Signed at Washington, DC, this 14th day of July, 2003.

#### Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03–18815 Filed 7–23–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-50,915]

## TechBooks, York, PA; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By application of May 7, 2003, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on March 31, 2003, based on the finding that the petitioning workers did not produce an article within the meaning of section 222(3) of the Act. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 11, 2003 (68 FR 17831).

To support the request for reconsideration, the petitioner supplied additional information to supplement that which was gathered during the initial investigation. Upon further review, including an examination of the materials provided by the petitioner, it was established that the petitioning workers did produce a product.

In addition, it was revealed that the company shifted pre-press produced by the subject firm workers to a foreign source, and shipped the product back to the United States during the relevant period.

### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at TechBooks, York, Pennsylvania, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of TechBooks, York, Pennsylvania, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 11, 2002 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974. Signed in Washington, DC, this 11th day of July, 2003.

## Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 03–18820 Filed 7–23–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-51,599]

# Teksystems Workers Employed at Honeywell, Incorporated Advanced Circuits Division, Minnetonka, MN, Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on March 6, 2003 in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at TekSystems employed at Honeywell, Incorporated, Advanced Circuits Division, Minnetonka, Minnesota.

An active certification covering the petitioning group of workers is already in effect (TA–W–39,281, as amended). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 9th day of July 2003.

#### Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03–18827 Filed 7–23–03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,468]

## Textron, Cushman, Inc., Lincoln, NE; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter postmarked March 26, 2003, the Paper, Allied-Industrial, Chemical and Energy International Workers Union, Local 5–0907, requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on February 3, 2003, based on the finding that imports of mini trucks, three wheel scooters, turf care application products, etc., did not contribute importantly to

worker separations at the subject plant. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 24, 2003 (68 FR 8619).

To support the request for reconsideration, the union supplied additional information to supplement that which was gathered during the initial investigation.

Upon further review and contact with the company, it was revealed that the company increased their imports of components competitive with those produced by the subject firm during the relevant period, contributing to the layoffs at the subject firm. The workers are not separately identifiable by product line.

### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at Textron, Cushman, Inc., Lincoln, Nebraska, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Textron, Cushman, Inc., Lincoln, Nebraska, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 30, 2001 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 16th day of July, 2003.

#### Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03–18821 Filed 7–23–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

# NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

# Renewal of Advisory Committee on Preservation

This notice is published in accordance with the provisions of section 9(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, 5 U.S.C. App.) and advises of the renewal of the National Archives and Records Administration's (NARA) Advisory Committee on Preservation for a two-year period. In accordance with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A–135, OMB has approved the inclusion of the Advisory Committee on Preservation in NARA's ceiling of discretionary advisory committees.