a fair price for feeder stocks.

The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) requires us to inform you that this information is being collected by MMS under an approved information collection, OMB Control Number 1010-0119, titled "30 CFR Part 208—Sale of Federal Royalty Oil; Sale of Federal Royalty Gas; and Commercial Contracts." All correspondence, records, or information received, in response to this Notice and specifically in response to the questions listed above, are subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). All information provided will be made public unless the respondent identifies which portions are proprietary. Please highlight the proprietary portions, including any supporting documentation, or mark the page(s) that contain proprietary data. Proprietary information is protected by the Federal Oil and Gas Royalty Management Act of 1982 (30 U.S.C. 1733), FOIA (5 U.S.C. 552 (b)(4)), the Indian Minerals Development Act of 1982 (25 U.S.C. 2103), and Department regulations (43 CFR 2). An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. Public reporting burden is estimated to be 4 hours per response. Comments on the accuracy of this burden estimate, or suggestions on reducing this burden, should be directed to the Information Collection Clearance Officer, MMS, MS-4230, 1849 C Street, NW., Washington, DC 20240.

Dated: January 10, 2008.

**Lucy Querques Denett,**

*Associate Director for Minerals Revenue Management.*

[FR Doc. E8-624 Filed 1-15-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Proposed Information Collection Request of the ETA-9000, on Internal Fraud Activities Report; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Employment and Training Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addresses section of this notice or by accessing: <http://www.doleta.gov/OMBControlNumber.cfm>.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addresses section below on or before March 17, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Susan Hilliard, U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Office of Workforce Security, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Frances Perkins Bldg., Room S-4519, Washington, DC 20210, telephone number (202) 693-3068 (this is not a toll-free number) or by e-mail: [hilliard.susan@dol.gov](mailto:hilliard.susan@dol.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** I. The ETA-9000 is the only data source available on instances of internal fraud activities within the Unemployment Insurance (UI) program and the results of safeguards that have been implemented to deter and detect instances of internal fraud. The report categorizes the major areas susceptible to internal (employee) fraud and provides actual and "estimated" (predictability or cost avoidance measures) workload. The information from this report has been used and will be used to review Internal Security (IS) operations and obtain information on composite shifting patterns of nationwide activity and effectiveness in

the area of internal fraud identification and prevention. The Employment and Training Administration (ETA) has used this report to assess the overall adequacy of Internal Security procedures in States' UI programs.

#### II. Desired Focus of Comments:

Currently, the Employment and Training Administration, Office of Workforce Security is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the collection for the ETA-9000 Report on Internal Fraud Activities.

Comments are requested to:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. Current Actions: Continued collection of the ETA-9000 data will provide for a comprehensive evaluation of the UI Internal Security program. The data are collected annually, and an analysis of the data received is formulated into a report summarizing internal fraud cases uncovered by the 53 SWAs.

*Type of Review:* Extension without change.

*Agency:* Employment and Training Administration (ETA).

*Title:* ETA-9000, Report on Internal Fraud Activities.

*OMB Number:* 1205-0187.

*Agency Number:* ETA-9000.

*Affected Public:* 53 State governments.

*Total Respondents:* 53.

*Frequency:* Annually.

*Total Responses:* 53 States.

*Total Average Time per Response:* 3 hours.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 159 hours.

*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):* \$0.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintaining):* \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or

included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: January 9, 2008.

**Cheryl Atkinson,**

*Administrator, Office of Workforce Security.*

[FR Doc. E8-626 Filed 1-15-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FW-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,184, TA-W-62,184A]

**Mark Eyelet, Inc. Including On-Site Leased Workers of Jaci Carroll Staffing, Watertown, CT; Ozzi II, Inc. (DBA OC Eyelet) Including On-Site Leased Workers of Watertown, CT; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application dated December 7, 2007, a company official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on October 31, 2007 and published in the **Federal Register** on November 15, 2007 (72 FR 64247).

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the finding that imports of eyelet parts and miniature stamping did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firms and no shift of production to a foreign source occurred.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner provided additional information concerning subject firm's customers.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record and therefore the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

### Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 7th day of January, 2008.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E8-589 Filed 1-15-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,507]

**Chester Bednar Rental Realty, Washington, PA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application dated December 19, 2007, a company official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of Chester Bednar Rental Realty, Washington, Pennsylvania (subject firm) to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA). The determination was issued on December 11, 2007, and the Department's Notice of negative determination was published in the **Federal Register** on December 31, 2007 (72 FR 74344). The subject workers are engaged in buying, renting, repairing, and selling single family homes.

The TAA/ATAA petition was denied because the subject firm did not separate or threaten to separate a significant number or proportion of workers as required by Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. Significant number or proportion of the workers in a firm or appropriate subdivision means at least three workers in a workforce of fewer than 50 workers, five percent of the workers in a workforce of over 50 workers, or at least 50 workers.

In the request for reconsideration, the company official implied that the subject firm had "cash employees." The request for reconsideration did not provide any documentation to support the position that the subject firm had more than three employees.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation.

### Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 8th day of January 2008.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E8-594 Filed 1-15-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-60,252; TA-W-60,252F; TA-W-60,252G]

**Shogren Hosiery Manufacturing Co., Inc., Including Leased Workers of Corestaff, Concord, NC; Including Employees of Shogren Hosiery Manufacturing Co., Inc., Concord, NC, Located at the Following Locations: Staten Island, NY and New York, NY; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on November 16, 2006, applicable to workers of Shogren Hosiery Manufacturing Co., Inc., including leased workers of Corestaff, Concord, North Carolina. The notice was published soon in the **Federal Register** on November 28, 2006 (71 FR 68840).

At the request of a company official, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm.

New information shows that worker separations have occurred involving employees of the Concord, North Carolina facility of Shogren Hosiery Manufacturing Co., Inc., controlled out of the Concord facility but working from locations in Staten Island, New York and New York, New York. These employees provided customer liaison and sales functions in support of the production of women's hosiery and tights produced at the Concord, North Carolina location of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include employees of the Concord, North Carolina facility of Shogren Hosiery Manufacturing Co., Inc. working out of the above mentioned locations.