

substantive, unopposed, and non-prejudicial. No petitions for review of the subject ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The complaint and NOI are amended to (i) add allegations of trade secret misappropriation and wrongful use and exploitation of proprietary information against Impak and Jiangsu Tubo, (ii) add TOBO Group as a new respondent, and (iii) update the addresses for Jiangsu Tubo and JGT Live to reflect where service was effected. The complaint is also amended to reflect “DuPont’s supplementation on October 29, 2024 (submitting Ex. 92; referencing Ex. 92 in paragraph 7, correcting a few citations)” and DuPont’s requested “minor typographical corrections to addresses of certain [r]espondents” and “updating [of] counsel of record.”

The Commission vote for this determination took place on May 13, 2025.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 14, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–08887 Filed 5–16–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1448]

Certain Video-Capable Laptop, Desktop Computers, Handheld Computers, Tablets, Televisions, Projectors, and Components and Modules Thereof; Notice of Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on April 11, 2025, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Nokia Technologies Oy of Finland and Nokia Corporation of Finland. Supplements to the complaint were filed on April 21, April 24 and May 2, 2025. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for

importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain video-capable laptop, desktop computers, handheld computers, tablets, televisions, projectors, and components and modules thereof by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,036,701 (“the ‘701 patent’”); U.S. Patent No. 10,536,714 (“the ‘714 patent’”); U.S. Patent No. 11,805,267 (“the ‘267 patent’”); and U.S. Patent No. 8,050,321 (“the ‘321 patent’”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2025).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 13, 2025, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1,

3–4, 6–8, 10–11, 13–15, 17–18, and 20 of the ‘701 patent; claims 1–5, 7–12, 14–19, 21–26, and 28–30 of the ‘714 patent; claims 1–36 of the ‘267 patent; and claims 8–11 of the ‘321 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “video-capable laptop computers, desktop computers, handheld computers, tablets, televisions, projectors, and components and modules thereof”;

(3) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties or other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(4) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

Nokia Technologies Oy, Karakaari 7, FIN–02610, Espoo, Finland
Nokia Corporation, Karakaari 7, FIN–02610, Espoo, Finland

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Acer America Corporation, 1730 N First St., Suite 400, San Jose, CA 95112
Acer Inc., Taipei, 1F, 88, Sec. 1, Xintai 5th Rd., Xizhi, Taiwan
ASUSTeK Computer Inc., No. 15, Li-Te Rd., Taipei City, 11259 Taiwan
ASUS Computer International, 48720 Kato Rd., Fremont, CA 94538
Hisense Co., Ltd., Hisense Tower No. 17, Donghaixi Road, Qingdao, Shandong Province, 266071, China
Hisense USA Corporation, 7310 McGinnis Ferry Road, Suwanee, GA 30024
Hisense Electronics Manufacturing Company of America Corporation, 7310 McGinnis Ferry Road, Suwanee, GA 30024

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(5) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 13, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025-08830 Filed 5-16-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled "Certain Ink Cartridges and Components Thereof I, DN 3827;" the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or complainant's filing pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa R. Barton, Secretary to the Commission,

U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at United States International Trade Commission (USITC) at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to § 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of Epson Portland Inc.; Epson America, Inc.; and Seiko Epson Corporation on May 13, 2025. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain ink cartridges and components thereof I. The complaint names as respondents: Dongguan Ocbestjet Digital Technology Co., Ltd. d/b/a Ocbestjet of China; Ocbestjet Printer Consumables (HK) Co., Ltd. d/b/a Ocbestjet of China; Tatrix International China Co., Ltd. of China; Luozhi Trading Co., Ltd. of China; Shenzhen Hongxinyuan E-Commerce Co., Ltd. d/b/a Jianjai of China; Shenzhen Kaizhen Technology Co., Ltd. d/b/a PayForLess of China; Zhuhai Zhenyang Electronics Co., Ltd. d/b/a Oinkwere of China; Shangrao Shixuan E-Commerce Co., Ltd. d/b/a Inkgo of China; Zhuhai Hengyunda Electronics Co., Ltd. d/b/a Upriin of China; Zhuhai Rongtaida Electronics Co., Ltd. d/b/a Hookink of China; Zhuhai Shi Wei Tai Electronics Co., Ltd. d/b/a Ondula-A of China; Zhuhai Yixing Electronics Co., Ltd. d/b/a Greenjob USSOP of China; Mei Jin Technology HK Co., Limited d/b/a YBFair of China; ZhuHai MeiJiAn Trading Co., Ltd. d/b/a HaloFox of China; Qiong Wang d/b/a 7-magic of China; Shen Zhen Sailing Technology Limited d/b/a Triple-Color of China; Zhuhai Shuofeng E-commerce Co., Ltd. d/b/a super-ink-club of China; Zhuhai Bowang Technology Co., Ltd. d/b/a office-print-club of China; Mountain

Peak, Inc. d/b/a/Billiontree Technology USA, Inc. d/b/a Toner Kingdom of China; and Straightouttink, LP d/b/a discountinkllc d/b/a einkshop2014 d/b/a Inkpro d/b/a inkprousa of China. The complainant requests that the Commission issue a general exclusion order, or, alternatively, a limited exclusion order, and cease and desist orders.

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, members of the public, and interested government agencies are invited to file comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or § 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States;

(ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the requested remedial orders;

(iii) identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the requested exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) explain how the requested remedial orders would impact United States consumers.

Written submissions on the public interest must be filed no later than by close of business, eight calendar days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation. Any written submissions on other issues must also be filed by no later than the close of business, eight calendar days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Complainant may file replies to any written submissions no later than three calendar days after the date on which any initial submissions