

**List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 922**

Apricots, Marketing agreements, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**PART 922—APRICOTS GROWN IN DESIGNATED COUNTIES IN WASHINGTON**

■ Accordingly, the interim final rule amending 7 CFR part 922, which was published at 74 FR 37496 on July 29, 2009, is adopted as a final rule without change.

Dated: October 9, 2009.

**Rayne Pegg,**

*Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. E9-25121 Filed 10-16-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-02-P**

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION****10 CFR Part 50**

**RIN 3150-AI53**

**[NRC-2008-0663]**

**Industry Codes and Standards; Amended Requirements; Confirmation of Effective Date**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Direct final rule: Confirmation of effective date.

**SUMMARY:** The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is confirming the effective date of October 19, 2009, for the direct final rule that was published in the **Federal Register** on August 5, 2009. This direct final rule amended the NRC's regulations on governing vessel head inspection requirements. This amendment revised the upper range of the percentage of axial flaws permitted in a specimen set used for the qualification of nondestructive examination systems (procedures, personnel and equipment), which are used in the performance of inservice inspection (ISI) of pressurized water reactor (PWR) upper vessel head penetrations. This amendment was made as a result of the withdrawal of a stakeholder's recommendation necessitated by a typographical error in the original recommendation with respect to the maximum percentage of flaws that should be oriented axially.

**DATES:** The effective date of October 19, 2009, is confirmed for the direct final rule published August 5, 2009 (74 FR 38890).

**ADDRESSES:** Documents related to this rulemaking, including comments

received, may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, Room O-1F23, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Manash K. Bagchi, Project Manager, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, telephone 301-415-2905, e-mail [manash.bagchi@nrc.gov](mailto:manash.bagchi@nrc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On August 5, 2009 (74 FR 38890), the NRC published in the **Federal Register** a direct final rule amending its regulations in 10 CFR Part 50 governing vessel head inspection requirements. This amendment revises the upper range of the percentage of axial flaws from 40 percent to 60 percent permitted in a specimen set used for the qualification of nondestructive examination systems (procedures, personnel and equipment), which are used in the performance of ISI of PWR upper vessel head penetrations. This amendment is being made as a result of the withdrawal of a stakeholder's recommendation necessitated by a typographical error in the original recommendation with respect to the maximum percentage of flaws that should be oriented axially. In the direct final rule, NRC stated that if no significant adverse comments were received, the direct final rule would become final on October 19, 2009. The NRC did not receive any comments that warranted withdrawal of the direct final rule. Therefore, this rule will become effective as scheduled.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 13th day of October, 2009.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Michael T. Lesar,**

*Chief, Rulemaking and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration.*

[FR Doc. E9-25049 Filed 10-16-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 71**

**[Docket No. FAA-2009-0504; Airspace Docket No. 09-AGL-7]**

**Amendment of Class E Airspace; Tioga, ND**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action amends Class E airspace at Tioga, ND. Additional controlled airspace is necessary to accommodate Area Navigation (RNAV) Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAP) at Tioga Municipal Airport, Tioga, ND. This action also amends the geographic coordinates of Tioga Municipal Airport. The FAA is taking this action to enhance the safety and management of Instrument Flight Rule (IFR) operations at Tioga Municipal Airport.

**DATES:** Effective 0901 UTC, December 17, 2009. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under 1 CFR Part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order 7400.9 and publication of conforming amendments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Scott Enander, Central Service Center, Operations Support Group, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Fort Worth, TX 76137; telephone (817) 321-7716.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****History**

On July 31, 2009, the FAA published in the **Federal Register** a notice of proposed rulemaking to amend Class E airspace at Tioga, ND, reconfiguring controlled airspace at Tioga Municipal Airport, Tioga, ND. (74 FR 38142, Docket No. FAA-2009-0504). Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking effort by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments were received. Class E airspace designations are published in paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9T signed August 27, 2009, and effective September 15, 2009, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR Part 71.1. The Class E airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

**The Rule**

This action amends Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 71 by amending Class E airspace at Tioga, ND, adding additional controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface at Tioga Municipal Airport, Tioga, ND, for the safety and management of IFR operations. This action also amends the geographic coordinates of Tioga Municipal Airport.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this regulation: (1) Is