

Upon request, all nonconfidential documents filed or issued in the investigation or the exclusion order modification proceeding will be made available for public inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Commission's Office of the Secretary, Dockets Branch, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-1802.

In addition, the Final Determination and Commission Order effecting the modification and all nonconfidential documents filed or issued in the modification proceeding are available for inspection on the Commission's Web site. To access them, go to the "ITC RESOURCE PAGE," and then click on "EDIS On-Line for Public File Room."

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 20, 2002.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-7404 Filed 3-27-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-457]

In the Matter of Certain Polyethylene Terephthalate Yarn and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination To Review in Part an Order Granting-in-Part and Denying-in-Part a Motion for Summary Determination of Invalidity and Non-Infringement of the Only Patent at Issue in the Investigation; Determination To Grant Two Motions To Strike Exhibits

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review in part an order (Order No. 61) issued on February 4, 2002, by the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) in the above-captioned investigation granting-in-part and denying-in-part a motion for summary determination of invalidity and non-infringement of the only patent at issue in the investigation. The Commission has determined to review only the issue of indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. The Commission has also determined to grant two motions to strike certain exhibits attached to pleadings filed in connection with Order No. 61.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean Jackson, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade

Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3104. Copies of the public version of Order No. 61 and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TTD terminal on 202-205-1810. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public>. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server, <http://www.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this patent-based investigation, which concerns allegations of unfair acts in violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation and sale of certain polyethylene terephthalate yarn and products containing same, on May 17, 2001. 66 FR 27586. The complainant, Honeywell International Inc. of Morris town, New Jersey named Hyosung Corp. of Seoul, Korea as the only respondent. On September 21, 2001, the Commission determined not to review an ID adding Hyosung America, Inc., a wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary of Hyosung Corp., as a respondent.

On December 13, 2001, respondent Hyosung moved for summary determination of patent invalidity and non-infringement. The motion was opposed by Honeywell and supported by the Commission investigative attorney. On February 4, 2002, the ALJ issued an order, Order No. 61, which granted Hyosung's motion for summary determination of non-infringement, but denied the motion as to patent invalidity. Honeywell filed a petition for review of the initial determination portion of the order on February 19, 2002. Hyosung and the Commission investigative attorney (IA) filed appeals of the portion of the order denying summary determination on the same date. Each of these parties filed responses to the February 19, 2002, filings on February 26, 2002.

Although the Commission has determined to review the issue of definiteness under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, it does not wish to receive any further written submissions.

On February 25, 2002, Hyosung moved to strike certain documents that were attached to Honeywell's response to the appeals of the order on the ground that the documents were not before the ALJ when he decided the motion for summary determination. On March 1, 2002, Honeywell opposed the motion. On February 28, 2002, Hyosung moved to strike a document that was attached to Honeywell's response to Hyosung's and the IA's petitions for review on the ground that the document was not of record. This motion was opposed by Honeywell on March 7, 2002. Both motions to strike were supported by the IA on March 7, 2002.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 190, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in sections 210.24 and 210.42(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.24, 210.42(h).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 21, 2002.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-7403 Filed 3-27-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental policy and 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2), notice is hereby given that on March 13, 2002, a proposed consent decree in a case captioned *United States v. A.O. Smith Corp., et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:02-CV-0168 (W.D. Mich.) was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan. The proposed consent decree relates to the Ionia City Landfill Superfund Site ("Site") in the City of Ionia, Ionia County, Michigan.

In a compliant that was filed simultaneously with the Consent Decree, the United States sought recovery of response costs and performance of response actions at the Site pursuant to Sections 106(a) and 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9606(a), 9607(a), against A.O. Smith Corp., the City of Ionia, Consumers Energy Co., Federal-Mogul Corp., General Motors Corp., Kmart Corp., the Michigan Department of Corrections, and Premiere Agri Technologies, Inc. (the "Defendants").

