

format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the program contact person listed in the preceding paragraph.

Electronic Access to This Document

You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: www.ed.gov/legislation/FedRegister.

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1-888-293-6498; or in the Washington, DC, area at (202) 512-1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: <http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/index.html>.

Program Authority: 29 U.S.C. 796f.

Dated: June 7, 2002.

Robert H. Pasternack,

Assistant Secretary for Special Education and Rehabilitative Services.

[FR Doc. 02-14866 Filed 6-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. RP00-331-003 and RP01-23-005]

Algonquin Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Compliance Filing

June 6, 2002.

Take notice that on May 29, 2002, Algonquin Gas Transmission Company (Algonquin) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 1, the revised tariff sheets listed in the Appendices to the filing.

Algonquin states that the purpose of this filing is to comply with the Commission's February 27, 2002 Order on Algonquin's Order No. 637 Compliance Filing.

Algonquin states that copies of its filing have been mailed to all parties on the official service lists compiled by the Secretary of the Commission in these proceedings.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section

385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before June 13, 2002. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-14883 Filed 6-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL02-87-000]

Cities of Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton, and Riverside, California and City of Vernon, California v. California Independent System Operator Corporation; Notice of Filing

June 7, 2002.

Take notice that on May 17, 2002, the Cities of Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton, and Riverside, California (Southern Cities) and the City of Vernon, California (Vernon) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) a Petition for Review of Arbitrator's Award, pursuant to Rule 207 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.207, and Section 13.4 of the California Independent System Operator Corporation's (ISO) Tariff. The petition states that the Southern Cities and Vernon are requesting review of the "Award of Arbitrator" issued on May 1, 2002, in American Arbitration Association (AAA) Case No. 71 198 00758 00.

The Southern Cities and Vernon state that their filing has been served upon all parties to the arbitration and the Arbitrator through his designated representative at the AAA.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211

and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date, and, to the extent applicable, must be served on the applicant and on any other person designated on the official service list. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. *Comment Date:* June 14, 2002.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-14915 Filed 6-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP02-356-000]

Canyon Creek Compression Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

June 6, 2002.

Take notice that on May 31, 2002, Canyon Creek Compression Company (Canyon) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, certain tariff sheets to be effective July 1, 2002. Assuming the ordinary suspension period, these sheets will become effective December 1, 2002.

Canyon states that the purpose of this filing is to implement a general rate increase. Canyon is submitting two alternative cases. The primary case includes a cost-of-service tracking mechanism. The alternate case, a more traditional rate derivation, results in higher rates than the initial rates under the primary case. While both cases represent a rate increase, both also incorporate a decrease in cost of service from that underlying Canyon's currently effective rates. Canyon has also proposed other tariff changes, including elimination of provisions for crediting interruptible revenue.