textile safeguard quotas, and textile quotas on non-WTO countries without agreements in place for 2005. (See 69 FR 72181). That notice referred to a previous notice, published on June 25, 2004, which reminded the public that CITA has the right to permanently deny, or to stage entry of overshipments of textile quotas. (See 69 FR 35586). This notice is to inform the public that overshipments of merchandise subject to any China textile safeguard limits shall be subject to delayed and staged entry, in a manner similar to the procedure explained in the December 13, 2004 notice and letter. In the absence of bilateral agreement with the Government of the People's Republic of China establishing limits beyond the expiration date of safeguard quotas, any overshipments of those quotas shall be subject to the following procedure:

1.) Entry will not be allowed until one month after the expiration date

of the safeguard quota.

2.) At that time, only 5 percent of the base limit will be allowed entry for a one month period beginning on that date.

3) An additional 5 percent will be allowed entry monthly until all overshipments are allowed entry.

A textile safeguard limit on socks from China in Categories 332/432/ 632part has been in place since October 29, 2004, and extends through October 28, 2005. (See 69 FR 63371). Any overshipments of this limit shall be subject to delayed and staged entry as described above, and as provided specifically in the accompanying directive to the Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection. The base limit for the socks quota for October 29, 2004-October 28, 2005 can be found on the web at http:// otexa.ita.doc.gov/under "Summary of Agreements.'

#### James C. Leonard III,

Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

## Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

April 21, 2005.

Commissioner of Customs, Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: This directive provides instructions on permitting entry to goods shipped in excess of the China textile safeguard limit on socks in categories 332/432/632part, which covers goods exported from China during the October 29, 2004 - October 28, 2005 period. For all shipments exported from China that exceed that limit, you are directed to deny entry until November 29, 2005, subject to the following procedure. From November 29 through December 28, 2005, you are directed to

permit entry to goods in an amount equal to 5 percent of the applicable base safeguard limit. For each succeeding period, beginning on the 29th of the month, and extending through the 28th of the following month, to permit entry to goods in an amount equal to 5 percent of the applicable base safeguard limit, until all shipments in excess of the safeguard limit have been entered.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that this action falls within the foreign affairs exception to the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,
James C. Leonard III,
Chairman, Committee for the
Implementation of Textile Agreements.
[FR Doc. E5–1976 Filed 4–25–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# Department of the Air Force HQ USAF Scientific Advisory Board

**AGENCY:** Department of the Air Force, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Public Law 92–463, notice is hereby given of the forthcoming meeting of the Air Force Scientific Advisory Board Domain Integration Study. The purpose of this meeting is to gather information pertinent to the Domain Integration Study and to caucus regarding findings and recommendations. Because classified and contractor-proprietary information will be discussed, this meeting will be closed to the public.

DATES: 10–13 May 2005. ADDRESSES: Rosslyn, VA.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Major Kyle Gresham, Air Force Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat, 1180 Air Force Pentagon, Rm 5D982, Washington DC 20330–1180, (703) 697– 4808.

#### Albert Bodnar,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 05–8267 Filed 4–25–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–05–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

## Department of the Army

Availability of the Final Draft Environmental Assessment for the Construction of a Building Addition To Accommodate the U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command Information Dominance Center

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Army Intelligence and Security Command has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) of the potential environmental consequences of constructing a new U.S. Army Intelligence and Security **Command Information Dominance** Center (IDC) building addition at a previously developed site on Fort Belvoir, VA. This Environmental Assessment has been prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA); the Council on **Environmental Quality regulations** implementing the NEPA; Department of Defense (DoD) Directive 6050.1; Environmental Effects in the United States of DoD Actions; and instructions implementing NEPA.

The U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command (INSCOM) has identified a requirement to construct a building addition to accommodate its IDC. Construction and operation of the IDC facility conforms to Fort Belvoir's current Master Planning strategy. HQ INSCOM and Fort Belvoir have thoroughly reviewed the Proposed Action, Action Alternatives, a No Action Alternative to the Proposed Action, and environmental consequences associated with each. Based on this review and consideration of all relevant factors, HQ INSCOM and Fort Belvoir have determined and concluded that the Proposed Action will not have direct, indirect, or cumulatively significant impacts on the surrounding human environment. An Environmental Impact Statement, therefore, is not required and will not be prepared. HQ INSCOM and Fort Belvoir will consider public comment and concerns prior to making a final determination to proceed with the proposed action.

INSCOM evaluated six alternatives to meet requirements for an expanded IDC facility. These alternatives included: a no action alternative (status quo); renovation of existing HQ INSCOM facilities; renovation of other government facilities; lease of off-post facilities in the general vicinity of Fort Belvoir; construction of new facilities on Fort Belvoir; and construction of an addition to existing HQ INSCOM facilities on Fort Belvoir. Several possible locations were considered in this evaluation, with each alternative being evaluated for mission support and economic, environmental, and security considerations. It is anticipated that construction will begin in Fiscal Year (FY) 2008. Project completion is anticipated in FY2010.