Under the proposed consent decree, the Defendants will perform the remedy selected in a Record of Decision that EPA issued for the Site on September 28, 2000. The remedy includes restricting access to and development of certain portions of the Site; maintaining the existing groundwater treatment system; maintaining institutional controls; and monitoring the natural attenuation that is taking place. Defendant A.O. Smith also agrees to pay all future response costs at the Site. Under a prior Consent Decree, the Defendants already had paid all past response costs.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resource Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. A.O. Smith Corp., et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:02-CV-0168 (W.D. Mich.) and DOJ Reference No. 90-11-2-476/1.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at: (1) the Office of the United States Attorney for the Western District of Michigan, 330 Ionia Ave., NW., Grand Rapids, MI 49503; and (2) the United States Environmental Protection Agency (Region 5), 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590. Copies of the proposed consent decree may be obtained by mail from the Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044. In requesting copies from the Consent Decree Library, please refer to the above-referenced case and DOJ Reference Number 90-11-2-476/1 and enclose a check for \$81.00 (324 pages at 25 cents per page reproduction cost) made payable to the Consent Decree Library.

William D. Brighton,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 02-7418 Filed 3-27-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA")

Consistent with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, 38 FR 19029, and 42 U.S.C. 9622(d), notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in *United*

States v. Jane Doe, as Executrix of the Estate of Edmund Barbera, et al., 96 Civ. 8563 (BSJ), was lodged on February 20, 2002, with the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The Consent Decree addresses the hazardous waste contamination at the Port Refinery Superfund Site (the "Site"), located in the Village of Rye Brook, Westchester County, New York. The Consent Decree requires four generators of hazardous substances transported to the Site to pay to the United States a total of \$415,500.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Jane Doe, as Executrix of the Estate of Edmund Barbera, et al.*, DOJ Ref. #90-11-3-1142A.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, 33 Whitehall Street, New York, New York (contact Assistant United States Attorney Kathy S. Marks); and the Region II Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway, New York, New York, 10007-1866 (contact Assistant Regional Counsel Michael Mintzer). A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$12.00 (25 cents per page reproduction costs) for the Consent Decree, payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Ronald G. Gluck,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 02-7419 Filed 3-27-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree: Natural Resource Damages Under the Oil Pollution Act of 1990

Notice is hereby given that on March 18, 2002, a proposed Consent Decree: Natural Resource Damages ("Decree") in *United States and State of Alaska v. Kuroshima Shipping, S.A. and Unique Trading Co., Ltd.*, Civil Action No. A02-0057 (JWS) was lodged with the United

States District Court for the District of Alaska.

In this action brought pursuant to section 1002(b)(2)(A) of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, 33 U.S.C. 2702(b)(2)(A), the United States and that State of Alaska sought natural resource damages, including and subsequent discharge of oil from the M/V Kuroshima in the area of Summer Bay, Unalaska Island, Alaska ("the Kuroshima Spill"). The defendants are the owner and operator of the vessel at the time of the incident. The federal and state natural trustees in consultation with Qawalangin Tribe of Unalaska conducted an assessment of damage to natural resources and loss of use of natural resources occasioned by the Kuroshima Spill and have proposed a plan for restoring these natural resources and the loss of their use by the public. That plan appears as Appendix A to the Decree. The proposed Decree provides that defendants shall pay \$644,017 to the natural resource trustees for their conduct of the restoration plan and place another \$9,000 in the registry of the Court until the natural resource trustees determine whether the amount is necessary for the field component of the restoration plan aimed at restoring vegetation. The proposed Decree requires that the defendants reimburse the natural resources trustees \$66,158.09 for damage assessment costs. In exchange for these payments, the United States and the State of Alaska covenant not to sue the defendants for natural resource damages arising from the Kuroshima Spill.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, United States Department of Justice and sent to 801 B Street, Suite 504, Anchorage, Alaska 99501-3657. Comments should refer to *United States v. Kuroshima Shipping, S.A. et al.*, D.J. Ref. #90-5-1-1-06147.

The Decree may be examined at the above address by contacting Lorraine Carter (907-271-5452). A copy of the Decree (minus Appendix A) may be obtained by contacting Ms. Carter in writing at the address above. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$5.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury. A copy of Appendix A may be obtained during the comment period from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration by contacting Doug Helton at 206-526-4563 or at Doug.Helton@noaa.gov.