**DATES:** The agency must receive comments on or before May 26, 2005.

ADDRESSES: For additional information or to submit written comments, contact Commander, USAINSCOM, 8825 Beulah Street, Building 2444, Attn: IALO-E, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Susan Roeder, Environmental Protection Specialist, (703) 428–4520, e-mail: smroede@inscom.army.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The intent of this project is to provide HQINSCOM with increased space that supports expanding intelligence missions and associated personnel increases, particularly for the IDC. the proposed IDC project provides a multi-story, multi-purpose, 233,000 gross square foot facility, to include all required mechanical, electrical, fire protection, redundant power and information systems. It will also include the installation of physical security and access control systems. A unique requirement of the IDC is its need for larger, open work areas which must also allow the flexibility of periodic reconfiguration. The typical, economical structural design of a 30 foot by 30 foot structural column grid is inadequate for the IDC. A minimum 30' by 45' grid is required. Other key components of this facility include the following: secure facility space; specialized operations space; special equipment storage; classrooms; server room; wellness room and showers; cafeteria; mechanical/ utility rooms; bathrooms; training area; storage areas; library; office space; and administrative support areas. Other required support facilities to be built include walks, curbs and gutters, surface parking, site improvements, HVAC, and power generation equipment.

The IDC Environmental Assessment is available for public review at Directorate of Installation Support, Environmental and Natural Resource Division (9430 Jackson Loop, Suite 107, Fort Belvoir, VA). It is also available at the following four Fairfax County Public Library local branches: John Marshall Branch (6209 Rose Hill Drive, Alexandria, VA); Lorton Branch (9520 Richmond Hwy., Lorton, VA); Sherwood Hall Branch (2501 Sherwood Hall Lane, Alexandria, VA); and Kingstowne Branch (6500 Landsdowne Centre, Alexandria, VA). A copy of this notice and the Environmental Assessment cab be viewed on the World Wide Web at http:// www.inscom.army.mil. Interested parties are invited to submit written comments for consideration during the 30-day public comment period which closes on the date specified in DATES

above. The proposed action will not be implemented before this date.

Dated: April 19, 2005.

#### Thomas J. Bovle,

Colonel, GS, Assistant Chief of Staff, G-4. [FR Doc. 05–8284 Filed 4–25–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### **Department of the Army**

#### Publication of Families First Business Rules

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Military Surface Deployment and Distribution Command (SDDC), as the Department of Defense (DOD) Traffic Manager for the Household Goods and Personal Property Program, is announcing the publication of the Families First Business Rules for review and comment.

This announcement is being made to afford the public an opportunity to review and comment on the business rules changes before commencing Families First. The business rules will be posted to the SDDC Web site located at <a href="http://www.sddc.army.mil">http://www.sddc.army.mil</a>, under Families First. The site offers industry access to updates on the business rules and information on the various Phases of the program.

**DATES:** The business rules were released on April 21, 2005, and posted to the SDDC Web site on April 22, 2005. comments must be submitted on or before May 26, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be sent by e-mail to the following address: http://www.sddc.army.mil/frontDoor/0,1865,OID=4--7319-13197--13197--00.html or by courier to: Headquarters, Surface Deployment and Distribution Command, Attn: SDPP-PD, Room 10N35-29 (Judith Tarbox), Hoffman Building II, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332-5000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Judith Tarbox at (703) 428–3004 or email at *TarboxJudith@sddc.army.mil*.

## **Regulation Flexibility Act**

This action is not considered rule making within the meaning of Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 USC 601–612.

## **Paperwork Reduction Act**

The Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 USC 3051 *et seq.*, does not apply because no information collection or record keeping requirements are

imposed on contractors, offerors or member of the public.

#### Thomas G. Keller,

Col, USAF, DCS, Passenger and Personal Property.

[FR Doc. 05–8282 Filed 4–25–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the Brevard County (Mid-Reach) Shore Protection Project Located in Brevard County, FL

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Jacksonville District, intends to prepare a Draft Supplemental **Environmental Impact Statement** (DSEIS) for the Brevard County (Mid-Reach) Shore Protection Feasibility Study. The study will focus on 7.6 miles of developed shoreline, also referred to as the "Mid-Reach", from the south end of Patrick Air Force Base to just north of Indialantic. Ongoing erosion problems are endangering the shoreline infrastructure within the study area. In cooperation with Brevard County, the study will evaluate alternative solutions that will maximize shore protection while minimizing environmental impacts.

**ADDRESSES:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Planning Division, Environmental Branch, P.O. Box 4970, Jacksonville, FL 32232–0019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Paul E. Stodola, by e-mail

Paul.E.Stodola@saj02.usace.army.mil or by telephone at (904) 232–3271.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: a.

Proposed Action. The Brevard County, FL, (Mid-Reach) Shore Protection Feasibility Study was authorized by Section 418 of the Water Resources and Development act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106-541). The entire 7.6-mile length of the Mid-Reach shoreline has been critically eroded with 62% of its oceanfront development anticipated to be lost to storm damage during the next 50 years. Placement of beach quality sand from various sources along the Mid-Reach will be evaluated with the intent of providing the greatest opportunity of shoreline protection. Environmental considerations will be addressed in a DSEIS, which will supplement the